Design of dual flywheels with connecting rods for gamma-type Stirling engine
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Abstract. A gamma-type Stirling engine, based on a dual flywheels’ concept, was designed and fabricated. Optimized design of the engine, with connecting rods, was introduced to achieve a steady state of the Stirling engine cycle. Performances of the engine were therefore presented in terms of piston motion, inertia force, angular speed, pressure-volume diagram and temperature difference. It was found that optimum length of 45 mm from the connecting rod was able to reach the maximum output mechanical speed of 738 rpm at the maximum inertia force of 0.5 N. The engine offered thermal efficiency of 46.15% and total output power of 1.21 W under the temperature difference of 267 K. In the future, this design is expected to prove useful for applications in portable generators.
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1. Introduction

A Stirling engine is a heat engine using the principle of external combustion, relying on the temperature difference between the cold side of the power cylinder and the hot side of a displacer cylinder [1]. In general, the working fluid is air, being used for the volume compression and expansion processes according to the ideal Stirling engine cycle. Previously, a single flywheel gamma-type Stirling engine was designed and fabricated. However, the friction force in between the reciprocating parts as well as the flywheel vibration caused a mass imbalance in the entire engine system [2]. The rotational speed fluctuation is defined as a type of vibration affecting the Stirling engine crank mechanism subject to this study. This characteristic would normally result in a halt of the machine’s operation [3, 4]. Many research groups have attempted continuously to boost the performance of a Stirling engine by combining it with a regenerator [5–7]. Several designs of the crankshafts have also been studied [8–11]. However, none of those designs have managed to reduce the mechanical loss. Likewise, they create an imbalance of the engine system, affecting the inertia force and angular speed.

In this contribution, the gamma-type Stirling engine is designed and constructed by means of combining the connecting rods and twin flywheels. Two flywheels behave like two cranks in order to reduce flywheel vibration and to improve the mass balance of the whole engine system. This design helps the engine reach its maximum torque. This also leads the engine to work for a longer time as compared with the single flywheel gamma-type Stirling engine. Meanwhile, the compression ratio is kept similar to the previous engine design [2]. The hot and cold sides of cylinders are made of stainless steel and brass, respectively.

Performances of the engine are therefore investigated. They include rotational speed, inertia force, temperature difference and pressure-volume diagram. Thermal efficiency and output power of the engine are also discussed. It is expected that this engine might have a high performance when it cooperates with a portable generator to create electricity.

2. Design and fabrication

Cylinder. A gamma-type Stirling engine, including two sets of cylinders and two sets of flywheels and connecting rods, was designed, as shown in Fig. 1. The displacer piston and displacer...
cylinder were both center-aligned. The material descriptions are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification of the gamma-type Stirling engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of displacer cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of displacer piston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of flywheel bore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of crank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of connecting pipe in between the cylinders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of displacer cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of displacer piston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of power cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of power piston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between hot and cold cylinders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap of dead volume from displacer cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap of dead volume from cold space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner diameter of flywheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of displacer piston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of flywheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of reciprocating parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter of flywheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piston stoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of crank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of flywheel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$D_{Dis}$, $D_{Dis}$, $x_0$ and $L$ from Table 1 were substituted in the following equations [1] to calculate:

- Swept volume of the displacer piston
  \[ V_{SD} = \frac{\pi D_{Dis}^2 x_0}{4}. \]  

- Dead volume of the displacer cylinder
  \[ V_{DE} = \frac{\pi D_{Dis}^2 L}{4}. \]  

$V_{SD}$ and $V_{DE}$ were 8.83 and 5.89 cm$^3$, respectively, whilst the compression ratio $\beta = 1 + \left(\frac{V_{SD}}{V_{DE}}\right)$ was 2.41. The calculated compression ratio was in agreement with theory [2]. This indicated that the engine design was to reach the best engine performance.

During the compression and expansion processes, the expansion volume of the displacer cylinder and the compression volume of the power piston as a function of the crankshaft angle ($\theta$) were therefore calculated as follows:

- Expansion volume
  \[ V_E = \frac{V_{SD}}{2} (1 - \cos \theta) + V_{DE}. \]  

- Compression volume
  \[ V_C = \frac{V_{SD}}{2} \left[1 + \cos(\theta)\right] + \frac{V_{SP}}{2} \left[1 - \cos(\theta - \varphi)\right] + V_{DC}. \]  

- Swept volume of the power piston
  \[ V_{SP} = \frac{\pi D_p^2 y_0}{4}. \]  

- Dead volume of compression
  \[ V_{DC} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\pi D_{Dis}^2 L_C + \pi D_P^2 L_C + \pi D_T^2 Z\right). \]

**Flywheel and connecting rod.** After substituting $m_f$, $D_0$ and $D$ in the following equation [15]:

\[ I = \frac{m_f}{2} \left(\frac{D_0^2 - D^2}{2}\right). \]

the moment of inertia of the dual flywheel was $2 \times 3.61 = 7.22$ kg·mm$^2$. As compared with the moment of inertia of the previous single flywheel design (7.22 kg·mm$^2$), the present dual flywheels resulted in a reduction of the torque fluctuation and an improvement of the absorption of mechanical energy during the compression and expansion processes [10, 11]. In addition, the forced vibration could be reduced even further by combining the flywheel and the connecting rod, as shown in Fig. 2. However,
optimum design of the connecting rod length was necessary for the displacer piston and power piston to move sinusoidally into an equivalent static system.

A pretest of the designed and fabricated engine was necessary to check the motion of the power piston and the mass balance of the dual flywheels according to the simplified model in Fig. 3. When the displacer piston was moved forward and backward in the closed thermodynamic system, as shown in Fig. 3a, the air pressure could force the power piston to go back and forth. It was found that the engine did not show any leakages because the power piston could go along well in between the piston’s axes. The power piston was freely moved inside the displacer cylinder, as shown in Fig. 3b, meaning that the friction force was almost eliminated. The mass balance of the flywheels was satisfied, as seen in Fig. 3c, because the engine vibration disappeared. These dual flywheels had more mass balance than the single flywheel prepared as per the previous design. The time of flywheels was 15 sec. When the pistons were assembled with the flywheels, as shown in Fig. 3d, the flywheels were run continuously for 10 sec. before stopping automatically. The running time was reduced due to the effect of the weight of displacer and power pistons after connecting them with the flywheels.

Next, the engine potential in terms of mechanical and thermal performances was tested. Temperatures at the hot side ($T_1$ and $T_2$, as shown in Fig. 4) of the cylinders were measured with a thermometer (model: Fluke 54 II B). A Bunsen burner was used as a heat source. The flywheel’s speed was detected by using the photo tachometer (model: Lutron DT-2236).

3. Results and discussion

The gamma-type Stirling engines comprising dual flywheels and connecting rods are theoretically designed based on the dynamic analysis of a slider crank mechanism and Schmidt analysis. Dynamic analysis of the slider crank mechanism under D’Alembert principle represents the limitation of the forced vibration, whereas Schmidt theory for the Stirling engine explains how the displacer and power pistons are sinusoidally working during the compression and expansion processes.

**Dynamic analysis of slider crank mechanism.** Under the constant stroke of 20 mm, substituting $r_p = 10$ mm and parameters $l_p$ (i.e. 10, 15, 45 mm) in the following equation [12, 13] allows to calculate the following:

$$\delta = \tan^{-1} \frac{r_p \sin \theta}{\sqrt{l_p^2 + r_p^2 \sin^2 \theta}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Meanwhile, the piston motions in terms of displacements $x$ and $y$ as a function of the crankshaft angle ($\theta$) in Fig. 2a are calculated as follows [12, 13]:

$$x = l_p (1 + \cos \delta) + r_p (1 - \cos \theta)$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$x = l_p + r_p \cos \theta + \sqrt{l_p^2 - r_p^2 \sin^2 \theta}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

When connecting rod length ($l_p$) is increased, as shown in Fig. 5, the displacement profile of $x$ and $y - l_p$ calculated from equations (9, 10) is confirmed to be sinusoidal in form. Furthermore, the crest and trough of the sine wave are completely symmetric when the optimum length of the connecting rod is achieved or for 45 mm in this study.
friction force. Substituting optimum length of the connecting rod \((l_p = 45 \text{ mm})\), \(r_p = 10 \text{ m}\) and \(\theta = 90^\circ\) in equation (8), the angle of the connecting rod is equivalent to \(\delta = 12.84^\circ\). At this angle, the displacer piston is well positioned at the center of the piston stroke while the engine is operating under a flywheel’s speed \((N)\) of 738 rpm.

According to the D’Alembert concept, the inertia force to reciprocating mass and the torque due to the inertia force are written as follows [14]:

- Inertia force
  \[
  F_I = m_p \omega^2 r_p \cos \theta + \frac{m_p \omega^2 r_p \cos 2\theta}{l_p/r_p}. \tag{11}
  \]

- Torque
  \[
  \tau = r_p F_I \left( \sin \theta + \frac{\sin 2\theta}{2 \sqrt{(l_p/r_p)^2 - \sin^2 \theta}} \right). \tag{12}
  \]

Figure 7 shows a relationship between the inertia force (equation 11) and torque (equation 12) as compared to the crankshaft angle. When the piston stroke is constantly kept at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(l_p) (mm)</th>
<th>Displacement A at 0° (mm)</th>
<th>Displacement B at 90° (mm)</th>
<th>Displacement A – B (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>45 + 10 + 10 = 65</td>
<td>45 + 0 = 45</td>
<td>65 – 45 = 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>45 + 15 + 10 = 70</td>
<td>45 + 11 = 56</td>
<td>70 – 56 = 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>45 + 45 + 10 = 100</td>
<td>45 + 44 = 89</td>
<td>100 – 89 = 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the engine does not work when \(l_p\) goes over 45 mm. This is a limitation of the engine that will require improvement in the future. Similarly, the engine does not work when \(l_p\) is less than 45 mm. The engine will stop working because the air pressure force is lower than the aerodynamic
20 mm, maximum inertia force and maximum torque of the crankshaft are 0.5 N and 2.5 N·mm, respectively. The maximum inertia force of 0.5 N offers the mass balance between the connecting rod and flywheel. Enhancement of the inertia force from the dual flywheels results in a reduction of the fluctuation of angular speed, as compared to the previous design of just a single flywheel. The current engine design is thus smoothly operated for each engine cycle under a steady state.

In addition to the inertia force, there are other three forces existing under the engine operation: the force transmitted via the connecting rod \( F_{CR} \), the air pressure force \( F_P \) and the opposite force \( F_{hp} \). Force transmitted via the connecting rod is related to the inertia force as follow:

\[
F_{CR} = \frac{F_j}{\cos \delta}. \tag{13}
\]

As a result of the maximum inertia force and maximum torque, the maximum air pressure for each engine cycle under the steady state is expressed as follows:

\[
\Delta P = P_{\text{max}} - \bar{P}. \tag{14}
\]

\[
F_P = \Delta P (A_{DIS} - A_{dis}). \tag{15}
\]

After substituting the maximum air pressure \( P_{\text{max}} = 142.00 \text{ kPa} \) and the average air pressure of displacer cylinder \( \bar{P} = 101.3 \text{ kPa} \) in equation 14, the air pressure difference \( \Delta P \) is 40.7 kPa. The maximum air pressure force \( F_P \) is therefore 1.57 N (Eq. 15). Considering the horizontal forces, when this air pressure force \( F_P \) exceeds the force transmitted via the connecting rod \( F_{CR} \), according to the torque supply, this much torque is applied to the two-mass system (crankshaft and power piston) in opposite direction to that of angular acceleration in order to make the system dynamically equivalent to that of the connecting rod. This is an effective design of the dual flywheels. Moreover, when the vertical forces are considered, the correction couple is introduced by two equal, parallel and opposite forces \( F_{hp} = F_{CR} \sin \delta \) acting at the gudgeon pin (point A) and crank pin end (point B) perpendicular to the axis of the piston stoke, as shown in Fig. 2a. Therefore, the reaction of guides [15, 16] is firmly caused by all the forces at the power piston pin. Such minimum vibration and lateral forces acting on the piston originate from optimum design of the connecting rod length. Finally, overall performance of the Stirling engine is distinctly improved.

Schmidt analysis for Stirling engine. After the optimization design of the connecting rods and dual flywheels in the Stirling engine proves successful, the two power pistons are able to sinusoidally move perpendicularly to each other under a steady state. This is confirmed by Fig. 8, indicating a relationship between the expansion and compression volumes \( V_E \) and \( V_C \) from equations 3–4) as a function of the crankshaft angle. It is seen that the swept volume allows for heat clearance and flow passages between the hot and cold spaces conforming to the compression and expansion processes for each engine cycle. In addition, the heat power cycle indicates the different phases at 90\(^\circ\) between the displacer cylinder and power piston.
The dual flywheels. By varying connecting rod length from 10 to 45 mm, it was found that the engine offered its best performance under the connecting rod length of 45 mm. The engine provided thermal efficiency of 46.15% and output mechanical power of 1.21 W under the temperature difference of 267 K. In the future, this engine could be used as a source of mechanical power for small portable generators.
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4. Conclusion

A gamma-type Stirling engine was constructed based on the optimization design of the connecting rods in order to balance the dual flywheels. By varying connecting rod length from 10 to 45 mm, it was found that the engine offered its best performance under the connecting rod length of 45 mm. The engine provided thermal efficiency of 46.15% and output mechanical power of 1.21 W under the temperature difference of 267 K. In the future, this engine could be used as a source of mechanical power for small portable generators.
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