Different fractional order models for an experimental smart beam system

K.A. MARKOWSKI1∗, I. BIRS2, C.I. MURESAN2, and O. PRODAN3

1 Warsaw University of Technology, Department of Electrical Engineering, ISEP, 75 Koszykowa St., 00-662 Warsaw, Poland
2 Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Dept. of Automation, 28 Memorandumului St., 400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
3 Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Dept. of Civil Engineering, 15C Daicoviciu St., 400020 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Abstract. The applicability of fractional calculus in system engineering outperforms classic identification techniques due to its ability to depict physical phenomena with increased accuracy. The present study explores the increased accuracy and flexibility of a fractional order model applied to an experimental smart beam depicting an airplane wing. The paper details the fractional order system identification of the beam and explores the possibility of realization of the model.
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1. Introduction

The ability of a model to accurately describe the physical properties and the behavior of a process is crucial for developing efficient control strategies. When compared to the classical, integer order system identification, a fractional order model of the process better describes the dynamics of the overall process. Fractional calculus based identification has been studied intensely in the last years, especially for processes that exhibit viscoelastic properties [1]. Accurate models have been obtained for non-Newtonian fluids [2, 3], lung pathology in pulmonary diseases [4], HIV infection models [5], smart beam [6] and many more.

Fractional order identification techniques have been previously explored through complex mathematics such as using the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives [7], least-square method and instrumental variable [8], continuous order-distributions [9] or optimization algorithms such as particle swarm optimization (PSO) [10].

In this paper, a fractional order transfer function is used to describe the model of a smart beam equipped with piezoelectric sensors and actuators. The beam is fixed at one end and it is left to freely vibrate at the other end. The aluminum beam has a viscoelastic characteristic that makes it fit for fractional order modeling. An experimental fractional order identification is presented based on the frequency domain magnitude of the oscillations.

The main purpose of this paper is to present a method, which will allow us to determine all possible realizations of the smart beam system using digraph theory. As a result, we have proposed the digraph-structure in the class $K_1$. The digraphs classes in [11] have been defined and presented in detail. It should be noted, that for the first time in the papers [12] and [13] the use of digraph theory to analyze dynamical systems was proposed. Since then, more and more scientists try to use this theory in research, for example: electrical circuits [14, 15], kinetic of compartmental system [16], descriptor systems [17, 18].

This work has been organized as follows. Section 2 presents the identification process of the smart beam system. Then, in Sec. 3 different fractional order models are introduced. Elementary properties of the one- and two-dimensional digraph theory used to determine realization of the different fractional order models are presented in Sec. 4. In Sec. 5 the method used to determine the variable fractional order model and its realization has been presented in details. Finally, we propose some concluding remarks and outline problems open to further studies.

2. Fractional order identification

An integer order model of the smart beam has been determined experimentally by exciting the beam with a sinusoidal input of frequency $14.45$ Hz and amplitude $1$ V. The model obtained is given by

$$H(s) = \frac{1}{0.0128s^2 + 0.0156s + 104.94}$$ (1)

The fit of the model (1) can be seen in Fig. 1. As can be seen, the model accurately describes the dynamics of the beam, but only at the frequency at which the identification was performed. A simple second order integer order model is unable to approximate the physical output at any given frequency; thus a more reliable model is needed.

The viscoelastic characteristic of the smart beam makes it suitable for a fractional order identification. The identification is performed assuming the following model

$$H_{FO}(s) = \frac{1}{a_1s^2 + a_2s^\alpha + a_3},$$ (2)
Optimization algorithms start searching for the optimal solution from an initial point. The starting point is chosen based on analyzing the plausible solutions that can be obtained. Choosing different starting points may lead to different solutions.

The obtained optimal fractional order transfer function is

$$H_{FO}(s) = \frac{1}{0.0131s^2 + 0.027s^{0.89} + 107.72}$$

The simulated results using the fractional model compared to the experimental data is shown in Fig. 2. The resonant frequency magnitude and the magnitudes enclosing it are accurately approximated using the obtained fractional model.

![Fig. 2. Frequency response of the fractional order model and experimental data](image)

A comparison between the fractional and integer order models is realized through performance indices.

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{exp}(i) - y_{r}(i))^2.$$  

The obtained results in terms of the performance measurement is detailed in Table 1.

### Table 1. Comparative performance results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f [Hz]</th>
<th>$J_{as<del>in</del>(8)}$</th>
<th>$J_{as<del>in</del>(8)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>10.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>27.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>19.35</td>
<td>40.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>14.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.71</td>
<td>17.99</td>
<td>21.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>10.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another experimental validation of the fractional and integer order models is realized for a sinusoidal input of variable
frequencies between 12 Hz and 16 Hz in Fig. 3. The fit obtained through the FO model better captures the dynamics of smart beam when compared to the integer order one. Near the resonant frequency, the fit obtained through the fractional model outperforms the integer order one by 65%.

\[ F(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)], \]

where \( F(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)] \). Using the Laplace transforms

\[ X_k(s) = \mathcal{L}[x_k(t)], \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n; \]

\[ U(s) = \mathcal{L}[u(t)] \]

and (11) we can write (9) in the following form

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1(s) \\
X_2(s) \\
\vdots \\
X_n(s)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -A_{1,1} & \cdots & -A_{1,n} \\
-A_{2,1} & 1 & \cdots & -A_{2,n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-A_{n,1} & -A_{n,2} & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1(s) \\
X_2(s) \\
\vdots \\
X_n(s)
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
B_1 \\
B_2 \\
\vdots \\
B_n
\end{bmatrix} U(s) +
\begin{bmatrix}
-\sum_{j=1}^{m} s^{\alpha_1-j_1} x_{1,1}^{j_1-1} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{j=1}^{m} s^{\alpha_1-j_1} x_{n,0}^{j_1-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Equation (13) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1(s) \\
X_2(s) \\
\vdots \\
X_n(s)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -A_{1,1} & \cdots & -A_{1,n} \\
-A_{2,1} & 1 & \cdots & -A_{2,n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-A_{n,1} & -A_{n,2} & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1(s) \\
X_2(s) \\
\vdots \\
X_n(s)
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
B_1 \\
B_2 \\
\vdots \\
B_n
\end{bmatrix} U(s) +
\begin{bmatrix}
-\sum_{j=1}^{m} s^{\alpha_1-j_1} x_{1,1}^{j_1-1} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{j=1}^{m} s^{\alpha_1-j_1} x_{n,0}^{j_1-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

After using (14) and

\[ Y(s) = CX(s), \quad U(s) = \mathcal{L}[u(t)] \]

can determine the transfer matrix in the following form:

\[
T(s) =
\begin{bmatrix}
C_1 & \cdots & C_n
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
-\sum_{j=1}^{m} s^{\alpha_1-j_1} x_{1,1}^{j_1-1} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{j=1}^{m} s^{\alpha_1-j_1} x_{n,0}^{j_1-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
B_1 \\
B_2 \\
\vdots \\
B_n
\end{bmatrix}
\]

4. Digraphs
A directed graph (called also digraph) \( \mathcal{D} \) consists of a non-empty finite set \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{D}) \) of elements called vertices and a finite set \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \) of ordered pairs of distinct vertices called arcs. We call \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{D}) \) the vertex set and \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \) the arc set of \( \mathcal{D} \). We will often write \( \mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A}) \) which means that \( \mathcal{V} = \{ v_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \} \)

Fig. 3. Integer and fractional order validation of the obtained models on experimental data obtained through a swept sine between [12, 16] Hz.
and $A = \{(v_i, v_j) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ are the vertex set and arc set of $D$, respectively.

A two-dimensional digraph $D^{(2)}$ is a directed graph with two types of arcs and input flows. For the first time, this type of digraph was presented in [12].

**Definition 1.** A two-dimensional digraph $D^{(2)}$ is sextuple $(s, V, A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2)$ where $s$ is the source, $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the set of vertices, $A_1$ and $A_2$ are subsets of $V \times V$ whose elements are called $A_1$-arcs and $A_2$-arcs respectively, meanwhile $B_1$ and $B_2$ are subsets of $s \times V$ whose elements are called $B_1$-arcs and $B_2$-arcs respectively.

There are two types of representations of the directed graph: list and incidence matrix. In detail, they are presented in books [20]. In this paper, an incidence matrix representation will be used. There exists an arc from vertex $v_j$ to vertex $v_i$ if and only if $(i, j)$-th entry of the matrix is non-zero.

**Remark 1.** It should be noted that $A_k$-arcs and $B_k$-arcs ($k = 1, 2$), are drawn by the other colour or line style.

**Example 1.** For the system described by the matrices

$$
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\
v_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
v_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
v_3 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\
v_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
v_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
v_3 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
$$

we can draw digraph $D^{(2)}$, presented in Fig. 4, consisting of the set of vertices $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and set of arcs $A = \{(v_1, v_1)_{A_1}, (v_1, v_2)_{A_1}, (v_1, v_2)_{A_2}, (v_2, v_3)_{A_2}, (v_2, v_1)_{A_2}\}$.

Fig. 4. Two-dimensional digraph $D^{(2)}$ corresponding to matrices (17)

Some basic definitions from digraph theory which are used for further considerations will be presented below. A walk in a digraph $D$ is a finite sequence of arcs in which every two vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are adjacent or identical. In Fig. 4 there is the following walk: $(v_1, v_2)_{A_2} \rightarrow (v_2, v_3)_{A_2} \rightarrow (v_3, v_2)_{A_1} \rightarrow (v_2, v_3)_{A_2} \rightarrow (v_3, v_2)_{A_1} \rightarrow (v_2, v_3)_{A_2}$. A walk in which all of the arcs are distinct is called a path. In Fig. 4 there is the following path: $(v_1, v_1)_{A_1} \rightarrow (v_1, v_1)_{A_1}$. The path, that goes through all vertices, is called a finite path. In Fig. 4 there is the following finite path: $(v_1, v_3)_{A_1} \rightarrow (v_3, v_2)_{A_1} \rightarrow (v_2, v_3)_{A_1}$. If the initial and the terminal vertices of the path are the same, then the path is called a cycle. In Fig. 4 there is the following cycle: $(v_1, v_1)_{A_1}$ or $(v_1, v_2)_{A_2} \rightarrow (v_2, v_3)_{A_2} \rightarrow (v_3, v_2)_{A_1} \rightarrow (v_2, v_3)_{A_2} \rightarrow (v_3, v_2)_{A_1}$. More information about digraph theory is provided in [20] and [21].

### 5. Realization problem

Let transfer function be described by the equation (7), which can be rewritten in its equivalent form:

$$H_{FO}(s) = \frac{76.3359}{s^2 + 2.0611s^{0.89} + 8222.9}. \quad (18)$$

Taking into account that each power can be represented as a sum of powers, therefore we can decompose $s^{0.89}$ as:

$$s^{0.89} \text{ and } s^2 = s^{0.22}s^{0.89}= s^{0.22}s^{0.89}. \quad (19)$$

In this case we have linear system consisting of two sub-systems with different fractional orders equal to $\alpha_1 = 0.22$ and $\alpha_2 = 0.89$ in the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{D}_t^{0.22}x_1(t) \\
\mathcal{D}_t^{0.89}x_2(t)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\
A_{2,1} & A_{2,2}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1(t) \\
x_2(t)
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
B_1 \\
B_2
\end{bmatrix} u(t),
$$

$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix}C_1 & C_2\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x_1(t) \\ x_2(t)\end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The transfer function of the system (20) is given by:

$$H_{FO}(s) = \begin{bmatrix}C_1 & C_2\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}I_n & s^{0.22} - A_{1,1} & -A_{1,2} \\
-A_{2,1} & I_n & s^{0.89} - A_{2,2}\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}B_1 \\
B_2\end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

It should be noted that model (20) and its transfer function (21) is similar to Roesser model, which is well known in two-dimensional system theory [22]. Transfer function (21) can be considered as a pseudo-rational function of the variables $\lambda_1 = s^{0.22}$ and $\lambda_2 = s^{0.89}$ in the form:

$$H_{FO}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{n(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix}C_1 & C_2\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}I_n & -A_{1,1} & -A_{1,2} \\
-A_{2,1} & I_n & -A_{2,2}\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}B_1 \\
B_2\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix}C_1 & C_2\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}B_1 \\
B_2\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

where the characteristic polynomial has the following form:

$$d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \det \begin{bmatrix}A_{1,1} & -A_{1,2} \\
-A_{2,1} & A_{2,2}\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \det \begin{bmatrix}A_{1,1} & -A_{1,2} \\
-A_{2,1} & A_{2,2}\end{bmatrix}.$$
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Fornasini-Marchesini model (IF-M), which has the following structure:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 x(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} = A_1 \frac{\partial x(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1} + A_2 \frac{\partial x(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_2} \\
+ B_1 \frac{\partial u(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1} + B_2 \frac{\partial u(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_2},
\]

(25)

The transfer function, consisting of two variables \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \), corresponding to (25) has the form:

\[
T(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{n(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}
\]

(26)

where

\[
\tilde{d}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \det (\lambda I - A_1 \lambda_1 - A_2 \lambda_2^{-1})
\]

(27)

is the characteristic polynomial. Comparing (23) with (27) we obtain the following relations:

\[
A_{2,2} = A_1, \quad A_{1,1} = A_2, \quad A_{1,2} = A_{2,1} = 0.
\]

(28)

It should be noted that relation (28) results from structure of the characteristic polynomial (27) of the second Fornasini-Marchesini model and it is easy to determine. If conditions (28) are satisfied then characteristic polynomials (23) and (27) are equivalents and \( d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \equiv \tilde{d}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \).

After multiplying denominator of the transfer function (26) by \( \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \) we can write characteristic polynomial (27) in the following form

\[
\tilde{d}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \det (I - A_1 \lambda_1^{-1} - A_2 \lambda_2^{-1}).
\]

(29)

Comparing (24) with (29) we obtain characteristic polynomial:

\[
d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 1 + 2.0611 \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} + 8222.9 \lambda_1^{-2} \lambda_2^{-1}.
\]

(30)

The equation (30) can be written as a sum of binomials in the following form:

\[
\tilde{d}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (1 + 2.0611 \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1}) \cup (1 + 8222.9 \lambda_1^{-2} \lambda_2^{-1}).
\]

(31)

For each binomial \( B_{11} \) and \( B_{21} \) from (31), we must create all possible two-dimensional digraphs representations using Proposition 1. We will assume further that: arcs corresponding to matrix \( A_1 \) are drawn by the solid line and we assign the weight \( w(v_i, v_j) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} \) for \( v_i, v_j \in V \); arcs corresponding to matrix \( A_2 \) are drawn by the dashed line and we assign the weight \( w(v_i, v_j) \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2 \) for \( v_i, v_j \in V \). It should be noted that in arc weight \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) is label corresponding to state matrix \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) respectively.

Proposition 1. The digraph corresponding to binomial \( B_k = 1 - a_k \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} \) for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n; \ l = 0, 1, \ldots, n; \ \ 0 < k + l \leq n \) consisting of one cycle contains \( (k + l) \)-arcs where \( l \) corresponding to \( w_1 \)-arcs and \( k \) corresponding to \( w_2 \)-arcs.

Proof. Suppose that the two-dimensional digraph \( D^2 \) consists of the following vertices: \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_n \). For each of the arcs in the digraph we assigned weights \( w(v_i, v_j) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} \) or \( w(v_i, v_j) \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2 \) for \( i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Let us consider the boundary points in the set:

- **Lower boundary**: If \( k = 0 \) and \( l = 1 \), then the power of the binomial is equal to \( a_{0,1} \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} \). The cycle consist from one arc and can be written as \( w(v_i, v_j) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} \); for \( i = j \). The dual boundary point is for \( k = 1 \) and \( l = 0 \).

- **Upper boundary**: If \( k = 0 \) and \( l = n \), then the power of the binomial is equal to \( a_{0,n} \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-n} \). The cycle can be written as \( w(v_i, v_j) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} \ldots, w(v_{n-1}, v) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1}, w(v_j, v) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} \). In this case the maximum weight in a digraph is equal to \( [w(v_1, v_2) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1} \ldots, w(v_{n-1}, v) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1}, w(v_j, v) \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1}] \lambda_2^{-n} = a_{0,n} \lambda_2^{-n} \). The dual boundary point is for \( k = n \) and \( l = 0 \).

- **Upper boundary**: If \( k = 1 \) and \( l = n - 1 \), then the power of the binomial is equal to \( a_{1,n-1} \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-n+1} \). The cycle can be written for example as \( w(v_1, v_2) \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \ldots, w(v_{n-2}, v) \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1}, w(v_j, v) \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \). In this case the maximum weight in a digraph is equal to \( \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-n+1} = a_{1,n-1} \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-n+1} \). The dual boundary is for \( k = n - 1 \) and \( l = 1 \).

From Proposition 1 we can determine binomial: \( B_{11} \) realization presented in Fig. 5a and \( B_{21} \) realized in Fig. 5b.

![Fig. 5. Digraph corresponding to binomial: a) \( B_{11} \); b) \( B_{21} \)](image)

![Fig. 6. Renumbering vertices in digraph](image)

Remark 2. It should be noted that all possible realizations of the binomials \( B_{11} \) and \( B_{21} \) can be obtained the digraphs presented in Fig. 5a and 5b respectively by:

- **Renumbering vertices in the digraph.** This operation is similar to a circle rotation and is synonymous with swapping columns/rows of a matrix that is used in the matrix theory. The results of this operation for the digraph from Fig. 5a are presented in Fig. 6a–b and for the digraph from Fig. 5b are presented in Fig. 6c–d.

- **Change of the direction of the arcs in the digraph.** This operation is similar to a transposition matrix used in the matrix theory. The results of this operation for digraphs from Figs. 5a, 6a–b are presented in Fig. 7a–c and for digraphs from Figs. 5b, 6c–d are presented in Fig. 7d–f.
The following definition is introduced for the operation of the composition relative to vertices on digraphs and will be used in further considerations.

**Definition 2.** Let \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n \) be a digraph with vertex sets \( V(G_n) = \{v_{i(n)} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \). The composition relative to vertices \( \mathcal{D}[G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n] \) is the two-dimensional digraph \( \mathcal{L}(2) = (V, A') \) with vertex set \( V(G_1) \cup V(G_2) \cup \cdots \cup V(G_n) = \{v_j : j = 1, \ldots, \max\{i(n)\}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \) and arc set \( A' = A(G_1) \cup A(G_2) \cup \cdots \cup A(G_n) \), where \( \lambda' \) denotes the operation of deleting multiple arcs with this same label.

**Example 2.** Let be given two digraphs \( G_1 \) (see Fig. 8a) corresponding to binomial \( B_{11} = 1 + \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \) and \( G_2 \) (see Fig. 8b) corresponding to binomial \( B_{21} = 1 + \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1} \) with the set of vertex \( V(G_1) = \{v_1(1), v_2(1), v_3(1)\} \) and \( V(G_2) = \{v_1(2), v_2(2)\} \). The composition relative to vertices creates a new digraph \( \mathcal{L} \), and it consists of a vertex set \( V(\mathcal{L}) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \) and arc set \( A(\mathcal{L}) \). In Fig. 9, we have presented all possible realizations of digraphs after using a composition relative to vertices.

![Two-dimensional digraph: a) \( G_1 \); b) \( G_2 \)](image)

After solving (32), we obtain the following weights of digraph arcs:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{weights of digraph arcs:} & \quad w(v_1, v_2)_{A_1} = -2.0611 \\
& \quad w(v_2, v_3)_{A_1} = -8222.9
\end{align*}
\] (33)

**Remark 3.** It should be noted that the set of equations (32) has many solutions (33) which depend on weights:

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \quad \lambda_{1}^{-1} \lambda_{2}^{-1} \quad w(v_1, v_2)_{A_2}, \quad w(v_2, v_1)_{A_2} = -2.0611 \\
\lambda_{1}^{-2} \lambda_{2}^{-1} & \quad w(v_1, v_2)_{A_2} \cdot w(v_2, v_3)_{A_2} \cdot w(v_3, v_1)_{A_2} = -8222.9
\end{align*}
\] (32)

is only one of the many possible. In addition, the solution does not depend on the structure and properties of the digraph presented in Fig. 11. Now we can write state matrices \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) in the following form:

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (34)

\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
w(v_1, v_2)_{A_2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & w(v_2, v_3)_{A_2} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (35)

Then, by the using condition (28), we can write state matrices corresponding to the transfer function (22) in the following form:

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (36)

\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
w(v_1, v_2)_{A_2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & w(v_2, v_3)_{A_2} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (37)
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Below we will consider in detail Case 1 in which we connect source vertex \( s \) with vertex \( v_1 \) and vertices \( v_1, \ldots, v_6 \) with the output vertex \( y \). Then, we determine all paths from the source \( s \) to output vertex \( y \). This kind of connection was presented in Fig. 13.

After using the composition relative to vertices (Definition 2) to digraphs presented in Figs. 13a–h, we obtain a digraph, presented in Fig. 14, corresponding to polynomial (37). Then, using the created digraph, we can write the set of equations (38) and after solving them, we receive the following weights of digraph:

\[
\begin{align*}
 w(v_1, y) &= 0, \quad w(v_2, y) = 0, \quad w(v_3, y) = 0, \\
 w(v_4, y) &= 0, \quad w(v_5, y) = \frac{76.3359}{w(s, v_1)}, \quad w(v_6, y) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

for \( w(s, v_1) \in \mathbb{R} \). Now we can write matrices in the following form:

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 76.3359 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 76.3359 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

**Remark 4.** To determine state matrix \( A \) in the form (36):

- in the first stage we convert linear system consisting of two subsystems with different fractional orders (20) to two-dimensional linear second Fornasini-Marchesini model (25) and determine matrices \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \)
- in the second stage using condition (28), we convert two-dimensional linear second Fornasini-Marchesini model (25) to linear system consisting of two subsystems with different fractional orders (20).

Because we used twice conversion between models then to determine input matrix \( B \) and output matrix \( C \) we will use the digraph corresponding to the matrix (36), presented in Fig. 12. In the next step, we need to extend the obtained digraph by adding source vertex \( s \) and output vertex \( y \). Then we connect source with output in such a way to obtain a path with weight equal to polynomial

\[
n(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 76.3359\lambda_1^{-2}\lambda_2^{-1}
\]

which we obtain by multiplying transfer function (22) by \( \lambda_1^{-2}\lambda_2^{-1} \). We have four possible cases in which we connect source vertex \( s \) with vertex: \( v_1; v_2; v_5; v_6 \); and we connect vertices \( v_1, \ldots, v_6 \) with the output vertex \( y \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1^{-1}w(s, v_1)w(v_1, y) &\quad \Rightarrow w(s, v_1)w(v_2, y) = 0 \\
\lambda_1^{-2}w(s, v_1)w(v_1, v_2)w(v_2, y) &\quad \Rightarrow w(s, v_1)w(v_3, y) = 0 \\
\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1}w(s, v_1)w(v_1, v_4)w(v_4, y) &\quad \Rightarrow w(s, v_1)w(v_5, y) = 0 \\
\lambda_1^{-2}\lambda_2^{-1}w(s, v_1)w(v_1, v_5)w(v_5, y) &\quad \Rightarrow w(s, v_1)w(v_6, y) = 76.3359 \\
\lambda_1^{-2}\lambda_2^{-2}(-2.0611 \cdot w(s, v_1)w(v_1, v_2)w(v_2, v_3)w(v_3, v_4)w(v_4, y)) &\quad \Rightarrow -2.0611 \cdot w(s, v_1)w(v_4, y) = 0 \\
\lambda_1^{-3}\lambda_2^{-1}w(s, v_1)w(v_1, v_6)w(v_2, v_3)w(v_3, v_6)w(v_6, y) &\quad \Rightarrow w(s, v_1)w(v_6, y) = 0 \\
\lambda_1^{-3}\lambda_2^{-2}(-8222.9 \cdot w(s, v_1)w(v_1, v_2)w(v_2, v_3)w(v_3, v_6)w(v_6, v_4)w(v_4, y)) &\quad \Rightarrow -8222.9 \cdot w(s, v_1)w(v_4, y) = 0
\end{align*}
\]
The desired realization \((A, B, C)\) of the smart beam system described by the transfer function (18) is given by the matrices (36) and (39) for \(w(s,v_1) \in \mathbb{R}\).

**Remark 5.** It should be noted that the other cases we obtained by extending digraph-structure presented in Fig. 12 by connecting \(v_1, \ldots, v_6\) with output vertex \(y\) and source vertex \(s\) with: \(v_2\) (Case 2); \(v_3\) (Case 3); \(v_6\) (Case 4). Method of determining the matrix \(B\), and \(C\) is the same as in Case 1.

### 6. Concluding remarks

A method which allows us to determine all possible realizations using two-dimensional digraph theory to the smart beam system has been described in this paper. It is shown in detail that based on the experimental identification of a smart beam system, it is possible to determine all possible realizations in an easy and straightforward way. Accordingly, a physical system can be described in state-space form. The considerations were presented for system identification in the form of fractional order (FO) model, under the assumption of a linear system consisting of two subsystems with different fractional orders. It should be noted that it is also possible to consider a general system consisting of \(n\)-subsystems with different fractional orders. In this case we can transform the model to a \(n\)-dimensional system. When the number of subsystems grows, the analysis of such a system becomes more complex.

Future work includes the extension of the method presented in this paper to obtain minimal realizations based on digraph theory for the switch fractional order model of the smart beam system.
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