Speech Emotion Recognition Based on Sparse Representation
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Speech emotion recognition is deemed to be a meaningful and intractable issue among a number of domains comprising sentiment analysis, computer science, pedagogy, and so on. In this study, we investigate speech emotion recognition based on sparse partial least squares regression (SPLSR) approach in depth. We make use of the sparse partial least squares regression method to implement the feature selection and dimensionality reduction on the whole acquired speech emotion features. By the means of exploiting the SPLSR method, the component parts of those redundant and meaningless speech emotion features are lessened to zero while those serviceable and informative speech emotion features are maintained and selected to the following classification step. A number of tests on Berlin database reveal that the recognition rate of the SPLSR method can reach up to 79.23% and is superior to other compared dimensionality reduction methods.
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1. Introduction

It is common knowledge that accurate and dependable speech emotion recognition can provide with quite momentous affect for achieving or consummating intelligent, efficient, and reliable human-computer interaction. Consequently, a great number of investigators centralize their attention and energy on this intractable and unmanageable issue, and a great quantity of tremendous advance has been gained to probe, analyze, and exploit speech emotion more informative, meaningful, convenient, and efficient during the past decades (Ayadi et al., 2011; Cen et al., 2008; Ser et al., 2008).

How to pick up the most beneficial and serviceable speech emotion features which can accessibly and accurately represent the speech emotion information is the pivotal problem for speech emotion recognition (Chen et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2013; Ayadi et al., 2011). Among all speech emotion features, prosodic feature and spectral feature are the most representative types of speech emotion features that are comprehensively employed in speech emotion recognition (Wu et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2013). The frequently adopted prosodic feature contains pitch, formants, energy, speed, and so on (Chen et al., 2012; Ayadi et al., 2011). The spectral feature is deemed to offer certain supplementary and various speech emotion features comparing with the prosodic feature and mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC), linear predictive cepstral coefficients (LPC) and log-frequency power coefficients (LFPC) are three most classic spectral features that are broadly adopted in a number of speech emotion recognition approaches (Wu et al., 2011; Ayadi et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2013).

In the time of dealing with the above extracted speech emotion features, we may find out that the dimension of obtained speech emotion features can be as high as several hundred or more than one thousand, and each extracted speech emotion feature owns disparate effect on speech emotion recognition (Zhou et al., 2012; Cen et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2013; Lai et al., 2012). For instance, some features can promote and increase the recognition performance of speech emotion recognition, but some redundant and invalid features are harmful and unhelpful for final emotion classification.

Over the last several decades, plenty of investigators burgeon a mass of dimensionality reduction and feature selection approaches for working out those su-
pervised and unsupervised studying issues (Bishop, 2006; Lai et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2013). Among those approaches, principle component analysis (PCA) (Turk, Pentland, 1991) and linear discriminant analysis (LDA) (Belhumeur, Hespanha, 1997; Chen et al., 2000) are the two outstanding methods and they already have been triumphantly exploited to speech emotion recognition and other relevant research domains (Jin et al., 2013; Bishop, 2006).

It is noted that PCA and LDA can only deal with and analyze one group of data, whereas canonical correlation analysis (CCA) (Hotteling, 1936) and partial least squares regression (PLSR) (Rosopal, Kamer, 2008) are investigated to deal with two groups of data at the same time (Parkhomenko et al., 2009). Akin to PCA and LDA, CCA also has been addressed and applied in speech emotion recognition (Cen et al., 2008). The application of PLSR is also comprehensive and efficient in various important spheres such as vehicle detection (Kembhavi et al., 2011), neuroimaging (Krishnan et al., 2011) and human detection (Schwartz et al., 2009). But when making use of the PLSR method in speech emotion recognition, we will discover one main weakness of the PLSR method analogous to PCA, LDA, and CCA, and this weakness is that the weight vectors of PLSR are the linear combination of the whole extracted speech emotion features, therefore it is unable to clear away those redundant and insignificant speech emotion features (Cao et al., 2008; Chun, Keles, 2010; McWilliams, Montana, 2010; Qiao et al., 2009; Cai et al., 2007; Lai et al., 2012).

In the last few years, a number of sparse versions of PLSR approach have been established to resolve the above-mentioned shortcoming of PLSR method. Cao et al. (2008; 2009) presented a novel bilateral sparse partial least squares regression based on the SVD decomposition and sparse PCA approach (Shen, Huang, 2008) that can achieve data fusion and variable selection simultaneously when applied to biology. Similar to the work of Cao et al. (2008; 2009), a unilateral sparse partial least squares regression (McWilliams, Montana, 2010; Ma, 2010; Cao et al., 2011; Cao, Gall, 2011) based on unilateral sparse SVD approach has been developed. Moreover, McWilliams and Montana (2010) apply this unilateral sparse partial least squares regression method for increment learning task. Chun and Keles (2010) propose a new sparse partial least squares approach in which the objective function is rewritten as SPCA’s optimization formula (Zou et al., 2006). Based on the work of Chun and Keles (2010), Chung and Keles (2010) have developed two classification-based methods including SPLS discriminant analysis (SPLSDA) and sparse generalized PLS (SGPLS), and then applied them to conduct variable selection on high-dimensional datasets. Moreover, Huang et al. (2012) utilized the SPLSR method to conduct intelligibility detection tests. In this paper, we exploit the unilateral sparse partial least squares regression method (McWilliams, Montana, 2010; Ma, 2010; Cao et al., 2011; Cao, Gall, 2011) to implement the feature selection and dimension reduction of obtained speech emotion features in speech emotion recognition.

In this paper, we investigate speech emotion recognition based on sparse partial least squares regression (SPLSR) approach. The whole of acquired speech emotion features have available implemented the feature selection and dimensionality reduction with the sparse partial least squares regression method whose basic idea is to receive sparse projections by means of calculating a sparse singular value decomposition issue (McWilliams, Montana, 2010; Ma, 2010; Cao et al., 2008; Shen, Huang, 2008). By introducing the properties of sparsity on the whole acquired speech emotion features with SPLSR method, the component parts of redundant and meaningless speech emotion features are lessened to zero while those serviceable and informative speech emotion features are maintained for the next classification step.

We organize the remaining part of this paper as follows. We roughly provide the description of the PLSR method in Sec. 2. Section 3 introduces the SPLSR approach in detail and its specific algorithm. The speech emotion classification via SPLSR method is illustrated in Sec. 4. Section 5 introduces the adopted speech emotion databases and describes a number of experiments. Ultimately, Sec. 6 concludes the paper and gives some discussion on the future work.

### 2. Partial Least Squares Regression

Consider a pair of sample data matrices $X \in R^{N \times n_x}$ and $Y \in R^{N \times n_y}$ which indicate the extracted speech emotion feature matrices and its speech emotion category feature matrices respectively, where $N$ expresses the number of samples. The fundamental purpose of PLSR method is exploring a cluster of vectors $\phi_r$ and $\zeta_r$ which are achieved by maximizing the under optimization formulation in the form of alleged latent vectors $X\phi_r$ and $Y\zeta_r$ (Cao et al., 2008; Rosopal, Kamer, 2008; Gu, 2010; Ma, 2010; Yan et al., 2013)

$$\{\phi_r; \zeta_r\} = \arg \max_{\phi^{T}\phi = 1, \zeta^{T}\zeta = 1} \text{cov}(X\phi_r, Y\zeta_r)$$

As we all know, $X$ and $Y$ can be resolved into the following pattern (Rosopal, Kamer, 2008; Cao et al., 2008)

$$\begin{align*}
X &= WP^{T}x + E_{x}, \\
Y &= WP^{T}y + E_{y},
\end{align*}$$

where $P_x$ and $P_y$ are matrices of coefficient which are expressed as $p_x = X^{T}w / w^{T}w$ and $p_y = Y^{T}w / w^{T}w,$
respectively. Besides, $E_x$ and $E_y$ are the corresponding residuals matrices of $X$ and $Y$, respectively (ROSOPAL, KAMER, 2008; CAO et al., 2008).

Suppose $R_{XY} = X^TY$, $R_{XY} = R_{XY}^T$. Then, the formula (1) can be changed into the following optimization problem (GU, 2010; MA, 2010) (we seek the first cluster of vectors $\phi_i$ and $\zeta_i$ here):

$$\arg \max_{\phi, \zeta} \phi^T R_{XY} \zeta,$$

subject to $\phi^T \phi = 1$ and $\zeta^T \zeta = 1$.

Then we can receive the Lagrangian of formula (3) as the following pattern (GU, 2010; MA, 2010; ZHOU et al., 2012):

$$L(\phi, \zeta, \lambda, \mu) = \phi^T R_{XY} \zeta - \frac{\lambda}{2} (\phi^T \phi - 1) - \frac{\mu}{2} (\zeta^T \zeta - 1).$$

Further, the following two equations can be obtained by calculating the partial derivatives of $L(\phi, \zeta, \lambda, \mu)$ with respect to $\phi$ and $\zeta$ (MA, 2010):

$$R_{XY} \zeta = \lambda \phi, \quad R_{XY}^T \phi = \mu \zeta.$$  

Ultimately, we are capable of receiving the first desired cluster of projection vectors $\phi$ and $\zeta$ by figuring up the next eigenvalue equations of (6) and (7), respectively:

$$R_{XY} R_{XY}^T \phi = \lambda \phi, \quad R_{XY}^T R_{XY} \zeta = \mu \zeta.$$  

(3)

3. Sparse Partial Least Squares Regression

On the basis of the antecedent discussion and analysis, we can note that the PLSR approach is not capable of carrying out the feature selection on the whole extracted speech emotion features. Moreover, it should be noted that $X$ and $Y$ signify the whole extracted speech emotion features and its speech emotion category feature respectively, and the speech emotion category feature contains only category information. Therefore we only need to carry out the feature selection on the whole extracted speech emotion feature $X$. Consequently in the following section, we will introduce the unilateral sparse partial least squares regression method (McWilliams, Montana, 2010; Ma, 2010; CAO et al., 2011; CAO, Gall, 2011) and then employ it to carry out the feature selection on $X$ in the form of solving sparse projection $\phi$. The conventional PLSR method can be solved by means of PLSR-SVD pattern in the light of the literature of CAO et al. (2008). In the PLSR-SVD form, the matrix $R_{XY} = X^TY$ of the conventional PLSR method can be expressed in the following singular value decomposition (SVD) form (CAO et al., 2008; McWILLIAMS, Montana, 2010; SHEN, HUANG, 2008; YAN et al., 2012):

$$R_{XY} = X^TY = \sum_{t=1}^{h} d_t u_t v_t^T.$$  

(8)

It is noted that the eigenvalues of $R_{XY}^T R_{XY}$ and $R_{XY} R_{XY}^T$ are $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_h$, and the eigenvectors of $R_{XY}^T R_{XY}$ and $R_{XY} R_{XY}^T$ are equivalent to $u_i$ and $v_i$, respectively. In accordance with the nature of the above PLSR-SVD approach, the pair of desired vectors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the conventional PLSR are just equivalent to $u_i$ and $v_i$ in the above SVD form, and it indicates $\phi = u_i$ and $\zeta = v_i$ in other words (CAO et al., 2008; McWILLIAMS, Montana, 2010; Ma, 2010; YAN et al., 2013). Consequently, the cluster of vectors $\phi$ and $\zeta$ that are received by the conventional PLSR solving approach can be transformed to calculate the cluster of vectors of $u_i$ and $v_i$ in the above PLSR-SVD form.

On the basis of the work of SHEN and HUANG (2008) and CAO et al. (2008), a unilateral sparse partial least squares regression method (McWILLIAMS, Montana, 2010; Ma, 2010; CAO et al., 2011; CAO, Gall, 2011) is developed to obtain only one cluster of sparse projection and is different from the method of CAO et al. (2008) in which two sets of sparse projections can be obtained. The optimization formulation of unilateral SPLSR is adapted as the following pattern by introducing the lasso penalty on the vector $u$ (McWILLIAMS, Montana, 2010; Ma, 2010; CAO et al., 2011; CAO, Gall, 2011):

$$\min_{u, v} \| R_{XY} - u v^T \|^2_F + \lambda_u \sum_{j=1}^{p} |u_j|,$$  

(9)

where $\lambda_u \sum_{j=1}^{p} |u_j|$ stands for the lasso function, and $\lambda_u \geq 0$ signifies a positive value for deciding the sparse degree of $u$.

For the sake of calculating the optimization problem of (9) efficiently, McWILLIAMS and Montana (2010) have developed an iterative algorithm with respect to solving $u$ and $v$. The process of this iterative algorithm consists in that $u$ is achieved by optimizing (9) through fixing $v$, and then $v$ is achieved by optimizing (9) through fixing $u$ (ZHOU et al., 2012).

By means of repeating the above iterative process, the desired optimal projection $v$ and sparse projection $u$ are acquired finally from the following Eqs. (10) and (11), respectively, after the iterative algorithm achieves convergence (McWILLIAMS, Montana, 2010; Ma, 2010):

$$v^* = \frac{R_{XY}^T u}{\| R_{XY}^T u \|},$$  

(10)

$$u^* = \text{sign}(R_{XY} v) (|R_{XY} v| - \lambda_u / 2)^+.$$  

(11)
For details of the above solving procedure of the unilateral SPLSR, please see the paper of McWilliams and Montana (2010). At last, we can project the whole extracted speech emotion feature $X$ onto the above sparse projection $u$ ($u$ is equivalent to $\phi$) received by the unilateral SPLSR to implement feature selection.

4. Emotion Recognition via Sparse Partial Least Squares Regression

Assuming that a cluster of the sparse projection matrix $\phi_X = (\phi^1_x, \phi^2_x, ..., \phi^h_x)$ are received conclusively via the above unilateral SPLSR method, where $h$ denotes the number of the above extracted sparse projection matrix $\phi_X$, then on the basis of the Eq. (2) we can get the following two regression equations (Gu, 2010; Ma, 2010; McWilliams, Montana, 2010):

$$X = w_1 P^T + w_2 P^T + \ldots + w_h P^T + E^x_z,$$

$$Y = w_1 P^T + w_2 P^T + \ldots + w_y P^T + E^y_y,$$

where $W = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_h)$, $p_X = (p_{X1}, p_{X2}, ..., p_{Xh})$, $p_Y = (p_{Y1}, p_{Y2}, ..., p_{Yh})$.

In the light of (Manne, 1987; Gu, 2010; Ma, 2010), we obtain the next equation by simple approximately calculation:

$$W = X \phi_X (p^T_X \phi_X)^{-1}.$$  

(13)

Form Eqs. (12) and (13), we are able to receive the following formula (Gu, 2010; Ma, 2010)

$$Y = W P^T + E^h_y = X \phi_X (p^T_X \phi_X)^{-1} P^T_y + E^h_y.$$  

(14)

At last, if providing a test speech emotion feature sample $X_{test}$, we are able to calculate the homologous speech emotion category feature $Y_{test}$ in the light of the following formula (Gu, 2010; Ma, 2010; McWilliams, Montana, 2010):

$$Y_{test} = X_{test} \phi_X (p^T_X \phi_X)^{-1} P^T_y.$$  

(15)

5. Experiments

In the following section, we will simply introduce the adopted speech emotion database, the detailed experiment design and show results of the experimental test. In this study, we assess the property of our speech emotion recognition approach based on the SPSLR algorithm by carrying out certain tests on the Berlin database (Burkhardt et al., 2005; Zheng et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2013; Gu, 2010). In our experiment, from a number of samples representing different speech emotion categories, we select and utilize a subset of the Berlin dataset which contains 260 speech samples and five speech emotion categories including anger, boredom, joy, fear, and sadness.

In the speech emotion feature extraction procedure, two sorts of speech emotion features consisting of prosodic features and spectral features are picked up in our experiment (Gu, 2010; Wu et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2013). The details of extracted speech emotion feature in our test included pitch, formant frequency, the logarithmic form of energy, and so on (Gu, 2010; Zheng et al., 2012).

Apart from the SPSLR method, we also conduct other classic dimensionality reduction methods for speech emotion recognition, i.e. the principal component analysis (PCA) method, the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) method, the gaussian mixture model (GMM) method, and the partial least squares regression (PLSR) method. For PCA and LDA, we adopt the K-nearest neighbor (KNN) classifier to classify five different emotion categories, whereas PLSR and SPSLR exploit the classification method introduced in Sec. 4. Besides, in our experiments, we employ the thirteenth-fold cross-validation strategy to the above five methods (Gu, 2010; Zheng et al., 2012).

The average recognition rates of the above five methods are exhibited in Table 2. It is noted that the disparate number of the reduced dimension will produce a certain influence concerning the final property, therefore we also implement the tests with the disparate dimension. Figure 1 displays the recognition rates of three methods with disparate reduced dimension.

Table 1. The average recognition rate of each method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Recognition rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>64.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMM</td>
<td>69.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLSR</td>
<td>78.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSLR</td>
<td>79.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Recognition rates of each method for different number of reduced dimensions.
To distinctly display the test results of different emotion category, the confusion matrices of PLSR method and SPLSR method are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. Moreover, we also implement the experiment of SPLSR method with different values of the sparse parameter. Table 2 shows the recognition rates of SPLSR method versus different values of the sparse parameter.

![Fig. 2. Confusion matrix for the PLSR method.](image)

![Fig. 3. Confusion matrix for the SPLSR method.](image)

Table 2. Recognition rates of SPLSR for the different values of sparse parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sparse parameter</th>
<th>Recognition rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>78.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>78.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>79.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>78.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with the above test results, we can note that the recognition rate of the SPLSR method can reach up to 79.23% and it is superior to other compared methods such as PCA, LDA, GMM, and PLSR. This test result indicates that the SPLSR method may provide more serviceable and informative speech emotion information than those compared methods. Moreover, in line with Table 2, we can see that the sparse parameter $\lambda$ can produce the effect on the recognition rate of the SPLSR approach to some degree and the best recognition rate of SPLSR is achieved when the sparse parameter $\lambda$ is 0.05.

6. Conclusion and discussion

In this paper, we study speech emotion recognition based on the partial least squares regression (SPLSR) approach. We make use of the sparse partial least squares regression method to implement the feature selection and dimensionality reduction on the whole acquired speech emotion features. Moreover, a number of experimental tests on the Berlin database certify the validity and meaning of the speech emotion recognition approach based on the SPLSR method. In the future study, we can import those sparse kernel approaches onto speech emotion recognition which may allow to obtain nonlinear discriminative speech emotion information to some degree.
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