**A way of neodymium-iron-boron magnets regeneration in surface-mounted PMSM used in electric vehicles**
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**Abstract.** The paper describes an efficient method of magnetization of permanent magnets, mounted on synchronous and BLDC motor rotors, which employs an air coil. A developed mathematical model of an electromagnetic circuit is presented, which was simulated in an ANSYS-MAXWELL environment. The performed simulations and experimental tests allowed optimization of the physical process of magnetization of permanent magnets mounted on an electrical machine rotor. The adopted method allowed achieving more favourable conditions of magnetization – less financial expenditure allowed to achieve the same results.
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**1. Introduction**

Contemporary electric vehicle powertrains employ induction motors as well as permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSM), which are built as surface (superficial) permanent magnet synchronous motors (SPM) or internal permanent magnet synchronous motors (IPM). A third type of motor used is permanent magnet brushless direct current motor (BLDC) with electronic commutation. In most of the former two types, the permanent magnets are neodymium sintered magnets (Nd-Fe-B or NIB). The intermetallic compound Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B, which forms the base of neodymium permanent magnets, was widely presented in 1983 by a Japanese researcher Masato Sagawa. With application of neodymium magnets on rotors of electrical machines, mostly synchronous motors, their operational parameters were enhanced. These units are characterized by simple construction, good matching of electro-mechanical characteristics to the needs of powered equipment, low operating costs with very good power efficiency, large dynamics due to low rotor inertia, and a possibility to achieve greater power than an equivalent induction motor of comparable size. Simultaneously, synchronous motors like all rotating machines are prone to damage, with magnets become dislodged from their places by large centrifugal forces acting when the rotor spins at high speed. Freed magnets can then grind on the field laminations which causes them to rapidly heat up which together with high magnetic field in the air gap results in their demagnetization. In extreme cases this mechanism can lead to mechanical shattering or even pulverization into magnetic dust (Fig. 1). The surface mounted magnet motors (SPM) are especially prone to this mode of failure [9].

![Fig. 1. The rotor of PMSM motor with damaged permanent magnets](image)

Apart from the listed occurrences, during operation of a permanent magnet machine there is a possibility of the rotor becoming eccentric due to e.g.: manufacturing flaws, improper magnet mounting, bearing wear, wearing of the bearing sockets, mechanical damage to the shaft (bending) or a critical resonance condition during operation [10]. An important concern is also the application of proper motor control method [11, 12]. These
faults negatively impact the motor’s operation, which results in decreasing efficiency of the powertrain.

The paper presents the results of experimental and computational research for a single magnet placed in the field of an air coil, and for a magnet placed on a PMSM rotor of an electric vehicle. The experiments were performed by choosing the inductance parameters on a basis of simple analytic estimation for a circular coil presented in Section 2. However at a certain step of research, a decision was made to create the field model in the ANSYS-MAXWELL environment, which now takes into account the non-cylindrical shape of the tested object. The results of the simulations were used to determine the design of the coil used in the experimental studies.

2. Estimation of the air coil inductance

In order to restore the magnetic properties to magnets employed in synchronous motor rotors, various magnetizing coils are applied [13, 14]. A simple and very efficient tool for restoring the magnetic properties of permanent magnets can be an air coil powered by a capacitor bank and switched with a thyristor (SCR).

For analytic estimation, in order to investigate the correctness of the model, the coil’s self inductance was calculated using analytical equations [15‒20]. It is assumed that the coil contains N circular turns, and the turns themselves are circular in cross-section (Fig. 2.). Therefore the coil’s inductance will be a sum of self inductances of individual turns and mutual inductances between the turns, which can be calculated analytically.

For a symmetrical system of two turns (loops) 1, 2 of the coil, the vector potential in the point \( P \), deriving from the current \( i \) is specified by the relationship

\[
A = \frac{\mu_0 i}{2\pi} \sqrt{R_r} \left( \frac{2}{k} - k \right) K - \frac{2}{K} E
\]

where \( k = \frac{4Rr}{z^2 + (R + r)^2} \)

\( K \) and \( E \) – complete elliptic integrals of 1st and 2nd kind, respectively.

The mutual inductance between a pair of turns is

\[
M = \frac{\Phi_{12}}{i} \mu_0 \sqrt{R_r} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{k} - k \right) K - \frac{2}{K} E \right]
\]

Using the formula for a vector potential, an external flux penetrating a turn can be calculated, which defines an external inductance of a thin wire.

\[
L_z = \mu_0 R \left( \frac{2}{k} - k \right) K - \frac{2}{K} E
\]

Since an external inductance of a single turn is small, an internal inductance should be taken into account. The total inductance \( L_c \) of a single turn is therefore a sum of the external inductance \( (L_z) \) and internal inductance \( (L_n) \). Finally, the inductance \( L \) of a circular air coil containing \( N \) turns can be calculated using the following relationship

\[
L = \sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{cj} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} M_{nj}
\]

where

\( L_{cj} \) – self inductance of a j-turn
\( M_{nj} \) – mutual inductance between a n-turn and j-turn

3. Simulation tests

In order to perform simulation tests, a model has been created in the ANSYS-MAXWELL environment. An external circuit powering the coil is presented in Fig. 3.
Parameters of components used in modeled and experimental tests are presented in the table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor bank capacity C</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>mF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor bank voltage U_C</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor bank resistance R_C</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diode resistance R_D</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil inductance L</td>
<td>2,26</td>
<td>µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil resistance R_L</td>
<td>2,86</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyristor resistance including resistance of connecting wires R_TCa</td>
<td>0,44</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection wires resistance R_Ca</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The coil has been simulated as a ring having the following dimensions (external diameter $D_O = 100$ mm, internal diameter $D_I = 60$ mm, coil height $D_g = 15$ mm) (Fig. 4.).

The neodymium permanent magnet dimensions, and location in relation to the coil is presented in Fig. 5.

For the designed coil with an external circuit, the ANSYS-MAXWELL program does not automatically calculate the resistance of that coil. Because of that, and minding that the dynamic change of current has an impact on coil’s resistance as a result of skin effect, the coil resistance was determined experimentally, and input into the model.

The experimental determination of dynamic resistance was based on a registration of transient current during discharge of the capacitor through the coil without a core (neodymium magnet) present, with the assumed known capacitance and initial voltage.

At the same time, due to the negligible impact of parasitic inductance on the results, it was not taken into account in the model. Fig. 6 presents a vector distribution of magnetic induction at supply from the maximum voltage on a capacitor of 400 V.
The plot of magnitudes of current $I_L$, voltages $U_C$ and $U_L$ is presented in Fig. 7.

Although the shape of the coil encompassing the neodymium magnets was closer to a rectangle, for analytic estimation in order to check the correctness of the model, the inductance of a circular coil calculated with analytical formulae ($L = 2.26 \mu H$) was compared to the inductance from the model (for rectangular coil $L = 2.2542 \mu H$). The compared values were similar, and the difference was less than 1%.

Fig. 8 presents a contour plot of magnetic induction for capacitor bank voltage of, respectively, 400 V, 250 V and 200 V. It can be incurred from the plot that the minimum capacitor bank

Fig. 7. The plot of coil current ($I_L$) and capacitor bank voltage ($U_C$) and coil voltage ($U_L$) as a function of time, for the initial value of $U_C = 400$ V

Fig. 8. Contour plot of magnetic induction for coil and neodymium magnet (maximum value at the time $t = 0.46$ ms)
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Voltage allowing proper magnetization of permanent magnets of the same dimension as in the figure, and constructed from the material N38UH is 250 V.

Figure 9 shows the contour distribution of magnetic induction for a model consisting of an air coil and a neodymium magnet mounted on the rotor surface of the motor for the voltage generated by the capacitor banks at 400 V. The figure shows that mounting the magnet on a rotor has an insignificant impact on the distribution of magnetic induction which performs the magnetization process.

During the tests, the permanent magnets being magnetized were of type N38UH, and were previously demagnetized by application of high temperature in excess of magnetic material Curie temperature.

Neodymium sintered magnets (Nd-Fe-B) are belonging to a group of modern permanent magnetic materials, with the compound Nd₂Fe₁₄B (Neodymium Iron Boron) forming their base [21]. They are the strongest magnets presently offered in the market. Fig. 12 presents a four quadrant plot describing the magnetizing force relative to resultant magnetization of a permanent magnet material. Subsequent quadrants describe successive magnetization, up to the point of saturation (1–2), magnetic remanence (3), demagnetization (3–4), magnetization

4. Experimental tests

The magnetization method of neodymium permanent magnets has been verified by an experiment, using electrotechnical components. The components used during testing (capacitor bank, air coil, thyristor (SCR), diode and transformer with diode bridge) are presented in Fig. 11.

Fig. 11. View of actual electrotechnical components used for the experiment

Fig. 9. Contour plot of magnetic induction for coil with neodymium magnet (maximum value at the time \( t = 0.46 \) ms)

Fig. 10 shows the plots of current (\( I_L \)) and voltage (\( U_L \)) on the coil as a function of time, for the initial voltage of the capacitor bank in the range 50–400 V with a step of 25 V.

Fig. 10. The plot of coil currents (\( I_L \)) and coil voltages (\( U_L \)) as a function of time, for the initial voltage of capacitor bank voltage from the range 50–400 V with a step of 25 V

Fig. 12. Demagnetization curves for magnets, where: \( H_C \) (Coercive Force, Coercivity) – value of external magnetic field which has to be exerted on the magnet in order to bring its magnetic field intensity to zero; \( B_r \) (Residual Induction, Remanence) – value of magnetic induction with external magnetic field turned off; \( B_d \) (Remanent induction), is any magnetic induction that remains in a magnetic material after removal of an applied saturating magnetic field; \( H_d \) is that value of \( H \) corresponding to the remanent induction \( B_d \)
in the opposite direction (4‒5‒6), and finally remagnetized in the original direction (6‒7). In order to describe the properties of magnetic materials (remanence, coercivity), the second quadrant of the hysteresis loop is used. The properties of the N38UH neodymium sintered magnet used in the experiment are presented in Fig. 13. Every magnet has a property related to its own demagnetization field. The coefficient associated with the measure of possibility of the material to create magnetic field (the degree of magnetization, or permeance coefficient or permeability or recoil permeability $m_r$) depends on the size of the magnet and operating conditions. The recoil permeability is described by a following relationship

$$\mu_r = \frac{\Delta B}{\Delta H}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The coefficient $m_r$ describes the facility of the magnetic flux flowing from the north pole of the magnet, to the south pole. From this coefficient, the magnet working point can be derived. The higher the value of $m_r$, the harder it is to change the polarity of the magnet. The area between the points $H_d$–WP–$B_d$ defines the magnitude of energy density of the magnet with a particular physical size, and made with a given magnetic material [22‒29].

Assuming the part of the hysteresis loop lying in the second quadrant is linear, the magnet magnitude of energy density can be also approximated by following equation [24].

$$(BH)_{\text{MAX}} = \frac{1}{4} \mu_0 \cdot \mu_r B_r^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\mu_0$ – vacuum permeability.

The advantage of neodymium magnets is a large maximum energy density $BH_{\text{max}}$ which, depending on the physical size of the N38UH magnet, is on the order of 1.25 T, and large magnitude of coercive force $H_c$ in excess of 2000 kA/m and large coercivity $H_d$ in excess of 800 kA/m. These parameters allow the operation of such magnets in the presence of large demagnetizing fields.

A slight disadvantage of neodymium sintered magnets is the maximum working temperature which, for N38UH magnet (Fig. 13) is 180°C with a temperature coefficient of remanence $TK(Br)$ of $-0.10\div-0.12\%/°C$, and temperature coefficient of coercive force $TK(Hc)$ of $-0.6\%/°C$ and Curie Point($T_c$) of $310\div340°C$ [21‒28]. The measurements of magnetic induction of permanent magnets were made with a linear Hall effect sensor type HE144, calibrated with a standard magnet, with a known magnetic induction [30].

A damaged (demagnetized) magnet (Fig. 14) is placed inside the air coil, in the correct position, depending on the desired polarization (N or S on the top). After charging the capacitor bank, and triggering the thyristor, the intensity of the magnetic field created by the coil allowed to establish the desired polarity and magnitude of magnetic induction (Fig. 15).
During the tests, it is crucial to maintain safety, as large magnitude of magnetic flux can propel the magnet out of the coil, or tear apart the coil when it is not properly secured.

Fig. 17 presents the plot of relative average value of magnetic induction measured in the middle part of the permanent magnet mounted on a rotor held in a rotating jig (Fig. 16).

Basing on the plot presented in Fig. 17, it can be ascertained that two permanent magnets do not possess the required value of magnetic induction. Subsequently, the magnets were taken off the rotor, and remagnetized with the air coil. The magnets were remounted on the rotor in order to measure the magnetic induction. The results are presented in Fig. 18.

The proposed method for regeneration of permanent magnets used to construct rotors of synchronous motors has confirmed its practical usefulness (Fig. 19).

Due to the fact that the flux created by the air coil can be modified by changing the voltage on the capacitor bank, an attempt of magnetization of neodymium sintered magnets was made while the magnets were mounted on the rotor, without their removal (Fig. 20). The magnetization was performed on the rotor of a motor, which was diagnosed with a few of the magnets having a reduced magnetic induction.

5. Conclusions

The proposed method of regeneration of permanent magnet used in permanent magnet synchronous motors has proven its practical usability.
Thanks to simple construction of the air coil, the required magnetic induction level can be restored in the neodymium sintered magnets employed in PMSM rotors. The results of performed computer simulations using the ANSYS-MAXWELL software, and verification experiments have proven that the obtained magnitude of magnetic induction is fully sufficient to magnetize any neodymium sintered magnet, which geometric dimensions are close to that of an air coil, and which thickness is not exceeding 30 mm. The conducted tests have proven the repeatability of achieved effects. The measurements of magnetic induction on the surface of magnets did not differ by more than 6%.

A clear advantage of presented method is that it does not require the regenerated magnets to be taken off the rotor. It allows to reduce the cost of rotor repairs, due to the fact that it requires less time and that it does not require any special resins, glues, or special thermally set tapes or fibers.
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