A new etching trace from the Savignone Conglomerate (Oligocene), NW Italy, probably produced by limpet gastropods
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ABSTRACT:


A new ichnogenus and ichnospecies (Solealites ovalis) of etching trace is preserved on the surfaces of clasts from the Savignone Conglomerate (Oligocene) in the Palaeogene Piemonte Basin in NW Italy. It is a shallow, oval depression with a central elevation, which was produced probably by limpet gastropods and served as their home scar, but other gastropods or even sea anemones are not excluded as the trace makers. The conglomerate is interpreted as a deposit of a fan delta, whose clasts have been bioeroded in an intertidal and shallow subtidal shore zone and redeposited to the deeper sea.
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INTRODUCTION

Several benthic organisms attach themselves to hard substrates on the sea floor in order to stabilise their body position and to protect against waves and predators. Some of them etch the substrate and leave characteristic traces of repeatable morphology (category fixichnia; see Gibert et al. 2004), which are called attachment scars or traces (e.g., Radwański 1977) and have ichnotaxonomic names (e.g., Bromley and Heinberg 2006; Neumann et al. 2015 and references therein). Their list is not closed and several new ichnotaxa have been added in the latest two decades, including Spirolites radwanski igen. nov., isp. nov. from the Miocene of central Poland (Uchman et al. 2018).

In this paper, a new taxon of etching trace is presented and interpreted. It was found on a few pebbles in one locality in the Savignone Conglomerate (Oligocene), NW Italy. Several ichnotaxa of borings produced mainly by bivalves and polychaetes have been already described from the conglomerate (Uchman et al. 2017a) but the trace fossil presented was not so far encountered there or anywhere else.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND LOCALITY

The Savignone Conglomerate (lower Oligocene: lower–middle Rupelian) is the basal unit of the Palaeogene part of the Piemonte Basin in the Borbera Valley region in the Ligurian Apennines. It forms a huge, lens-shaped lithosome resting discordantly on deep-sea flysch sediments of the Monte Antola (Campanian–Paleocene), the Pagliaro (Paleocene) and the “Ranzano” (upper Eocene) formations, which belong to the Ligurian units in the Northern Apennines. The Savignone Conglomerate is 1300 m thick (Gnaccolini 1974, 1988) but other authors estimate it at 1500 m (Ibbeken 1970), 2200 m (Van der Heide 1941; Marroni et al. in press), or even 2500 m (Gelati and Gnaccolini 1988).
1978; Di Biase et al. 1997; Di Biase and Pandolfi 1999). Its lowest part, the Monte Rivalta Member, is about 250 m thick, and is composed of conglomerates, sandstones, and pelitic sediments (Marroni et al. in press). The dominant middle part is formed by the Val Borbera Unit, which shows clasts composed mostly of limestones, and marlstones deriving from the underlying flysch, with a minor contribution of sandstones, ophiolites, radiolarites or other rocks (Gnaccolini 1974; Di Biase et al. 1997). The upper part distinguished as the Persi Member, up to 200 m thick, is composed mostly of ophiolitic material and metamorphosed carbonate clasts. Locally, sandstone lens-shaped lithosomes are present within the conglomerate. They are similar to the turbiditic sandstones of the overlying Monastero Formation (up to 1000 m thick), which are interbedded with mudstones (Gelati 1977; Ghibaudo et al. 1985; Mutti et al. 1995).

The conglomerates are mostly clast supported. They show variable sorting of clasts and poorly visible, discontinuous bedding. The conglomerates have been interpreted as a fan delta (Gnaccolini 1974, 1982; Gelati and Gnaccolini 1978; Mutti et al. 1995) deposited from the south and south-west (Gelati and Gnaccolini 1978, 1982), with a minor contribution from the north (Mutti et al. 1995). Its development was conditioned by the epi-sutural position of the Piedmont Basin during the post-collisional phase of development of the Northern Apennines and the Western Alps, and was controlled by tectonics and sea level changes (Gelati and Gnaccolini 1982; Lorenz 1984; Gelati et al. 1993, 1998; Mutti et al. 1995). Marroni et al. (in press) suggested deposition in a Gilbert-type delta in a pull-apart basin, but this idea is questioned and the fan delta model is favoured (Uchman et al. 2017a).

The clasts with the etching trace presented occur only in one locality, Montebore (Text-fig. 1; GPS coordinates N44°45.626´; E009°01.079´) in the northern termination of the Savignone Conglomerate, at least a few tens of metres above its base. They were found on a slope along a dirt road. The boring-bearing clasts were broken in the field or collected without breaking. The broken surfaces revealed cross-sections of other borings recorded in the locality studied.

**SYSTEMATIC ICHNOLOGY**

Ichnogenus *Solealites* igen. nov.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Shallow, oval depression in a lithic substrate with an elevation in the centre.
DERIVATION OF NAME: From Latin solea – hoof, in correspondence to the shape of the trace, and lites, the common ending for bioerosion ichnogenera.

REMARKS: The described trace fossil (see next section) is somewhat similar to Sedilichnus Müller, 1977, which is a shallow depression oval in outline in a Cretaceous sponge from Germany. Irrespective of the fact that it is unclear if Sedilichnus is a bioerosion trace, a bioclaustration structure or a part of the sponge morphology, and that this name is taxonomically unavailable (Wisshak et al, 2015), it shows a flat bottom and no elevation in the centre.

Oichnus excavatus Donovan and Jagt, 2002, transferred to Tremichnus Brett, 1985 by Wisshak et al, 2015, is a circular pit in a shell with a central, semi-rounded elevation, but it is much smaller (up to 3–4 mm) and the elevation is depressed in respect to the surrounding area; it was produced only in irregular echinoid tests, probably by non-predatory eulimid prosobranch gastropods (Donovan and Jagt 2002), and is even considered as an embedment structure (Donovan and Jagt 2004). Whatever is the taxonomic position of this structure, it is very different from the ichnospecies of Solealites described in this paper, which does not fit to Oichnus or Tremichnus. The latter are small structures in shells or tests (see Wisshak et al, 2015). Loxolenichnus Breton and Wisshak in Breton et al, 2017, including the former Oichnus hale, now L. hale (Neumann and Wisshak, 2009), is an oval or circular depression in shelly substrates but with a distinct central hole or a pit; the depression may show concentric grooves. Ophthalmichnus lyolithon Wisshak, Alexandrakis and Hoppenrath, 2014 is a microscopic, oval to mostly elliptical attachment scar produced in calcareous substrates by diatoms. It contains a single prominent, median groove. In some cases, it does not show a central elevation and some xenoglyphs of the producers may be observed. The size itself is not the ichnotaxonbase (Bertling et al, 2006), but large size differences (a few magnitudes in the discussed cases) are a kind of warning that the similarities may be apparent. Poorly preserved Centrichnus Bromley and Martinell, 1991 can be mistaken for Solealites, but the former has very characteristic internal ornamentation and occurs in skeletal elements. Annelusichnus circularis Santos, Mayoral and Muñiz, 2005, produced by balanids, is much shallower and shows concentric structures inside. It does not have any elongated central elevation (Santos et al, 2005).

Other bioerosion traces are dissimilar to the described ichnospecies of Solealites. However, it shows some similarities to unnamed, recent etching traces (see Discussion). Therefore, it is distinguished as a new ichnogenus and a new ichnospecies.

Solealites ovalis isp. nov.
(Text-figs 2 and 3)

TYPES AND OTHER MATERIAL: Holotype – specimen illustrated in Text-fig. 2A, B on a pebble (sample 7412), housed in the Crocifieschi Museum (Province Genova) in Liguria, Italy. Other two specimens on the pebble (Text-fig. 2C) are paratypes. Specimen INGUJ252P39 (Text-fig. 2E), which is the paratype, and two specimens INGUJ252P40 (Text-fig. 3B) and INGUJ252P41 (complete specimen in Text-fig. 3A) are housed in the Nature Education Centre (CEP) of the Jagiellonian University – Museum of Geology in Kraków, Poland.

TYPE LOCALITY: Montebore, SW of Grondona, NW Italy.

TYPE HORIZON: Savignone Conglomerate (lower Oligocene: lower–middle Rupelian).

DERIVATION OF NAME: From Latin oval, which means also oval in English, in reference to the outline of the trace.

DIAGNOSIS: Shallow, oval depression in lithic substrate with a flat top elevation in the centre. The elevation is elongated according to the main axis of the depression. Its top is on the level of the surrounding area.

DESCRIPTION: Shallow depression, up to 1–2 mm deep, 8.3–18.3 mm long (16.3 mm in the holotype), 7–21 mm wide (14.9 mm in the holotype), with flat elevation in the middle and even margins. Outline oval; in some specimens, including the holotype, regularly elliptical. Elevation shows even margins, rounded terminations and is elongated according to the axis of the depression. Elevation is widest in the middle or one third of its length. Length of elevation 5.1–11.3 mm (9.1 mm in the holotype), width 1.9–7 mm (4.7 mm in the holotype). Flat top of elevation situated on level of the trace surroundings. Therefore, depression forms shallow, flat-bottom moat, whose external margin marks trace outline. Moat maximally 2.2–6.3 mm wide (5.5 mm in the holotype), narrower at one end of the elevation compared to the other end and the sides. Macroscopically and under a binocular
microscope, no sign of ornamentation inside the trace or its surroundings.

Specimen illustrated in Text-fig. 2D is atypical, with slightly pear-like outline. Its central elevation slightly oblique in respect to trace main axis and widest from one end. Specimen INGUJ252P40 (Text-
NEW ETCHING TRACE PRODUCED BY LIMPET GASTROPODS (PALAEOGENE, NW ITALY)

fig. 3B) shows some overlap of spiral structure, which turns sinistral and prolongs continuously from the moat. The structure terminates outside the trace and becomes wider toward the termination.

Traces preserved on surface of grey marly limestone pebbles deriving from the Upper Cretaceous–Paleocene flysch sediments that underlie the conglomerate. Pebbles are 8–16 cm in size, sub-rounded, crudely blade- or disc-shaped, showing nearly flat surfaces corresponding to the bedding. Some pebbles show branched tunnels or furrows. The holotype, in its external flank of the moat shows an encrusted, elongated, segmented (?), flat structure, which is 1.1 mm long and about 0.5 mm wide.

REMARKS: The pear-like trace (Text-fig. 2D) is ascribed tentatively to Solealites ovalis igen. nov., isp. nov., mostly because it is represented by only one specimen. It is unclear if its morphology is within the variability of the ichnospecies or whether it represents a different ichnospecies of Solealites. The differences in size can be referred to the ontogenetic development of the trace makers.

ASSOCIATED BORINGS

The clasts bearing Solealites ovalis igen. nov., isp. nov. usually contain another boring Maeandropolydora cf. sulcans Voigt, 1965. Other clasts from the locality studied are very occasionally bored. They contain Maeandropolydora cf. sulcans, ?Trypanites solitarius (Hagenow, 1840) or Gastrochaenolites lapidicus Kelly and Bromley, 1984.

Gastrochaenolites lapidicus (Text-fig. 4A, B) occurs in the study site in a few pebbles other than those with Solealites ovalis igen. nov., isp. nov. It shows a smooth, ovate chamber filled with sediment and a rounded perpendicular section. It is 21.2–27.7 mm long and maximally 1.4–14.2 mm wide, with the widest part about one third of length from the base. It is partially covered with a thin calcite lining. The neck is very short or it could be broken during collection. A minute specimen (Text-fig. 4B), 6.1 mm long and up to 3.7 mm wide, probably belongs to the same ichnospecies.

Kelly and Bromley (1984) proposed the species of Lithophaga Röding, 1798 (Mytilidae) and Hiatella Bosc, 1801 (Hiatellidae) as the producers of Gastrochaenolites lapidicus. Bromley and Asgaard (1993) included Gastrochaena dubia (Pennant, 1777) (Gastrochaenidae), actually Rocellaria dubia (see Carter et al. 2008), into the producers of G. lapidicus but noticed that it also can produce G. dijugus Kelly and Bromley, 1984. Uchman et al. (2017a) suggested also Parapholas sp. (Pholadidae) as potential producers, because its shells occur in G. lapidicus from the Savignone Conglomerate.

Maeandropolydora cf. sulcans (Text-figs 2A–D, 3A, B, 4C–E) is an irregularly winding, curved or locally straight, branched surface furrow or subsurface, variable oriented, filled or partially unfilled, branched tunnel, 0.3–0.8 mm in diameter. Quite frequently, the furrows transit to tunnels, therefore they
are the same trace fossil. The tunnels plunge into the pebble up to 15 mm from the surface. This boring co-occurs commonly with *Solealites ovalis* igen. nov., isp. nov. The latter can be cross-cut by *Maeandropolydora sulcans* or vice versa. *Maeandropolydora sulcans* shows a very few similarities with other ichnospecies of *Maeandropolydora*, mostly the absence of parallel tunnels (see Bromley and D’Alessandro 1983). A similar boring was described as *Maeandropolydora cf. sulcans* (Çaceres et al. 2014) and Uchman et al. (2017a), the latter from the Savignone Conglomerate.

*Trypanites solitarius* (Text-fig. 4D) is a curved tunnel, 0.8 mm wide, at last 8.5 mm long, oblique in respect to the pebble surface. It is distinctly wider than the adjacent *Maeandropolydora cf. sulcans*, whose tunnels are 0.3–0.4 mm in diameter (Text-fig. 4D). However, only one specimen does not permit a more accurate determination. Even more problematic is the wide, U-shaped, unfilled tunnel, which is 2 mm in diameter, whose outlets are 9 mm apart (Text-fig. 4E). *Trypanites* is a boring of a “worm”, which can belong to polychaetes, sipunculoids and acrothoracican barnacles (Ekdale et al. 1984; see also Bromley and D’Alessandro 1987).

**DISCUSSION**

The shallow surface occurrence of *Solealites ovalis* igen. nov., isp. nov. on a lithified substrate allows its classification as an etching trace produced.
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by an organism attaching itself to the substrate (see Bromley and Heinberg 2006 for a summary on this type of traces). As no body fossils or other direct evidence of the trace maker of these traces can be detected, only an indirect deduction may be offered.

The oval, nearly elliptical shape suggests limpet gastropods, which are common animals attaching themselves to hard substrates, mainly in the intertidal and shallow subtidal rocky shores. Limpet gastropods are a taxonomically diverse group, represented mainly by the clade Patellogastropoda, known also as true limpets. They scrape algae using a radula and come back to the same place called the “home scar” (e.g., Beckett 1968; Lindberg 1975; Warne 1975; Smith 1992). They recognize their own path for coming back to the home scar, within which they are well fitted (e.g., Stephenson 1936; Cook et al. 1969; Hirano and Inaba 1980; Faladu et al. 2014). The home scar protects the snail against wave action and desiccation (Lord et al. 2011; Heller 2015) and reduces predation (Branch 1978, 1981; Garrity and Levings 1983). Some limpets are able to defend their home scars (Heller 2015).

Some of the home scars are bioeroded by the limpets in different substrates, including wood and rocks of different composition and hardness, for instance basalts, and they appear as cavities (Lindberg and Dwyer 1982). Such bioeroded home scars produced by limpets have been mentioned several times in the literature (e.g., Gray 1833; Dall 1870; Hawkshaw 1878; Stephenson 1936; Beckett 1968; Branch 1975; Lindberg 1975; Warne 1975; Smith 1992), but their photographs or drawings are uncommon (but see Abe 1940; Lindberg and Dwyer 1982; Trudgill 1988; Crothers 2003; Bromley and Heinberg 2006; Kázmér and Tabarosi 2012). In the fossil state, a similar scar determined as ?Lacrimichnus was described from the Upper Cretaceous of the Netherlands (Jagt 2007). Moreover, circular depressions with gentle slopes and a slightly concave downwards bottom in an Upper Cretaceous shell of the ammonite Pachydiscus were interpreted as the home scars of limpets (Kase et al. 1994). Holes in the shell of the Upper Cretaceous ammonite Placenticeras were interpreted as pits produced by limpets rather than mososaur bites (Kase et al. 1998; Seilacher 1998).

Some recent home scars illustrated by Bromley and Heinberg (2006) come from the East Cape region in New Zealand. Examples from the same locality, east of Te Araroa, from a big boulder resting on an abrasion platform exposed during low tide are presented in Text-fig. 5. They were produced by Cellana flava (Martyn, 1784) (Nacillidae) known from the Indo-Pacific oceans. Its home scars have an elliptical shape, diverse size, slightly elevated centre, and may overlap (Text-fig. 5B, C). Some of them are located in the deepest parts of larger depressions (Text-fig. 5A). Other home scars from the literature show also an elliptical outline, and usually a narrow moat along
the perimeter. They appear as an elliptical or circular ring and may be similar to some Pleistocene etching traces referred to balanids (Miller and Brown 1979), which are distinguished as *Annelusichnus circularis* Santos, Mayoral and Muñiz, 2005, but the better preserved representatives of this ichnogenus should contain some concentric structures inside (Santos et al. 2005). Dall (1870) mentioned home scars that are deep around the perimeter and almost not bioeroded in the centre. Lindberg (1975), and Lindberg and Dwyer (1982) presented a different morphology of limpet home scars, which show a shallower part close to the perimeter of the shell and a deeper part related to the foot.

Already Gray (1833) supposed that the home scars of limpets are bioeroded by chemical dissolution. Dall (1870) suggested the work of the radula. Hawkshaw (1878) proposed that the home scars were excavated by the radula and the shell edges. Beckett (1968) supposed that home scars are produced by the shell margin and/or the foot. Branch (1981) excluded the bioerotive action of the shell in account its low hardness and pointed to mucous glands that contain mucopolysaccharides and carbonic anhydrase in the mantle edge, which can chemically etch and soften calcium carbonate; after softening, calcium carbonate is removed by means of the radula which contains goethite crystals (see also Lindberg and Dwyer 1982). However, in *Solealites ovalis*, no traces of radulation were found. This may be caused by further mechanical abrasion. The bored clasts in the Savignone Conglomerate, commonly with preserved the shells of producers or secondary cryptobionts in *Gastrochaenolites*, were produced in the intertidal zone and redeposited into the deeper environment on the slope of a fan delta. Several pebbles were mechanically abraded as shown by truncation of the bivalve borings (Uchman et al. 2017b). Some scars (*Solealites ovalis* igen. nov., isp. nov.) were abandoned long before final deposition. The spiral structure overlapping *S. ovalis* illustrated in Text-fig. 2D is probably an incipient *Spiroliites*, a trace produced by vermetid gastropods attaching to hard substrates (Uchman et al. 2017a, 2018). Also the presence of epibionts (serpulids?, foraminifers?) in the moat of the holotype (Text-fig. 2A, B) is evidence of long abandonment. Some *S. ovalis* traces truncate *Maeandropolydora* cf. *sulcans* (*Ma1* in Text-fig. 2C), and the opposite situations are also present (*Ma2* in Text-fig. 2C, D). The latter case also shows that *S. ovalis* was already not occupied by the trace maker for a long time. It is possible that limpets used their home scars only for a short time, during the stabilization of clasts. Therefore, traces of radulation were easily abraded.

The main problem in the comparison of recent limpet home scars with *Solealites ovalis* igen. nov., isp. nov. is the absence of a wide moat and the central elevation in the latter. In *S. ovalis*, these morphological elements are distinctly narrower than the overall outline of the trace. However, the knowledge of recent home scars in relation to the high variability of limpets in the Cenozoic should be kept in mind. The function of the central elevation in *S. ovalis* is unclear. It could improve the attachment, or could be a dead zone of etching, where the etching substances are not produced. The wide moat could improve retention of water during occupation at low tide.

Also gastropods other than limpets attach strongly to hard substrates, bioerode, and could be potential trace makers of *Solealites ovalis* igen. nov., isp. nov. Abalones (*Haliotis* Linnaeus, 1758), whose taxa have an elliptical foot in outline, can also produce deep scars in soft rocks (Ault and DeMartini 1987). The limpet-like gastropod *Sabia* Gray, 1840 (*Hipponicidae*) from the Indo-Pacific tropical zone produces oval depressions with an elevation in the middle in shells of gastropods and hermit crabs (Vermeij 1998). Also *Hipponix* Defrance, 1819 (*Hipponicidae*) may produce oval attachment scars on skeletal elements (Cernohorsky 1968; Radwański 1977).

Chitons (marine molluscs of the class Polyplacophora, formerly Amphineura) can produce elongate depressions (Warme 1975; Barbosa et al. 2008; Kázmér and Tabaroši 2012) and furrows (Donn and Boardman 1988), which show intense radulation. Kázmér and Tabaroši (2012) describe more rounded home scars of chitons with a steep, uneven margin. However, their morphology is poorly documented and there is no information about a central elevation within them. Sea anemones commonly attach to rocks but their attachment scars are unknown. Their invoking is suggested by the trace fossil *Bergaueria* Prantl, 1945, which is interpreted as a circular or oval burrow of a sea anemone in the sediment showing an elevation in the centre (Pemberton et al. 1988). However, chitons and sea anemones are much less probable trace makers than gastropods.

**CONCLUSIONS**

*Solealites ovalis* is a new ichnogenus and ichnospecies of a bioerosion trace, characterized by an oval, shallow depression with a central elevation. It is interpreted as the home scar of limpet gastro-
pods. However, other gastropod trace makers are not excluded. It occurs on pebbles of the Oligocene Savignone Conglomerate in NW Italy. The pebbles were redeposited from the intertidal zone or shallow subtidal zone to a deeper sea in a fan delta.
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