A new power management strategy for PV-FC-based autonomous DC microgrid
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Abstract: Solar energy is widely available in nature and electricity can be easily extracted using solar PV cells. A fuel cell being reliable and environment friendly becomes a good choice for the backup so as to compensate for continuously varying solar irradiation. This paper presents simple control schemes for power management of the DC microgrid consisting of PV modules and fuel cell as energy sources and a hydrogen electrolyzer system for storing the excess power generated. The supercapacitor bank is used as a short term energy storage device for providing the energy buffer whenever sudden fluctuations occur in the input power and the load demand. A new power control strategy is developed for a hydrogen storage system. The performance of the system is assessed with and without the supercapacitor bank and the results are compared. A comparative study of the voltage regulation of the microgrid is presented with the controller of the supercapacitor bank, realized using a traditional PI controller and an intelligent fuzzy logic controller.
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1. Introduction

Due to high efficiency, direct current (DC) appliances are preferred everywhere. Also, renewable energy sources are being used for meeting the increased energy demand due to the fact that they are freely available in nature and are environment friendly. Solar energy is generally used for generating electrical power as the solar PV modules have negligible maintenance cost. A fuel cell (FC) is the alternate energy source which produces DC power by consuming hydrogen. If the PV system output exceeds the demand, the surplus power is stored in a hydrogen tank using electrolyzer, so that the stored hydrogen can be used for power generation from the FC, whenever necessary. Since a supercapacitor (SC) is capable of charging and discharging faster, it is preferred for short time storage.
In [1], a comprehensive review of renewable energy gadgets is performed and the scope for mitigating harmful gases like CO$_2$ is provided. An in depth survey of present status and future possibilities of different renewable energy-based systems, power electronics control-based hybrid systems and their configuration is reported in [2]. The problem of climate change and the unpredictability of oil make the use of non-conventional fuel significant. In paper [3], authors have investigated the potential for solar, wind and biomass sources for delivering energy. Emphasis is given to land prospects and restrictions on cost of production as a function of availability of resources and innovation subtleties. The material properties and application details of TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays for solar energy applications are provided in [4]. The operating principle and various properties of different types of PV modules are described in [5]. The analysis of useful work or exergy in different solar systems such as heaters, desalination systems, refrigeration and power generation systems is given in [6]. In this work, PV is used as main energy source based on these literatures and considering the reliability as the major factor, fuel cell is used for backup.

The simulation and experimental study of a maximum power point tracking (MPPT) control technique for the photovoltaic array is described in [7]. In [8], a detailed simulation analysis of a PV array is provided. In paper [9], a PV array-based stand-alone power system with a battery is described and in [10] control strategies for a hybrid energy storage system involving a battery and SC is discussed for a PV-based stand-alone distributed generation (DG) system. The battery is regulated by a current-voltage control technique for energy balance and the SC bank is controlled by a V–I control technique for short-term energy management. The PV and proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC)-based stand-alone hybrid system is proposed with an ultracapacitor or supercapacitor as the only storage device in [11]. The authors used PV as it is available in abundance and extracting electricity from PV is easier. The PEMFC is chosen considering its high reliability. When the FC is used the SC bank is essential as the FC has slow dynamics. Paper [12] describes the PV and FC-based hybrid system with direct connection of the supercapacitor bank without controllers.

A decentralized PV, wind and FC-based independent microgrid with multiple generation systems are described in [13] and a comparison is performed with the centralized control scheme for validating its effectiveness in minimizing the net present cost. The same system is analyzed with a multi-agent decentralized energy management system (DEMS) with game theory. A comparative study with fuzzy cognitive maps (FCM) is provided in [14]. In [15], the feasibility of a microturbine and FC hybrid system with an electrolyzer is examined with fuzzy logic-based self-tuning controllers for frequency regulation. The paper [16] describes a PV powered desalination structure in a DC microgrid conception integrating a hybrid short-term energy storage using hybrid capacitors and a hydraulic energy storage. A multi-agent DEMS which employs an FCM for operation permitting helps in efficient operation of the system. In paper [17], an energy system model for evaluating energy storage systems in microgrids with improved algorithms is developed. An organized method for optimizing the size and life cycle for a hybrid energy storage system is developed using a direct algorithm in [18].

In [19], grid connected operation of a wind-solid oxide fuel cell hybrid system is described with a hydrogen electrolyzer and supercapacitor as storage devices. Wind and FC-based stand-alone hybrid systems with an electrolyzer-based hydrogen storage system are described in [20]. A similar system is studied with the PV as the main source in [21]. The PV and wind systems with fuel cells are described without a SC in [22].
of the hydrogen storage system is reported considering industrial loads. PEM electrolysis is an alternative for hydrogen generation from renewable sources. Certain applications like stand-alone and grid-assisted hydrogen production, using an electrolyzer for peak shaving, etc. are discussed in [24]. The neural network-based online method for regulating the operation of PEMFC for residential application is detailed in [25]. In [26], stand-alone operation of the PV-FC hybrid system is described considering AC and DC loads with a battery as the energy storage device. Fuzzy logic controllers (FLCs) are used for voltage and frequency regulation, using an inverter. In [27], the SC-based power smoothing technique is described for grid-connected operation of renewable energy sources. In [28], details of operation and design power electronic converters are provided. The fundamentals and application details of fuzzy logic controllers are provided in [29].

This paper proposes a new method with simpler control techniques for regulating the operation of a DC microgrid consisting of the PV-PEMFC hybrid system with a hydrogen storage system and SC bank. The control strategies are developed for the PEMFC and hydrogen storage, using single PI controllers to reduce complexity of the system. The comparative analysis of the microgrid operation with a V–I controlled FC stack without the SC bank and a current controlled FC stack with the V–I controlled SC bank is provided. A comparison of the voltage regulation of the system with a traditional PI controller and FLC in the outer loop of the SC bank controller is reported.

In this article, section 2 gives an overview of the autonomous DC microgrid. In section 3, a brief description about the modeling of sources and a storage system is provided. In section 4, various control techniques employed for regulating the operation of a microgrid are reported. In section 5 the simulation results are analyzed. The conclusions are made in section 6.

### 2. Configuration of microgrid

The schematic representation of the DC microgrid with a PV-PEMFC autonomous DC microgrid is shown in Fig. 1. In this system, the main power source is a PV array of 13.75 kW capacity; a PEMFC stack of capacity 10 kW serves as the backup source as maximum load expected on the system is considered as 12 kW. An electrolyzer is used to generate hydrogen based on the difference between load and generation when power generated from the PV array exceeds the load demand. A SC bank with a capacity of 250 V, 4 F is used for providing or absorbing power during sudden changes in demand and to simultaneously regulate DC bus voltage. The SC bank is designed based on the current required, FC start-up time and DC bus voltage deviation as given in [12]. The sources are coupled to the DC bus. The PV array consists of a boost converter [11] for extracting the power corresponding to maximum power point. The MPPT controller uses a PI controller for reducing the error between the conductance \( \frac{I_{PV}}{V_{PV}} \) and negative of incremental conductance \( \frac{dI_{PV}}{dV_{PV}} \) by adjusting the duty ratio of the PV system’s boost converter. The SC bank is regulated by a voltage-current (V–I) control strategy using a bidirectional DC–DC converter. The V–I control scheme maintains the DC bus voltage and compensates for power transients. The controller of the FC stack balances the power generation among the PV and PEMFC as per the load requirements when the load is more than the power generated from the PV array. A power controller is developed to store the surplus power generated in a hydrogen electrolyzer system using a buck converter.
3. Modeling of PV array, fuel cell and electrolyzer system

3.1. Modeling of PV array

Modeling of the PV array is described in [7, 8, 11]. The current produced in PV array \( I_{PV} \) is given in Equation (1).

\[
I_{PV} = N_p \times I_{ph} - N_p \times I_s \times \exp \left[ \frac{q \times \left( N_p V_o + N_s I_o R_s \right)}{N_s N_p k T_c n} - 1 \right].
\]

where \( n \) is the cell idealizing factor, \( I_{ph} \) is the photovoltaic current, \( T_c \) is the absolute temperature of a solar cell (K), \( I_s \) is the reverse saturation current, \( q \) is the charge of a single electron, \( k \) is the Boltzmann’s constant, \( N_s \) and \( N_p \) are, respectively, the number of cells in series and parallel, \( R_p \) and \( R_s \) are the shunt and series resistance of a solar cell, respectively (\( \Omega \)), \( V_o \) is the terminal voltage of a solar cell and \( I_o \) is the output current of a solar cell.

3.2. Modeling of PEMFC stack and electrolyzer system

The output power of a PEMFC stack is given as a function of flow rate \( (q) \) and partial pressure \( (p) \) of \( \text{H}_2, \text{O}_2 \) and water [21]. The voltage output of the PEMFC is given by the sum of Nernst voltage and losses. Nernst instantaneous voltage is given by Equation (2).

\[
E = N_o \times \left[ E_0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \times \log \left( \frac{p_{H_2} \times \sqrt{p_{O_2}}}{p_{H_2O}} \right) \right].
\]
$E_o$ is the no load voltage (V), $N_o$ is the number of cells in series, $F$ is Faraday’s constant (C/kmol), $R_{int}$ is the fuel cell’s internal resistance, $R$ is the universal gas constant (8314.47 J/kmol·K), $T$ is the absolute temperature of a FC and $E$ is the Nernst instantaneous voltage. Modeling of an electrolyzer system and hydrogen tank is discussed in [20, 21].

$$\eta_{H_2} = \frac{\eta_F n c i_e}{2 \times F},$$

$$\eta_F = 96.5 \times e^{(0.09/i_e-75.5/i_e^2)},$$

$$P_{b} - P_{b_i} = \frac{N_{H_2}RT_{b}}{M_{H_2}V_{b}}.$$  

$\eta_{H_2}$ is the hydrogen produced per second (mol/s), $F$ is the Faraday’s constant (C/kmol), $n_C$ is the number of cells of the electrolyzer in series, $\eta_F$ is the Faraday efficiency and $i_e$ is the electrolyzer current (A). $P_b$ is the pressure of the tank (Pascal), $P_{b_i}$ is the initial pressure (Pascal), $M_{H_2}$ is the molar mass of hydrogen (kg kmol$^{-1}$), $N_{H_2}$ is the hydrogen delivered to the tank (kmol/s), $R$ is the Rydberg constant (J/kmol·K), $T_{b}$ is the temperature (K), $V_{b}$ is the volume of the tank ($m^3$) and $z$ is the compressibility factor.

### 4. Control strategies for power management

In this section control strategies applied for a FC system, electrolyzer and supercapacitor bank for the power management of a microgrid are discussed in detail.

#### 4.1. Controller of fuel cell system

Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b) show the schematic of a current controller and V–I controller applied to the boost converter of a FC stack, respectively. The current controller is realized using a PI controller and a selection switch. The V–I controller has an outer loop to regulate voltage at a DC bus ($V_{DC}$) and an inner loop to control the FC current for balancing power. The V–I controller is used when a SC bank is removed from the system. The current controller is used when the V$I$ controlled SC bank is introduced into the system. When the load current ($I_L$) exceeds the current generated from the PV array ($I_{PV}$), the difference between demand and generation is met by the FC stack as per the control strategy. The deficit in the generation is compared with the current

![Fig. 2. Fuel cell controller: current controller (when SC bank is used) (a); V–I controller (when SC bank is not used) (b)](image-url)
output of the FC system \(I_{FC}\) and the PI regulator reduces the error generating an appropriate duty ratio making the FC generate current required to meet the load demand in a current control scheme.

### 4.2. Power control of electrolyzer system

Fig. 3 shows the schematic of the proposed power control strategy applied to the buck converter of an electrolyzer. It is also realized using a single PI controller and a selection switch. When the load demand \(P_L\) is less than the power generated from the PV array \(P_{PV}\), the excess in generation is fed as the reference power to the electrolyzer and using a PI controller electrolyzer is made to produce hydrogen according to the command from the controller. The produced hydrogen is stored in a hydrogen tank. The PI controller is used to make the difference between the reference signal \(P_{PV} - P_L\) zero so that the feedback \(P_{EL}\) follows the reference. As the microgrid is highly dynamic in nature with fluctuations both on load and source side, it is hard to find a fixed mathematical model. Hence the PI controller is tuned by the trial-and-error method.

![Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of electrolyzer controller](image)

### 4.3. Voltage-current controller of supercapacitor bank

Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b) show the schematic of the controller of the SC bank with a PI controller and FLC, respectively. During the fluctuations in the PV output and load demand, the FC fails to meet the demand quickly due to slow dynamics and hence a supercapacitor bank is used. The V–I control technique used for the SC bank helps to achieve quick power balance in the microgrid by absorbing or providing the power during sudden variations in the load. This control scheme regulates the DC link voltage. Based on the increase or decrease in voltage at a DC bus during power variations, the SC bank either charges or discharges. The current output of the SC bank is \(I_{SC}\). To tune the PI controller, an auto-tuning method is used based on the transfer function of a bidirectional DC–DC converter [27] as in Equations (6) and (7).

\[
G_{id}(s) = \frac{V_o \left(sC + \frac{2}{R}\right)}{s^2LC + s\frac{L}{R} + (1 - D)^2}, \tag{6}
\]
$$G_{vl}(s) = \frac{(1 - D)}{s} \left[ 1 - \frac{sL}{R(1 - D)^2} \right] \left( sC + \frac{2}{R} \right).$$

(7)

where $V_o$ is the output voltage of the converter, $C$ is the converter's output capacitance, $L$ is the inductance, $D$ is the duty ratio and $R$ is the resistance representing the load.

Since constant voltage required for the operation of DC loads is regulated by this controller, the accuracy of voltage regulation is the major concern. Hence a FLC is applied to the outer loop of the V–I controller so as to observe the improvement in voltage regulation. The output of the FLC is the current reference for the SC bank. The FLC is realized using the fuzzy logic tool box of MATLAB. The FLC has two inputs. The first input is the error in DC bus voltage ($e$) and second one is change in error ($\Delta e$) which is the derivative of error i.e. $\frac{de}{dt}$. The inputs are fuzzified using membership functions, fuzzified inputs produce a fuzzy output by the mandani fuzzy inference scheme and the fuzzy output is a converter into crisp output by the centroid method of de-fuzzificaton. The input and output triangular membership functions are shown in Fig. 5.
The rule base of the FLC [31] is shown in Table 1. Fuzzy sets are characterized as: Z is Zero, BN is Big Negative, SN is Negative Small, BP is Big Positive, SP is Small Positive. Example: If $e$ is Big Positive and $\Delta e$ is Small Negative, then the current reference is Big Positive.

Table 1. Rule base for FLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$e/\Delta e$</th>
<th>BN</th>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>BN</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>BP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Results and discussions

Based on 24 hours irradiance data collected from [30], input to the solar PV array is provided from the signal builder block of Simulink from sun rise to sun set by scaling down the time axis to 7 seconds. Since the objective of the work is to validate the control techniques, for the ease of analysis, short time duration is considered. In order to simulate the system for 24 hours, the rating of the storage device employed should be accordingly increased. The input irradiance pattern is shown in Fig. 6(a). Based on the input of the PV array, the power output of the PV system is shown in Fig. 6(b). The output of the PV array is directly dependent on the input irradiance.

![Fig. 6. Irradiance input to the PV array and PV system output: irradiance input (a); power output of PV system (b)](image)

Fig. 7 shows the power generated from all the sources, electrolyzer power and load demand. When the PV output exceeds the load power, surplus power is used to produce hydrogen which
is stored in a storage tank and when the demand exceeds the PV output power, the FC system supplies additional power required. The SC bank provides or absorbs power during sudden power fluctuations and helps in quick power balancing.

The amount of hydrogen produced is shown in Fig. 8(a). Based on the power controller developed, a buck converter regulates the amount of power which has to be produced, so as to

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 7.** Power generated, power stored and the load demand

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 8.** Hydrogen moles produced by electrolyzer and pressure of hydrogen tank: hydrogen moles produced (a); pressure of hydrogen tank (b)
generate hydrogen. The hydrogen generated is then sent to the storage tank. The pressure of the hydrogen tank is shown in Fig. 8(b).

Fig. 9 shows the total power supplied to the load and the load demand with and without the SC bank. High fluctuations are observed when the SC bank is absent and the V–I controlled FC stack is used for voltage and power regulation. It can be observed that under suddenly varying load conditions and highly intermittent source power, smooth power is supplied to the load when the current controlled FC and V–I controlled SC bank are employed in the system. The SC bank plays a significant role in providing smooth power to load due to its ability to charge and discharge quickly.

![Fig. 9. Power demand and generation](image)

Fig. 10 shows the Bode plot of the V–I controller of the SC bank when the PI controllers are tuned by an auto-tuning method. Controllers of outer and inner control loops are separately tuned and the closed loop stability is verified. The system is found to be stable as the phase margin and gain margin are positive.

Fig. 11 shows the regulated DC link voltage with and without the SC bank. The system voltage regulation is significantly improved when the controlled SC bank is used in the system. Due to slower dynamics, the PEMFC stack fails to regulate the voltage at a DC bus under highly intermittent conditions. Maximum fluctuation of 21 percent is observed without the SC bank.

In Fig. 12(a), it can be observed that the power fluctuations in the SC bank are less when controlled using the FLC in the outer loop as the current reference for the SC bank is generated from it. Fig. 12(b) shows the state of charge (SOC) of the SC bank, which varies as per its charge and discharge operation. The SC bank is designed so as to compensate for sudden variations in the system and since its duration of charging or discharging is smaller, variations in the SOC are minimal.
Table 2 shows the parameters of the response with the PI controller and FLC. Overshoot is much less when the FLC is used instead of the PI controller and fluctuations in the voltage are less under varying source and load powers with the FLC-based V–I controller.
P.B. Nempu, N.S. Jayalakshmi
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6. Conclusions

In this work, dynamic models of the photovoltaic array, PEMFC stack and electrolyzer system are realized in MATLAB/Simulink. An incremental conductance-based MPPT algorithm is realized for a PV array. A current control strategy is realized for an FC stack for balancing power of the DC microgrid system based on load requirements. A new power control scheme is proposed for storing the surplus power generated by the PV array in a hydrogen storage system. A voltage-current controller is used to control supercapacitor bank to manage power transients and DC link voltage regulation. The operation of the DC microgrid is analyzed with a V–I controlled FC stack without a SC bank and a current controlled FC stack with a V–I controlled SC bank and the results are compared. Improved performance in voltage regulation and power management is observed when the current controlled FC and V–I controlled SC bank are incorporated in the system. A comparative study of voltage regulation in the DC microgrid is performed using a PI controller and FLC in the outer loop of the V–I control strategy. The FLC shows better performance compared to the PI controller-based V–I controller. Thus a control scheme for effective power management and voltage regulation of the DC microgrid with the PV-PEMFC-SC hybrid system is developed. Future work will focus on employing advanced soft computing techniques for improving the operation of the DC microgrid.

![Fig. 12. Supercapacitor power and SOC: SC bank power with PI controller and FLC (a); SOC of SC bank (b)](image.png)

Table 2. Comparison of response of DC bus voltage obtained from PI controller and FLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PI controller</th>
<th>FLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overshoot (%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settling time (s)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluctuations</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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