Thermal analysis of generalized Burgers nanofluid over a stretching sheet with nonlinear radiation and non uniform heat source/sink
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Abstract The work deals with the heat analysis of generalized Burgers nanofluid over a stretching sheet. The Rosseland approximation is used to model the non-linear thermal radiation and incorporated non-uniform heat source/sink effect. The governing equations reduced to a set of non-linear ordinary differential equations under considering the suitable similarity transformations. The obtained ordinary differential equations equations are solved numerically by Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg order method. The effect of important parameters on velocity, temperature and concentration distributions are analyzed and discussed through the graphs. It reveals that temperature increases with the increase of radiation and heat source/sink parameter.
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Nomenclature

\begin{itemize}
  \item $A_1$ \quad rate of strain tensor
  \item $A, B$ \quad heat generation or absorption
\end{itemize}

\textsuperscript{*}Corresponding Author. Email: gkrmaths@gmail.com
b – constants
$B_0$ – magnetic field
$C$ – nanoparticle volume fraction, kg/m$^3$
$C_w$ – concentration at the wall
$C_\infty$ – ambient nanofluid volume fraction, kg/m$^3$
$c_p$ – specific heat coefficient, J/kg K
$D_B$ – Brownian diffusion coefficient
$D_T$ – thermophoretic diffusion coefficient
$k$ – thermal conductivity, W/m K
$k^*$ – mean absorption coefficient, m$^{-1}$
$L$ – velocity gradient
$Le$ – Lewis number
$M$ – magnetic parameter
$Nb$ – Brownian motion parameter
$Nt$ – thermophoresis parameter
$Nu_x$ – local Nusselt number
$q''$ – non-uniform heat source/sink
$q_w$ – surface shear stress
$q_m$ – surface heat flux
$Pr$ – Prandtl number
$q_r$ – radiation heat flux, Wm$^{-2}$
$R$ – radiation parameter
$Re_x$ – local Reynolds number
$S$ – extra stress tensor
$Sh_x$ – local Sherwood number $q''$
$T$ – fluid temperature, K
$T_w$ – surface temperature, K
$T_\infty$ – ambient surface temperature, K
$t$ – time
$u, v$ – velocity components, m/s$^{-1}$
$U_w$ – stretching sheet
$x, y$ – coordinates, m

Greek symbols

$\alpha$ – thermal diffusivity
$\beta_1, \beta_2$ – Deborah numbers in terms of relaxation time
$\beta_3$ – Deborah number in terms of retardation time
$\eta$ – similarity independent variable
$\theta$ – dimensionless temperature
$\theta_w$ – temperature ratio parameter
$\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ – relaxation time
$\lambda_3$ – retardation time
$\mu_1$ – dynamic viscosity, Ns/m$^2$
$\nu$ – kinematic viscosity of the fluid, m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ K
$\rho$ – density of fluid
$\sigma$ – electrical conductivity
1 Introduction

In recent years the study of heat and mass transfer on magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) flows has a variety of applications in engineering and industry especially in meteorology, solar physics, cosmic fluid dynamics, astrophysics, geophysics and in the motion of earth’s core, nuclear reactors, polymer production and food processing, liquid metal heat exchangers, geophysics and astrophysics. In view of these applications, Gupta and Gupta [1] discussed the heat and mass transfer on a stretching sheet with suction and blowing. Chan and Char [2] analyzed the heat and mass transfer on a continuous stretching sheet with suction and blowing. Makinde et al. [3] studied the buoyancy effect on a stagnation point MHD flow of nanofluid with convective condition. Rashidi et al. [4] examined the radiation and buoyancy effects on a Newtonian fluid past a vertical surface. Das et al. [5] presents the heat and mass effects on a second order fluid with convective boundary condition. Ramesh et al. [6–8] reported the two-phase dusty liquid flow over a permeably moving sheet under various aspects and conditions.

The study of nanofluids is gaining a lot of attention due to its vast applications in nuclear energy, medicine, space exploration, ethylene glycol, engine oil in high technological areas and heat transfer in high technological industries etc. Accordingly, Choi [9] was the first who introduced the term nanofluid indicating engineered colloids composed of nanoparticles dispersed in a base fluid. Comprehensive survey of convective transport in nanofluid has been investigated by Buongiorno [10]. Flow of nanofluid past a stretching sheet was first analyzed by Khan and Pop [11]. Alsaedi et al. [12] discussed the effect of heat generation/absorption on the stagnation point flow of nanofluid towards an impermeable stretching surface. Using high-level language and interactive environment Rahman et al. [13] elaborate convection flow of water based nanofluid past a wedge and studied the effects of magnetic field and heat source/sink. Nandy and Mahapatra [14] obtained the solutions of stagnation point flow over a stretching/shrinking sheet via shooting technique. Some recent investigations on nanofluid with different geometries are consulted in [15–19].

Presently, non-Newtonian fluids have a numerous applications in indu-
try and technology, e.g., food, chemical, biological and pharmaceutical industries, drilling muds, apple sauce, paper pulp, paints, polymer solutions, certain oils, and clay coating, etc. The behavior of non-Newtonian liquids the foremost equations become more complex to handle as extra nonlinear terms appear in the equation of motion. Predominantly, reaction of numerous viscoelastic fluids can be caught sensibly well by the rate type fluid models. The fluid model under thought is a subclass of the rate-type fluid that is known as the generalized Burgers fluid. Consequently, a thermodynamic framework has been put into place to develop a one-dimensional model due to Burgers [20] to the frame indifferent of three dimensional forms by Rajagopal and Srinivasa [21]. The Burgers model has been successfully used to describe the response of asphalt and asphalt concrete [22] as well as used to model the geological structures like Olivine rocks [23]. In spite of diverse applications, the Burgers model has not been given due attention. Khan and Khan applied the homotopy analysis method (HAM) to obtain the generalized Burgers fluid in the presence of nanoparticles [24]. Hayat et al. studied the heat and mass transfer effect on inclined surface of Burgers fluid [25]. This model has been inspected by a few researchers [26–31]. Another important aspect of heat transport phenomenon which attained the special focus is called thermal radiation. The suitable knowledge of heat transfer via radiation is essential for the achievement of best quality products in industry. Several engineering processes include space vehicles, hypersonic flights, gas turbines, nuclear power plants, etc. involve the phenomenon of radiation. Nowadays, radiative heat transport has also role in the techniques of renewable energy. Various researches have been done to describe the mechanism of radiation [32–37].

Based on the above studies, here our plan is to elaborate the features of radiation and non-uniform heat source/sink in Burgers nanoliquid flow generated by stretched surface. We also utilized the concept of non-linear radiation phenomenon. Numerical computation is made to find the solution of non-linear governed expressions. The results of dimensionless quantities have been visualized for various values of emerging physical constraints.

2 Mathematical formulation

The fundamental equations of mass, momentum, energy and nanoparticles of the flow yield, Khan and Khan [24]

$$\nabla \cdot V = 0, \quad (1)$$
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\[ \rho (V \cdot \nabla) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot S , \]  
(2)

\[ (V \cdot \nabla)T = \alpha \nabla^2 T + \tau \left( D_B \nabla C \cdot \nabla T + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \nabla T \cdot \nabla T \right) , \]  
(3)

\[ (V \cdot \nabla)C = D_B \nabla^2 C + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \nabla^2 T , \]  
(4)

where \( V \) is the velocity vector, \( p \) is the pressure, \( T \) is the temperature, \( S \) is the stress field, \( \rho \) is the density, \( D_T, D_B \) denote thermophoresis diffusion coefficient, Brownian diffusion coefficient, respectively. The extra stress tensor for incompressible generalized Burgers fluid is related to the motion of fluid satisfies the constitutive equation as (see Hayt \textit{et al.} [25])

\[ S + \lambda_1 \frac{DS}{Dt} + \lambda_2 \frac{D^2 S}{Dt^2} = \mu_1 \left[ A_1 + \lambda_3 \frac{DA_1}{Dt} \right] , \]  
(5)

where \( \mu_1 \) is the dynamic viscosity, \( A_1 \) is the rate of strain tensor, \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are the relaxation time, and \( \lambda_3 \) is the retardation time, \( \frac{D}{Dt} \) denotes the upper convected derivative defined as (see Khan and Khan [24])

\[ \frac{Da_i}{Dt} = \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial t} + u_r a_{i,r} - u_{i,r} a_r , \]  
(6)

in which \( \frac{d}{dt} \) is the material time derivative, \( t \) is the time, \( a_{i,r}, a_r, u_{i,r}, u_r \) denote tensor property of a small parcel of fluid.

Stress fields are of the form

\[ V = \begin{bmatrix} u(x, y), v(x, y), 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = T(x, y), \quad C = C(x, y), \quad S = S(x, y) . \]  
(7)

Noted that Burgers’ fluid model reduces to the special cases of the Oldroyd-B model, Maxwell model and the Newtonian fluid model when \( \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0 \) and \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0 \), respectively.

In the present work, following assumptions have been made:

1. The two-dimensional steady, incompressible flow of generalized Burgers nanofluid over a stretching sheet is considered.
2. The \( x \)-axis is assumed to be along the stretching sheet and \( y \)-axis is assumed to be normal to it (see Fig. 1).
3. The flow is induced due to the linear stretching of sheet varying with distance \( x \), i.e., \( U_w = bx \) where \( b \) is a real positive number and \( x \) is the coordinate measured from the location where the sheet velocity is zero.

4. Thermophoresis and Brownian motion effects are also taken into account.

5. Magnetic field of strength \( B_0 \) is applied in transverse direction to the flow.

6. \( T_w \) is the surface temperature at the wall, \( C_w \) is the solutal concentration. At large distance from the sheet, temperature, nanoparticle concentrations are represented by \( T_\infty \) and \( C_\infty \), respectively.

![Figure 1: Physical model of the problem.](image)

Making use of (7) into (1) to (4), Under the boundary layer approximation, the velocity, temperature and concentration fields are governed by the following equations, Hayat et al. [25]:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 ,
\]  

(8)
\[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \lambda_1 \left( u^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + v^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + 2uv \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \right) \]
\[ + \lambda_2 \left[ \begin{array}{c}
 u^3 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + v^3 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} + u^2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \right) \\
 + 3u^2 \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + 3uv \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \right) \\
 + 2uv \left( \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^2 \partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial y^2 \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) \end{array} \right] \]
\[ = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + u \lambda_3 \left( \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial y^3} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\sigma B_0}{\rho} u \, , \]

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \tau \left( D_B \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right) - \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y} + q''', \quad (10) \]

\[ u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D_B \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \, , \quad (11) \]

with the relevant boundary conditions

\[ u = U_w(x), \quad v = 0, \quad T = T_w, \quad C = C_w \text{ at } y = 0 \, , \]
\[ u \to 0, \quad T \to T_\infty, \quad C \to C_\infty \text{ at } y \to \infty \quad (12) \]

where \( u \) and \( v \) represent the velocity components in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively, \( \alpha \) – the thermal diffusivity, \( \nu = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \) is kinematic viscosity, \( \rho \) is density of fluid, \( \sigma \) is the electrical conductivity, whereas \( \tau \) denotes the ratio of effective heat capacity of the nanoparticle material to the heat capacity of the fluid, \( D_B \) the Brownian diffusion coefficient and \( D_T \) the thermophoresis diffusion coefficient, \( q_r \) is the radiative heat flux, \( q''' \) is the non-uniform heat source/sink, where \( q''' \) is the space and temperature dependent heat generation/absorption which can be expressed as

\[ q''' = \frac{k U_w}{x u} \left[ A(T_w - T_\infty) + B(T - T_\infty) \right] \, , \quad (13) \]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are parameters of space and temperature dependent heat generation or absorption. Here, if \( A > 0 \) and \( B > 0 \) corresponds to internal heat generation, whereas \( A < 0 \) and \( B < 0 \) corresponds to internal heat absorption.

Using the Rosseland approximation for radiation, radiation heat flux is simplified as

\[ q_r = -\frac{4\sigma^* \partial T^4}{3k^* \partial y} - \frac{16\sigma^* \tau^3 T^3 \partial T}{3k^* \partial y} \, , \quad (14) \]
where $\sigma^*$ is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant and $k^*$ is the mean absorption coefficient.

Now after simplification Eq. (10) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \alpha + \frac{16\sigma^* T_\infty^3}{3k^*} \right] \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \tau \left[ D_B \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] + q'''.
\end{align*}
$$

(15)

We introduce the change of variables as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi = \left( \frac{u w(x)}{v x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\eta), & \quad T = T_\infty (1 + (\theta_w - 1) \theta), \\
\phi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_w - C_\infty}, & \quad \eta = \left( \frac{u w(x)}{v x} \right),
\end{align*}
$$

(16)

where $\theta_w = \frac{T_w}{T_\infty}$, $\theta_w > 1$ being the temperature ratio parameter. Where $\varphi$ the stream function is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
u = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} & \quad \text{and} \quad v = -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}
\end{align*}
$$

(17)

Now using Eqs. (16) and (17), Eq. (8) is identically satisfied and Eqs. (9), (11) and (15) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
f''' + f f'' - f'^2 + \beta_1 \left( 2 f f' f'' - f^2 f''' + \right) + \beta_2 \left( f^3 f''' - 2 f f'^2 f'' - 3 f^2 f'' f'^2 \right) \\
+ \beta_3 \left( f' f'' - f f''' \right) - M f' = 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(18)

$$
\left[ 1 + \frac{4}{3} R \frac{d}{d\eta} (1 + (\theta_w - 1) \theta)^3 \right] \phi'' + \Pr f \phi' + N \phi'' + N t \theta^2 + A f' + B \theta = 0,
$$

(19)

$$
\phi'' + \text{Le} f \phi' + \frac{N t}{N \theta} \phi'' = 0,
$$

(20)

with the boundary condition:

$$
\begin{align*}
f = 0, & \quad f' = 1, \quad \theta = 1, \quad \phi = 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta = 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(21)

where $\beta_1 = \lambda_b b$ and $\beta_2 = \lambda_b b^2$ are the Deborah numbers in terms of relaxation time respectively, $\beta_3 = \lambda_b b$ is the Deborah number in terms of retardation time, $R = \frac{4\sigma^* T_\infty^3}{4k^*}$ is the radiation parameter. $\Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}$ is the Prandtl number, $\text{Le} = \frac{\alpha}{\tau DB(C_w - C_\infty)}$ is the Lewis number, $Nb = \frac{\tau DB(C_w - C_\infty)}{v}$ is
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the Brownian motion parameter, $N_t = \frac{\tau D_T (T_w - T_\infty)}{T_\infty v}$ is the thermophoresis parameter. The symbols: prime, double prime, etc. denote first order derivative, second order derivative, respectively.

The local Nusselt number ($\text{Nu}_x$) and local Sherwood number ($\text{Sh}_x$) are

$$\text{Nu}_x = \frac{U_w q_w}{k b (T_w - T_\infty)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sh}_x = \frac{U_w q_m}{D_m b (C_w - C_\infty)}$$

where the surface shear stress $q_w$ and the surface heat flux $q_m$ are given by

$$q_w = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + q_r \right)_{y=0} \quad \text{and} \quad j_w = -D_m \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}.$$ 

Using similarity transformations we get

$$\text{Nu}_x (\text{Re}_x)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[ 1 + \frac{4}{3} R \theta_w ^{\frac{3}{2}} \right] \theta'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sh}_x (\text{Re}_x)^{\frac{1}{2}} = -\phi'(0),$$

where the local Reynolds number has been defined as $\text{Re}_x = \frac{x U_w(x)}{v}$ (see Khan and Khan [24]).

3 Numerical method

Equations (18)–(20) are highly non-linear in nature, hence the exact solution does not seem to be feasible. Therefore, these equations with subject to boundary conditions (21) are solved numerically by Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg fourth-fifth order method (denoted RKF45) using a high-level language and interactive environment. In this package, two submethods are available, namely trapezoidal and midpoint method. To solve this kind of two point boundary value problem the trapezoidal method is generally efficient, but it is incapable to handle harmless end point singularities, but this can able in midpoint method. Thus, the midpoint method with the Richardson extrapolation enhancement scheme is chosen as a sub-method. Here first we reduced the given equations into system of seven first order simultaneous equations having seven unknowns as:

$$f' = y, \quad f'' = y_1, \quad f''' = y_2, \quad f'''' = y_3,$$

$$y_3 = -(f y_1 + y^2 + \beta_1 (2 f y y_1 - f^2 y_2) + \beta_2 (f^3 y_2 - 2 f y^2 y_2 - 3 f^2 y_1^2) + \beta_3 (y_1^2 - f y_2) - M y),$$
\[ \theta' = z, \quad \theta'' = z_1, \]
\[ z_1 = -\left( \frac{\frac{4}{3} R \frac{d}{d\eta} (1 + (\theta_w - 1)\theta)}{1 + \frac{4}{3} R \frac{d}{d\eta} (1 + (\theta_w - 1)\theta)} \right) (Pr f z + Nb z q + Nt z^2 + Ay + B\theta), \]
\[ \phi' = q, \quad \phi'' = q_1, \]
\[ q = -\left( Le f q + \frac{Nt}{Nb} q_1 \right), \]
with the corresponding conditions as
\[ f = 0, \quad y_1 = 1, \quad \theta = 1, \quad \phi = 1, \text{ as } \eta = 0, \]
\[ y_1 \to 0, \quad \theta \to 0, \quad \phi \to 0 \text{ at } \eta \to \infty. \]

The asymptotic boundary conditions at \( \eta_\infty \), were replaced by those at \( \eta_\infty = 6 \) in accordance with standard practice in the boundary layer analysis. Additionally, the relative error tolerance for convergence is considered to be \( 10^{-6} \) throughout our numerical computation. Further it is important to mention that as finding the solutions of velocity, temperature and concentration, the CPU time to estimate the values of velocity (1.58 s) is much less than the CPU time to evaluate the values of temperature (2.65 s) and the CPU (central processing unit) time (or processing time) for concentration is 2.90 s. To assess the accuracy of the aforementioned numerical method, comparison of skin friction coefficient and local Nusselt number values between the present results and existing results for various values presented in Tab. 1.

4 Results and discussion

To get a clear insight into the physical situation of the present problem, numerical values for velocity, temperature and concentration profile are computed for different values of dimensionless parameters using the method described in the previous section. The numerical results are tabulated and displayed with the graphical illustrations. Figures 2a and 2b demonstrate the effects of Deborah number, \( \beta_1 \), on the velocity profile. It is observed from this plot that the thickness of the momentum boundary layer is found
Table 1: Comparison results for the function $-f''(0)$ for several values of $M$ in the case $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$, where $M$ is the magnetic parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>Cortell [38]</th>
<th>Ramesh et al [8]</th>
<th>Present result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.09546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.224</td>
<td>1.224</td>
<td>1.22475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>1.41421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>1.48324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.581</td>
<td>1.581</td>
<td>1.58114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>1.73205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to decrease as Deborah number increases, which results in thicker boundary layer thickness. Physically, Deborah number is the ratio of relaxation to observation time. So with the enhancement in Deborah number the relaxation time also increases which provides more resistance to the fluid motion. Therefore, velocity profile diminishes. Figure 2b is the representation of velocity profile for various values of the Deborah number, $\beta_2$. It is evident that the large values of Deborah number results in thickening of thermal boundary layer. Figure 2c explores the effect of the Deborah number, $\beta_3$, on velocity profile. This figure reveals an increasing behavior of the velocity profile for larger values of the Deborah number. Physically, it is due to fact that Deborah number is dependent on the retardation time. Therefore the larger value of Deborah number increases the retardation time. Consequently, the fluid flow is accelerated.

Figures 3a and 3b are depicted for the variation of the non-uniform heat source/sink parameters, $A$, and, $B$, on temperature profiles. Apparently, these figures show increasing behavior of temperature profile with the larger values of the non-uniform heat source/sink parameters. It is also observed that the heat is generated for increasing values of $A > 0$ and $B > 0$ and this causes an increase in the heat transfer rate in both cases. The behaviors of magnetic parameter, $M$, on velocity, temperature and concentration distribution are sketched in Figs. 4a, 4b and 4c. From Fig. 4a it is found that
Figure 2: Influence of $\beta_1$ – (a), $\beta_2$ – (b), $\beta_3$ – (c) on velocity profile.
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Table 2: Variation of skin friction coefficient, Nusselt number, $Nu_x$, and Sherwood number, $Sh_x$, for different physical parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>$\theta_0$</th>
<th>$Le$</th>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$Nb$</th>
<th>$Nr$</th>
<th>$Pr$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$\beta_1$</th>
<th>$\beta_2$</th>
<th>$\beta_3$</th>
<th>$-Nu_x\Re^{-1/2}$</th>
<th>$-Sh_x\Re^{-1/2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.1075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.51664</td>
<td>1.1239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.47499</td>
<td>1.13612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.61274</td>
<td>1.09506</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.49993</td>
<td>1.12718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.48174</td>
<td>1.11477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.52193</td>
<td>1.11051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.58802</td>
<td>0.82041</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.54213</td>
<td>1.34748</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.56778</td>
<td>1.12409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.54866</td>
<td>1.09808</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.72721</td>
<td>0.89262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.61020</td>
<td>1.07640</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.50978</td>
<td>1.13244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.72270</td>
<td>1.16499</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.68551</td>
<td>1.14826</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.65061</td>
<td>1.13488</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.46902</td>
<td>1.11428</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.50978</td>
<td>1.11855</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.85678</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.99486</td>
<td>1.10318</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55869</td>
<td>1.11209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55722</td>
<td>1.10919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.57558</td>
<td>1.13516</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.57516</td>
<td>1.13455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.57475</td>
<td>1.13394</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
<td>1.11075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.56716</td>
<td>1.12334</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.57516</td>
<td>1.13455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Velocity and momentum boundary layer thickness increase with increase in magnetic parameter. This is due to the fact that the applied transverse magnetic field produces a force called the Lorentz force, which opposes the flow. This resistive force tends to slow down the flow, so the effect of $M$ decreases the velocity and also causes increase in its temperature and con-
Figure 3: Influence of $A$ - (a), $B$ - (b) on temperature profile.

The impact of Brownian motion parameter, $Nb$, on the temperature and concentration distributions is depicted through Figs. 5a and 5b. It is anticipated by the Fig. 5a that the temperature distribution increases as the Brownian motion parameter increases. As Brownian motion parameter increases, random motion of fluid particles increase which results in more heat production. Thus temperature profiles show increasing behavior.
Figure 4: Influence of $M$ on: a – velocity profile, b – temperature profile, c – concentration c.
whereas the concentration profiles show opposite behavior. Figures 6a and 6b are plotted to see the effects of thermophoresis parameter, $Nt$, on the temperature and nanoparticle concentration profiles. It is clear that the larger value of thermophoresis parameter is to increase the temperature and nanoparticle concentration profiles. It is also found that the effect of thermophoresis parameter is also to intensify the heat transfer. Impact of temperature ratio parameter, $\theta_w$, on the temperature profile is given in Fig. 7a, and it indicates that increase in temperature ratio parameter increases the temperature profile and corresponding boundary layer thick-
Figure 6: Influence of $Nt$ on: a – temperature profile, b – concentration profile.

ness. Figure 7b describes the influences of radiation parameter, $R$, on the dimensionless temperature profile. The results are presented for four different values of radiation parameter. It is clear that the thermal boundary layer thickness increases for increasing values of radiation parameter. This is due to the fact that the increase in radiation parameter provides more heat to fluid that causes an enhancement in the temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness.

Figure 7c demonstrates the effect of Prandtl number, Pr, on temperature profile. It is noticed that, the temperature profile and corresponding thermal boundary layer depresses rapidly with increasing values of Pr.
Figure 7: Influence of $R$ on temperature profile – a, influence of $\theta$ on temperature profile – b, influence of $Pr$ on temperature profile – c.
Physically, the Prandtl number is the ratio of momentum diffusivity to thermal diffusivity. In fact, the larger the value of Prandtl number renders lower thermal diffusivity. A reduction in the thermal diffusivity leads to the decrease in temperature and its associated boundary layer thickness, which as can be shown in Fig. 7c. Figures 8a and 8b depict the effect the Lewis number, Le, on temperature and concentration profiles, respectively. It is evidently observed that, the thermal and solutal boundary layer thickness decrease as Lewis number increases. The physical reason behind this is the increase in Lewis number implies decrease in solute diffusivity or
less Brownian diffusion and eventually less penetration depth for both rate of heat transfer and mass transfer rate. Figure 9a and 9b shows that the influence of $\theta_w$ and $R$ versus Pr and $\theta_w$ parameters respectively on Nusselt number. It is noticed that the Nusselt number increases rapidly with increasing values of $\theta_w$ and $R$ versus Pr and $\theta_w$, respectively. Figure 10a and 10b are plotted to illustrate the effects of $A$ and $Nb$ versus $B$ and $Nt$ parameters respectively on local Nusselt number. The local Nusselt number decreases by increasing of $A$ and $Nb$ versus $B$ and $Nt$ parameters respectively. Figure 11a shows that the influence of $R$ and Le parameter on the local Sherwood number. It is clear that the Sherwood number increases for increasing values of $R$ and Le. Figure 11b and 11c depicts the variation of
local Sherwood number in response to a change in $Nb$ and $Le$ versus $Nt$ respectively. The graph shows that the local Sherwood number decreases as $Nb$ and $Le$ versus $Nt$ respectively increase.

### 5 Conclusion

An analysis has been developed to investigate the boundary layer flow and heat transfer of generalized Burgers’ nanofluid over the stretching sheet in the presence of non-linear radiation and non-uniform heat source sink. A comparison between the present numerical solutions with previously published results has been included, and the results are found to be in excellent
Figure 11: Influence of $Le$ against $R$ on Sherwood number – a, influence of $Nt$ against $Nb$ on Sherwood number – b, influence of $Nt$ against $Le$ on Sherwood number – c.
agreement. The effects of various parameters on the flow and heat transfer are observed from the graphs and are summarized as follows:

1. Temperature and boundary layer thickness are decreasing functions of the non-uniform heat source sink parameter \((A\) and \(B\)).

2. Influence of Brownian motion parameter is to increase the heat transfer rate at the surface and decreases the mass transfer rates.

3. The higher value of Lewis number decreases dimensionless mass transfer rates.

4. A rise in thermophoresis parameter increases temperature and concentration in the boundary layer region.

5. Momentum boundary layer thickness reduces due to the influence of Lorenz force.

6. For increasing values of \(\beta_1\) the momentum boundary layer thickness decreases.

7. The velocity and boundary layer thickness are increasing functions of \(\beta_2\) and \(\beta_2\).

8. An increasing the radiation and temperature ratio parameter increases the temperature profile.

9. Thermal boundary layer thickness decreases by increasing the Prandtl number.
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