A note on the complexity of scheduling of communication-aware directed acyclic graph
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Abstract. The most recent incarnation of distributed paradigm is cloud computing. It can be seen as the first widely accepted business model of mass consumption of the distributed computing resources. Despite the differences in business models and technical details regarding cloud platforms, the distributed computing underlies cloud. Communications in cloud systems include transmissions of the results of cloud applications, users interactions, and exchange of data between different services that compose applications. The latter becomes more critical as applications become richer as well as more complex, and may consist of services operated by various providers. The effective communication between components of cloud systems is thus critical to the end user satisfaction and to the success of cloud services. We will discuss different cloud computing models (communication aware and unaware). Main focus will be placed on communication-aware directed acyclic graph (CA-DAG), which extends the classical DAG model by explicitly modeling communication tasks. Moreover, we will analyze and consult computational complexity of this innovative distributed computation model inspired by the characteristics of cloud computing. Providing a proof of strong NP-hardness of the problem allows for a future implementation and evolution of the communication-aware DAG models.

Key words: computational complexity, models in cloud computing, communication-aware cloud computing, directed acyclic graphs, NP-hardness in cloud computing.

1. Introduction

Scheduling is undoubtedly one of the most important fields of operations research. The scheduling problems can be generally described as the allocation of resources over time to execute a group of tasks, which are a part of the processes [1]. Each task needs a particular resource to be completed. The nature of resources, tasks, connections and relations between them can be defined in many different ways. Predominantly, the scheduling is motivated by applications from industry and service operations management like: transportation planning, factory production lines, events planning, timetabling for general transportation purposes, vehicle routing, duty roster, and many more. Actually, if we perceive scheduling problems in general, they can be observed almost everywhere in real world situations.

If one runs many computers in parallel and the goal is to solve a problem computationally (which is very often complicated and intensive), it can be described as a parallel processing/computing [2]. The main idea is to provide the results in a time that no single computer could deliver. The idea is not new, however, its nature is experimental and therefore is being still strongly developed and studied. There are many new concepts that constantly try to increase speed of computations. Nevertheless, it has to be noted that the parallel processing is strongly connected with a networking and hardware issues.

If we consider a parallel application as a set of communicating processes then it can be easily modeled using a directed acyclic graph (DAG) [1, 3]. Most of the general scheduling theory assumptions can be applied. However, one should notice that the task execution in parallel distributed systems is connected with some communication delays. This communication delay cost occurs when two consecutive tasks are performed (on different machines, on the same machine, on the same processor, or even on the same processor’s core).

The most recent incarnation of distributed paradigm is cloud computing [4]. It can be seen as the first widely accepted business model of mass consumption of distributed computing resources. The resources are offered as services (or their components) which means that they are no longer addressed to the IT professionals, but can be also directly offered to the end users. The users can use the resources seamlessly, as the necessary knowledge about a service set up and the underlying hardware [5].

The exact predictions of cloud market growth vary [6], but all of them recognize its large potential, justified by the strong growth in the past. Despite differences in business models and technical details regarding cloud platforms, the distributed computing underlies cloud. Communications in cloud systems include transmissions of the results of cloud applications, users interactions, and exchange of data between different services that compose applications. The latter becomes more critical as applications become richer and therefore, more complex. They may consist of services operated by various providers. The ef-
fective communication between components of cloud systems is thus critical to the end user satisfaction and to the success of cloud services.

In this paper, we discuss the complexity of an innovative distributed computation model inspired by these characteristics of cloud computing. The model, communication-aware directed acyclic graph (CA-DAG), extends the classical DAG model by explicitly modeling communication tasks. It enables to model multicast communications or gather and scatter patterns, and explicitly schedule communications on various network topologies. Because of its relevance, the model has been already studied experimentally [7] however, rapid practical developments left the theoretical analysis behind. This paper is devoted to filling that gap.

2. Resource allocation in cloud computing

Basic requirements of cloud computing (such as managing multiple machines, providing services that work on different systems) have already been addressed and initially solved with some first ideas, analysis and computations with specific algorithms [8]. Further improvements require more holistic approaches, i.e. simultaneous taking into account different aspects and layers of the system to enhance efficiency and performance of cloud computing systems. New research opportunities and challenges reside also in linking the existing cloud computing issues [9] with other known problems, sometimes from different or neighboring fields [10], e.g. problems that tackle shopping optimization of products available over the Internet [11, 12] and the analysis of possible usage of their algorithms [13].

As communications are crucial for cloud services, a network becomes one of the most important resources. The current approach to resource optimization and scheduling is far from optimal. One of the reasons is that cloud computing is a highly dynamic online environment. Therefore, management of resources must react and adapt to a large amount of state changes in communication. Traditional approaches to resource optimization are not sufficient [14].

At this point it is worth mentioning some general information regarding task scheduling and the scheduling area (cf. [1] or [15] for a general treatment of the topic).

Let us remind a basic presentation of the scheduling problem with a set \( J = \{ J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n \} \) of jobs/tasks which need to be scheduled on a set \( M = \{ M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k \} \) of non-identical, parallel machines. Additional parameters that describe the problem are:

- \( p_{j} \) – processing time of job \( J_j \) on the machine \( M_m \)
- \( t_j \) – setup (warm-up) time for machine \( M_m \)

The goal is to find a non-preemptive schedule that minimizes total time (including set-ups) the machines used to process the jobs.

Workflows can be modeled by directed acyclic graphs (DAG) \( G_J = (V_J, E_J) \). \( V_J \) is the set of tasks. \( E_J = (T_u, T_v) \) is the set of directed arcs between tasks where \( T_u, T_v \in V_J \) and \( u \neq v \). There should be no cycles \( T_u \rightarrow T_v \rightarrow T_u \). Precedence constraints are represented by arcs \( (T_u, T_v) \), where task \( T_u \) has to be completed before execution of \( T_v \). It is worth noting that arc can be additionally connected with communication costs. Communication delays are not taken into account if two following tasks are executed by the same processor [16].

Task scheduling with communication costs is a recognized and extensively studied problem in the scheduling community and it was investigated for different systems and applications, e.g. complete and homogeneous network [17], network communication model with shared medium [18], or more detailed way of communication delay descriptions based on LogP model [19]. Classification of scheduling problems with communication delays was widely described by Drozdowski [2].

Recently Kliazovich et al. [7] proposed an innovative cloud computing model where communications between tasks are crucial – the Communication-Aware DAG model (CA-DAG, Fig. 1). They showed many advantages of the model over already known CU-DAG [20] (Communication-Unaware DAG, Fig. 1) and EB-DAG [18, 21] (Edges-Based DAG, Fig. 2), and discussed the positive impact of the CA-DAG model on existing scheduling algorithms.

The computational experiments showed that the usage of CA-DAG model enables more efficient scheduling in distributed systems.

Since the great part of cloud computing applications are highly dependent on communication requirements (cost, deadlines, stability, etc.) the CA-DAG is a complex model that focuses on sophisticated communication-aware issues. A new type of task is introduced: the computing tasks \( V_c \) correspond to tasks in the classical DAG model, while all communications are modeled as a new type of task: communication tasks \( V_{comm} \). Each communication task is additionally described by parameters \( S \) and \( D_{comm} \). \( D_{comm} \) determines deadline for transmitting \( S \) bits of information. Moreover with each node \( v_i \) there is associated cost of communication \( v_{comm}^i \).
The CA-DAG model for cloud computing applications is thus a novel proposition, which overcomes shortcomings of existing approaches using communication awareness. However, the CA-DAG model has a large weakness, which is the lack of adequate mathematical presentation of formal model with computational complexity analysis. The model and the complexity analysis is necessary for the proper implementation and evolution of the CA-DAG model and its derivatives.

3. Computational complexity of CA-DAG

As discussed in [7], the problem of cloud computing can be defined by a set of tasks $\mathcal{T}$ requiring an access to the communication network $\mathcal{N}$ and processor set $\mathcal{P}$.

Two subsets are distinguished:

- communication tasks $- \mathcal{T}^C$, each requiring an access to the network, $\mathcal{O} - T^N_1$,
- processing tasks $- \mathcal{T}^P$, each requiring a processor to be processed, $\mathcal{O} - T^P_1$.

Every task $T_i$ is characterized by its processing time $p_j$. Tasks are ordered by the precedence relation $\text{prec} (\prec)$.

The capacity of the communication network (a number of tasks transmitted in parallel through the network) will be denoted by $c$, while the cardinality of the processor set by $m$. Thus, by using a slightly modified three-field notation of scheduling problems [22], we can denote the problem of minimizing $C_{\text{max}}$ for the cloud computing as:

$$P(m), N(c) \mid \text{prec, } p_j \mid C_{\text{max}}. \quad (1)$$

Using some ideas from [23], we can prove strong NP-hardness of problem $P(1, N(1) \mid \text{chain}, p_j = 1 \mid C_{\text{max}}$.

**Theorem 1.** Problem $P(1, N(1) \mid \text{chain}, p_j = 1 \mid C_{\text{max}}$ is strongly NP-hard.

**Proof.** We will prove this theorem by using a pseudo-polynomial transformation from strongly NP-complete problem $3\text{-PARTITION}$ [24] to the decision version of problem $P(1, N(1) \mid \text{chain}, p_j = 1 \mid C_{\text{max}}$, denoted by $\Pi_1$ hereafter. The first problem is defined as follows.

3-PARTITION: Given a set $S = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{3t}\}$ of positive integers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{3t}$, and integer $b$ with $\sum_{a_i \in S} a_j = tb$, can $S$ be partitioned into $t$ disjoint $3$-element subsets $S_i$ such that $\sum_{a_i \in S_j} a_j = b$, for $i = 1, \ldots, t$?

In this problem we assume without loss of generality that $\frac{1}{4}b < a_j < \frac{1}{2}b$ for all $a_i \in S$. Given any instance of this problem, we construct an instance of $\Pi_1$ in the following way.

- There is a single chain $X$ of $2tb$ tasks:

$$X = T^P_1 \prec T^P_2 \prec \ldots \prec T^P_{2t} \prec T^N_1 \prec \ldots \prec T^N_{2t} \prec \ldots \prec T^N_{2tb-1} \prec T_{2tb}$$

- For each $a_i \in S$, there are two chains $Y^N_{a_i}$ and $Y^P_{a_i}$ of $a_i$ tasks:

$$Y^N_{a_i} = T^N_{b_{a_i}} \prec T^N_{b_{a_i} + 1} \prec \ldots \prec T^N_{b_{a_i} + l_{a_i}}$$

$$Y^P_{a_i} = T^P_{b_{a_i}} \prec T^P_{b_{a_i} + 1} \prec \ldots \prec T^P_{b_{a_i} + k_{a_i}}$$

where $Y^N_{a_i} \prec Y^P_{a_i}$.

We will prove that $3\text{-PARTITION}$ has a solution if and only if there exists a feasible schedule with value $C_{\text{max}} \leq 2tb$. 

---
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Part of feasible instance for 3-partition:

\[ b = 9, a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 4; S_i = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_3\} \]

and the corresponding schedule for the cloud computing problem:

Supposing that 3-partition has a solution \( \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_t\} \).
A feasible schedule with value \( C_{\text{max}} \leq 2b \) is then obtained as follows (cf. Fig. 4). First, chain \( X \) is assigned to processor \( P \) and after \( b \) time units its communication tasks use network \( N \) for \( b \) units. Then this pattern is repeated \( t \) times. As a result one gets free slots for the communication network in the intervals \([2(i - 1)b, (2i - 1)b], i = 1, 2, \ldots, t\), and free slots for processor \( P \) in the intervals \([(2i - 1)b, 2ib], i = 1, 2, \ldots, t\). For each \( i \in 1, 2, \ldots, t \), it is now possible to assign the three chains \( Y_i^N(aj \in S_i) \) to network \( N \) in the interval \([2(i - 1)b, (2i - 1)b]\) and to schedule chains \( Y_i^j(aj \in S_i) \) on processor \( P \) in interval \([(2i - 1)b, 2ib] \). The obtained schedule is feasible with respect to processor, network and precedence constraints and its length is equal to \( 2tb \).

On the other hand, supposing that there exists a feasible schedule with value \( C_{\text{max}} \leq 2tb \). It is clear that in this schedule both the processor and then network are saturated until time \( 2tb \). Moreover, chains \( Y_i^N(aj \in S_i) \) are executed in intervals \([2(i - 1)b, (2i - 1)b], i = 1, 2, \ldots, t\) and chains \( Y_i^j(aj \in S_i) \) in the remaining intervals. Let \( S_i \) be the index set of chains \( Y_i^N(aj \in S_i) \) completed in interval \([2(i - 1)b, (2i - 1)b]\) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, t \). Consider set \( S_i \). It is impossible that \( \sum a_j(aj \in S_i) > b \), due to the definition of \( S_i \); the case \( \sum a_j(aj \in S_i) > b \) cannot occur either, since this would lead to processor idle time in \([b, 2b]\). It follows that \( \sum a_j(aj \in S_i) = b \), and our assumption about the size of \( a_j(aj \in S_i) \) implies that \( |S_i| = 3 \). This argument is easily extended to an inductive proof that \( S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_i \) constitutes a solution to 3-partition.

\[ \square \]

4. Conclusions

Cloud computing and its applications are gaining enormous popularity in recent years, what resulted in building a vast market of services. Communication-aware directed acyclic graph is a novel distributed computation model inspired by the characteristics of cloud computing.

In the paper we widely discussed the CA-DAG computational complexity and prove strong NP-hardness of the problem.

This step enables future implementation and evolution of the communication-aware DAG models including optimization aspects [25, 26] driven from known problems that are partially similar.

A great number of applications is highly dependent on bandwidth and a low latency communication (e.g. cloud gaming, a video conferencing, a collaborative editing, an online office, a remote desktop, and so on). The CA-DAG model overcomes shortcomings of existing communication aware approaches. With current adequate mathematical modeling and computational complexity analysis future paths of research are open wider.
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