Badenian (Middle Miocene) echinoids and starfish from western Ukraine, and their biogeographic and stratigraphic significance
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ABSTRACT:


Echinoderms from the Badenian (Middle Miocene) of the Fore-Carpathian Basin of western Ukraine are facies restricted. The Mykolaiv Beds, stratigraphically older, yielded the starfish *Astropecten forbesi* (complete skeletons), two genera of sand dollars (*Parascutella*, *Parmulechinus*), and numerous other echinoids of the genera *Psammechinus*, *Echinocyamus*, *Spatangus*, *Hemipatagus*, *Echinocardium*, *Clypeaster*, *Echinolampas*, and *Conolampas*. The stratigraphically younger, calcareous Ternopil Beds yielded *Eucidaris* (complete coronae, isolated spines), *Arbacina*, *Brissus*, and *Rhabdoblissus*. Sixteen species of echinoids are distinguished and/or commented. A new brisdid, *Rhabdoblissus tarnopolensis* sp. nov., is established. A mass occurrence of some species (Psammechinus dubius and Hemipatagus ocellatus) contrasts with that of mass aggregations (sand dollars and Echinocardium leopolitanum) by dynamic events in selected layers of proximal tempestites. Of special note is the occurrence of very small specimens, interpreted as juveniles (‘babies’) having been swept out of their restricted biotopes (‘nurseries’). Some species hitherto regarded as of Early Miocene age, and the problem of their persistence beyond the Fore-Carpathian Basin and/or migration into that basin during the Middle Miocene transgression are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

In the studies on the sedimentology and ecotaphonomy of the Badenian (Middle Miocene) of the Fore-Carpathian Basin of western Ukraine, echinoderms represent an important tool in facies and palaeoenvironmental analyses. Thanks to their calcitic skeletons starfish, echinoids, locally dominate over other biota that have been filtered out taphonomically due to their original aragonitic shells. Some echinoderms are important for gauging taxonomic diversity and morphological variability, even beyond the Central Paratethys in Europe.

Until the end of the first half of the twentieth century, small outcrops of the Middle Miocene (Badenian) in western Ukraine yielded just a few isolated echinoderm tests (see Hilber 1882; Lomnicki 1897, 1898). The echinoids collected then, housed now at the Ivan Franko University in Lviv, were taxonomically studied by Szórenyi (1953) and the starfish by Kudrin (1957). Since the late 1950s, extensive commercial quarrying for building materials has enabled access to larger exposures of echinoid-bearing strata. An initial report by Radwański and Wysocka (2001) focused on the discovery of an *Echinocardium* species new to science, its burrowing behaviour and its mass occurrence. Further
researches are continuing and some of the results are given in the present report. The aim of the present report is not to revise Szőrényi’s monograph (1953), which was undertaken by Kroh (2005), but rather to complement her account with new taxa, and to point out their relationship to those comprehensively reviewed and taxonomically revised by Kroh (2005, 2007a, b) from the whole Paratethyan Realm.

THE FACIES SETTING AND THEIR AGE

In the Middle Miocene (Badenian) of the Fore-Carpathian Basin of the Ukraine, two basic widely distributed echinoderm-bearing facies are recognised, referred formally (see Text-fig. 2) to the Mykolaiv Beds (= Mykolaiv Sands in Radwański et al. 2012a, b) and the Ternopil Beds (= The Medobory Biohermal Com-
plex of Radwański et al. 2011) respectively. The faunal content of the former, indicative of tropical/subtropical climatic conditions, allows them to be placed within the Middle Miocene Climatic Optimum and, thus, within the Early Badenian, evidently older than the Badenian Salinity Crisis and the Middle Miocene Cooling (see Harzhauser et al. 2003; Böhme 2003; Peryt 2006; Kroh 2007b; de Leeuw et al. 2010; Zágoršek et al. 2012; Wysocka et al. 2012; Wiedl et al. 2013). The latter unit is regarded as younger than the evaporitic horizon and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard stages</th>
<th>Central Paratethys regional stages</th>
<th>local lithostratigraphic units</th>
<th>echinoderm taxa recorded in this study</th>
<th>localities studied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Badenian</td>
<td>Early Middle</td>
<td>sands, sandstones</td>
<td>Euclidaris desmoulini</td>
<td>Bridok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarmatian</td>
<td>clays, sands, bentonites</td>
<td>Abacina cafenata</td>
<td>Demianivtsi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarra Vivallian</td>
<td>clays, limestones</td>
<td>Parascutella gibbercula</td>
<td>Hai Rozetiski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>clays, oolitic limestones,</td>
<td>Brissus unicolor</td>
<td>Haluchynitsi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sandstones, conglomerates</td>
<td>Rhabdoblissus tarnopolensis sp. nov.</td>
<td>Humentsi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spatangus ex gr. austricus</td>
<td>Maksymivka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parascutella gibbercula</td>
<td>Nihyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spatangus ex gr. australis</td>
<td>Novosilka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polupanivka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sakhkamin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Verka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zakupne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zbarazh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yanzhuliv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zalissi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Text-fig. 2. Position of the studied Middle Miocene (Badenian) echinoderm-bearing deposits in Ukraine within the regional (as used traditionally) and standard zonations schemes (compiled from: Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al. 1997, Kroh 2005, Górka et al. 2012)
is dated as Late Badenian (e.g. Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al. 1997; Śliwiński et al. 2012).

Mykolaiv Beds

This facies type, which consists mainly of quartz material, is confined to the most westerly regions of the Ukraine, even crossing the border with Poland (Wysocka 1999, 2002; Radwański and Wysocka 2001; Wysocka et al. 2012). It continues towards the south to Khorosno and Stratyn (Radwański et al. 2012a,b), as far as the Dniester River valley, via localities such as Potelich (see Lomnicki 1897; Szörényi 1953) and Yasnyska (the present paper), the city of Lviv and beyond (e.g. at Gleboviti; Radwański and Wysocka 2001). This is the region that has previously been referred to in the literature as the Opole Minor (see Pazdro 1953). Within this area, the Mykolaiv Beds reach a thickness of up to 100 m, but they may also pinch out completely (Teiss eyre 1938; Pazdro 1953; Wysocka et al. 2012).

Ternopil Beds

This Ternopil Beds represent a complex of intertonguing and/or superposed, coral-poor biohermal facies of blue/green- or red-algal origin (Radwański et al. 2006, 2011; Górka et al. 2012). It has formerly been considered a coral reef or a coral-reef barrier (Dembińska-Różkowska 1932; Pisera 1996). This facies extends in the form of massive limestones of the elevated Medobory Range situated east of the Mykolaiv Beds, running from northwest to southeast, as far as Moldova (see Janakevich 1977; Pisera 1996; Jasenowska et al. 2005, 2006; Radwański et al. 2011). Its thickness is estimated at 40 to 60 m. The facies was formerly well exposed in huge quarries at Zbarazh (Szörényi 1953), Maksymivka (Radwański et al. 2006; Wiedl et al. 2013, locality 30 in fig. 8), Nihyn (Radwański et al. 2011) and elsewhere (Górka et al. 2012).

Besides the biohermal bodies, the Ternopil Beds also contain organodetrital facies, composed mainly of red-algal detritus with an admixture of detrital quartz. These organodetrital deposits form two wide belts parallel to the Medobory Range.

Pidhirtsi Beds

Northeast of the Medobory Range the organodetrital facies of the Ternopil Beds is underlain by quartz sands of the Pidhirtsi Beds. Rare echinoids were found within the uppermost part of this unit.

SEDIMENTARY AND TAPHONOMIC CONDITIONS

The material studied represents a wide range of preservational states, reflecting skeletal susceptibility and dynamic conditions prevailing in places where echinoderms were buried, or swept out by waves and currents. All the echinoderm skeletons are more or less heavily armoured with sand grains or bioclasts as a result of diagenetic pressure-solution (see Radwański and Wysocka 2004).

The quiet water conditions are reflected by complete or nearly complete skeletons, while more turbulent waters led to skeleton fragmentation to a variable extent. In several sections, or at particular stratigraphic levels, only the most resistant skeletal elements are preserved (e.g., cidaroid spines, spatangid and loveniid plastronal plates). In some cases, thanks to specific features of their test architecture, the echinoid taxa can be recognised based even on small fragments (e.g., Clypeaster, Hemi-patagus). All such elements constitute useful tools for the documentation of both echinoderm habitats and entombment. This approach to extinct material is based on thorough neontological (actuopalaeontological) studies by Nebelsick (1992).

The analyses performed allow successions of both habitats and dynamic events during deposition of Miocene sedimentary sequence to be interpreted, and distinction to be made between autochthonous and allochthonous assemblages (or paraautochthonous, see Schneider et al. 2009). An alternation of autochthonous (buried in situ, often in life position) and allochthonous (redeposited) assemblages throughout the Miocene sequence has been envisaged by Radwański (1970, p. 378 and fig. 3) for the famous starfish-bearing sands at Nawodzice (southern slopes of the Holy Cross Mountains, Central Poland). These were interpreted as storm-generated tempestites which blanketed coeval quiet, sub- to intertidal habitats (see Radwański 1970, fig. 2).

In the Mykolaiv Beds there are localities with both autochthonous and allochthonous assemblages intertonguing (e.g., Gleboviti; see Radwański and Wysocka 2001), and those which are all evidently allochthonous (e.g., Khorosno), thus indicative of depths greater than the shallow sub- to intertidal zone.

A more complex picture is furnished by the Ternopil Beds, in which buildups were heavily bioturbated by peri- to intertidal alpheid shrimps, the burrows of which served either as taphonomic traps for biotic hash and/or as refugia and cryptic habitats for live animals (see Radwański et al. 2006). During passive infill of such burrows (and of other crevices), autochthonous and allochthonous assemblages have been eventually mixed.
Deposition of the Ternopil Beds was most probably discontinuous. In contrast, deposition within the Mykolaiv Beds was generally continuous and progressed rapidly, leaving no evidence of longer submarine breaks (disconformities, bioerosion, epibiontic overgrowths). A few brief episodes of local emersion (see Radwański et al. 2012a) are, however, documented.

Under such facies conditions, echinoderm preservation varies from (near-)exquisite (e.g. complete starfish, coroneae of Eucidaris, tests of Spatangus and Echinocardium with spine canopies) to very poor (small test fragments of Clypeaster, Echinolampas, and Conolampas; isolated plastronal plates of Spatangus and Echinocardium), with intermediate states illustrated by crushed Parascutella and transport-selected Hemipatagus.

SYSTEMATIC ACCOUNT

The echinoid order- and family-level taxonomy, as applied herein, follows the Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology (see Fell and Pawson 1966, Durham 1966b, Fischer 1966). At this level it is well compatible with the new, cladistic classification of Kroh and Smith (2010).

Repositories

The echinoderm material treated herein is housed in the Museum of the Faculty of Geology of the University of Warsaw (MWGUW). Two specimens are from the collections of the Polish Academy of Sciences Museum of the Earth in Warsaw (MZS). A few specimens kept in the collections in Lviv and Vienna, are indicated in the respective figure caption.

Class Asteroidea de Blainville, 1830
Order Paxillosida Perrier, 1884
Family Astropectinidae Gray, 1840
Genus Astropecten Gray, 1840
Astropecten forbesi Heller, 1858
(Text-figs 3–4)

1858. Astropecten Forbesi n.sp.; C. Heller, p. 158, pl. 1, figs 1–3.
1892. A. Forbesi (Hell.); F. Sacco, p. 745.
?1927. Astropecten sp.; J. Rakusz, p. 194, pl. 1, fig. 3.
1957. Astropecten forbesi Heller; L.N. Kudrin, pl. 3, figs 1–2.


MATERIAL: Khorosno: 1 fragment (MWGUW ZI/55/084); Gleboviti: 3 incomplete specimens and 6 fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/139–143); Yasnyska: one complete specimen (housed in the Town Museum of Natural History, Lviv); all specimens from the Mykolaiv Beds

REMARKS: The discussed specimens correspond well to the holotype of Heller’ (1858, pl. 1, fig. 1; actual photo of the holotype: Text-fig. 3.3).

In the Lower Badenian of Ukraine the species was first noted by Łomnicki (1898, pp. 16 and 152); subsequent records are those of Kudrin (1957, p. 285, pl. 3, figs 1–2) and Radwański and Wysocka (2001, p. 312; and 2004, p. 387).

The former species assignation of the material (Radwański and Wysocka 2001, p. 301 and figs 4 and 5a) as Astropecten navodicensis Nosowska, 1997, is herein corrected, because the studied specimens are indistinguishable from, or identical with, those of Astropecten forbesi Heller, 1858. A further discussion on the status of Astropecten navodicensis Nosowska, 1997, as a separate species, or merely an ecophenotype of A. forbesi [dwarfish due to environmental (intertidal) influences (? higher/lower salinity, ? overwarming, ? early mortality], is deferred to another occasion.

The newly collected material comprises four specimens, all from the Mykolaiv Beds. One individual, from Yasynska (see Text-fig. 3.1), was collected by Professor D. Drygant (Lviv) from a sequence of loose sand with lenticular calcareous cementations; the asteroid is stretched out on one such cementation. In certain portions of this generally barren sand, the sole occurring biota are rare specimens of Echinocardium leopolitanum Radwański and Wysocka, 2001; their burrows, however, are ubiquitous.

The three other, incomplete specimens originate from the more diversified sandy sequence at Gleboviti, which is characterised by a mass occurrence, both of tests and burrows, of E. leopolitanum. They were collected from a current-aligned set (see Radwański and Wysocka 2001, fig. 4), whereas well-preserved, intact specimens (see Text-figs 3.2, 4.1a, b and 4.2a) are confined to a storm-generated sand bar (Member C of Radwański and Wysocka 2001, fig. 3). Such cases (compare Text-fig. 4.2b) document burial by storm agitation and

*To note, Camill Heller (1823–1917), Doctor of Medicine and Surgery, published his sole palaeontological paper, Über neue fossile Stelleriden, in 1858, when he was the Professor of Zoology at the Jagiellonian University in Cracow (1858–1863) [changed afterwards to same position in Innsbruck (1863–1894); for his curriculum vitae, see Zapfe (1971, p. 47)].
Text-fig. 3. *Astropecten forbesi* Heller, 1858; all in dorsal view, natural size: 1 – Specimen from Yasnyska, in life position on a cemented sand lense (Town Museum of Natural History, Lviv; courtesy of Professor D. Drygant); 2 – Specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/139) from loose sands at Gleboviti; 3 – Holotype, originally illustrated by Heller (1858, pl. 1, fig. 1); photo courtesy of Dr. Andreas Kroh
Text-fig. 4. Other specimens of *Astropecten forbesi* Heller, 1858, from the Mykolaiv Beds at Gleboviti:  
1a-1b – Smaller specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/140), in ventral (1a) and dorsal (1b) views, × 0.9;  
2a – Larger specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/141), in dorsal view, to show well-preserved paxillae and marginal spines, × 0.9;  
2b – Field photograph of this specimen, when appearing in sands at Gleboviti in September 2009
deposition. In current-bedded strata at Khorosno, fragmentary skeletons attain considerable sizes, with estimated arm lengths of c. 17 cm.

The four specimens presented here are almost fully articulated, a state which is rather exceptional for asteroids in the Central Paratethys (see Vadász 1915; Rakusz 1927; Kroh 2007b, table 2). They are assumed to have been buried rapidly, either still alive, or shortly after death (compare Radwański 1970, p. 378, fig. 3ij; see also Blake and Zinsmeister 1988; Jagt and Codrea 2010).

In the Polish part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin, this species is restricted to mass aggregations of *Psammechinus dubius* at Świniairy (see Radwański and Wysocka 2004, p. 387, pl. 7, figs 2, 3). The question is whether this starfish was a synecological associate, a food competitor or predator, or merely strayed into such echinoid aggregations. Predation on echinoids cannot be excluded. As recorded by Christensen (1970), in extant *Astropecten irregularis* (Pennant, 1777), a subordinate percentage of stomach contents consists of, in addition to ophiuroids, *Echinocardium cordatum* (Pennant, 1777). Even specimens of 1 to 2 cm in test length are easily swallowed and digested (see Christensen 1970, fig. 2 and pp. 25, 26 and 30, 31). Tests ejected are completely bare (see Christensen 1970, p. 96). It may thus be assumed that the genus *Astropecten* has retained its autecological and synecological fixation as prime predator of echinoids and their young since at least the Middle Miocene.

**OCCURRENCE:** *Astropecten forbesi* is widely distributed throughout the Middle Miocene (Badenian) sandy facies of the Ukraine, having been recorded from Potelich (Łomnicki 1898), Sukhodoly (Kudrin 1957), Yasnyska, Gleboviti, and Khorosno (the present paper), i.e., from localities that are far apart. Such a pattern of distribution differs from that of echinoids which are confined to merely a few levels within the sandy facies, or to restricted, sand-free calcareous settings (see below). Nevertheless, of note is the occurrence of *A. forbesi* in *Echinocardium*-bearing strata in the Ukraine. In the Polish part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin, this species is known from Świniairy.

**Class Echinoidea Leske, 1778**  
**Order Cidaridae Claus, 1880**  
**Family Cidaridae Gray, 1825**  
**Genus Eucidaris Pomel, 1883**

**REMARKS:** The genus, typical of the biohermal Ternopil Beds, is widely represented both by coronae and isolated spines. The specific affiliation of the material, however, is less obvious; it may represent either of the two extinct species, *E. zeamays* (Sismonda, 1842) and *E. desmoulinsi* (Sismonda, 1842), or a closely related extant species, *E. tribuloides* (Lamarck, 1816). Both extinct species are established upon isolated spines, without any primary reference to the coronal fragments. A union of spines and coronae for *E. zeamays* was first proposed by Philippe (1998), soon followed by Kroh (2005), and the present authors (Radwański et al. 2006). A union for *E. desmoulinsi* has been proposed by Borghi (1999) who regarded that species as of exclusively Pliocene age.

**Eucidaris desmoulinsi** (Sismonda, 1842)  
(Text-figs 5–6)


2006. *Eucidaris zeamays* (Sismonda, 1842); A. Radwański et al., pp. 97, 98, pl. 4, figs 1, 2 and text-fig. 9.

**MATERIAL:** Hai Roztotski: 2 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/018-019), 18 spines and/or their fragments; Humentsi: 18 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/006-010, -013), over 110 spines and/or their fragments; Maksymivka: 13 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/011-012, -014-016), 23 spines and/or their fragments; Nihyn: 1 test fragment (MWGUW ZI/55/017); Novosilka: 1 corona (MWGUW ZI/55/020); Sakhkamin: 5 test fragments, 16 spines (MWGUW ZI/55/001-005, -114); Zharazh: 1 almost complete corona, over 100 spines and/or their fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/021-022). All specimens are from the Ternopil Beds.

**DESCRIPTION:** Diameter of coronae usually exceeding 30 mm, 6–7 interambulacral plates per column, areoles largely taking interambulacral plate height with narrow ridge of scrobicular tubercles subambitally, separating the plates in the column. Interradial area relatively wide with dense cover of minute, wart-like tubercles. Spines elongated, of stick-like shaft, ornamented densely by fine granules in up to 16–18 longitudinal ridges.

---

Text-fig. 5. Fragmentary coronae of *Eucidaris desmoulinsi* (Sismonda, 1842) from various localities of the biohermal Ternopil Beds, all ⇒ 2.5. 1–4 – From Maksymivka: 1 – Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/014a, to show A and IA columns, 2 – Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/014b, to show A column, 3–4 – IA columns of smaller specimens (Nos MWGUW ZI/55/012a and 012b; Fig. 4 taken in proper position [the specimen was upside down in Radwański et al. (2006, pl. 4, fig. 1)]; 5 – Larger specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/004) from Sakhkamin, to show both IA and A columns; 6 – Larger specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/006) from Humentsi, in apical (6a), lateral of IA column (6b), and lateral of A column (6c) views; 7 – Larger, near-complete, but slightly corroded specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/021) from Zharazh; oral view
DISCUSSION: Poorly preserved specimens (two test segments and fragmentary spines) from Maksymivka were referred previously by Radwański et al. (2006) to *Eucidaris zeamays* (Sismonda, 1842). The newly collected material, which comprises almost complete coronae and numerous larger fragments (Text-fig. 5), allows its taxonomic revision.

The newly collected coronae differ from those classified as *E. zeamays* by Kroh (2005) in: (i) their larger size (>30 mm instead of <20 mm) and more numerous interambulacral plates (6–7 rather than 4–6 per column); (ii) areoles largely occupying the height of the interambulacral plate, leaving a narrow ridge of scrobicular tubercles subambitally to separate the plates in the column; and (iii) the relatively wide interradial area which has a dense cover of minute, wart-like miliary tubercles. These features are apparently displayed by the near-complete corona from Zbarazh (Text-fig. 5.7) and...
by larger fragments from Sakhkamin (Text-fig. 5.5) and Humentsi (Text-fig. 5.6a–c), as well as smaller ones from Hai Roztotski, Novosilka, and Nihyn; they all are compatible with those of *E. desmoulinsi*, as presented by Borghi (1999, pl. 3, figs 1, 2). The latter specimens, illustrated by Borghi (1999) from the Italian Pliocene, have recently been commented on by Madeira et al. (2011, p. 247) as closely resembling *E. tribuloides*.

The occurrence of complete, or near-complete, coronae of *Euclidaris* in the Ternopil Beds is exceptional from a taphonomic point of view (see Greenstein 1991, p. 531; Hendler et al. 1995, p. 207; Madeira et al. 2011, p. 246). In the extant *Euclidaris tribuloides* (Lamarck, 1816), from the Caribbean and Atlantic, disarticulation of dead tests (i.e., spine-coated coronae) progresses rapidly (Greenstein 1991; Hendler et al. 1995). It may therefore be assumed that the coronae recorded in the Ternopil Beds represent specimens that were entombed rapidly in particular protected spots (taphonomic traps), primarily in alpheid burrows in which most of the specimens were found. None of them preserve spines, or are associated with such. All collected spines occur separately, usually in smaller burrows or bioherm crevices.

The isolated spines, most abundant at Zbarazh (see Text-fig. 6), clearly differ from those of *E. zeamays* (compare Sismonda 1842, p. 391; Kroh 2003, pl. 1, figs 1–9; and 2005, pl. 6, figs 1–21; Madeira et al. 2011, p. 247) in having an elongated, stick-like shaft, densely ornamented by generally finer granules in up to 16-18 longitudinal ridges, and in lacking any thorn-like burs, either isolated or arranged in the rings. Due to these features, they are compatible with those of *E. desmoulinsi*, first distinguished by Sismonda (1842, p. 391) and illustrated by Desor (1855, pl. 7, fig. 1). Such spines have usually been noted as being of Pliocene age (see also Agassiz and Desor 1847, p. 32; Airaghi 1901, pl. 1(19), figs 41–43; Borghi 1999, pl. 3, figs 3–9; Dermitzakis et al. 2009, fig. 6); only Vadász (1915, pl. 2(8), fig. 6) regarded them as being of Miocene age.

The collected spines of *E. desmoulinsi* can be subdivided into two groups, with intermediates present: longer and more slender ones (items 1–10 in Text-fig. 6) and shorter and stout ones (items 11–16 in Text-fig. 6). This difference could reflect their original test position, as in *E. tribuloides* (compare Schultz 2005, fig. 67); apical spines are longer and subambient ones shorter and stouter. Moreover, as apparent from other data (Hendler et al. 1995, p. 207), depending on biotope conditions, shorter and thicker spines could also reflect higher energy waters, while longer and slender spines (see e.g. Mortensen 1928, pl. 48, fig. 1) could indicate lower energy settings.

On the other hand, the studied subambient (adoral) spines (Text-fig. 6: items 11–16) do not differ from those classified recently as *Euclidaris tribuloides* (Lamarck, 1816), by Madeira et al. (2011, fig. 3A–C) from the Mio-Pliocene of the Azores.

Until new material is obtained, the three taxa (*E. zeamays*, *E. desmoulinsi* and *E. tribuloides*) under discussion are regarded as separate, it not having been possible to determine whether the small-sized *zeamays* sensu Kroh (2005) may become the coeval *desmoulinsi*, sensu this report, when increasing in size.

In the present state of knowledge, the species *Euclidaris desmoulinsi* (Sismonda, 1842) is thought to be a Middle Miocene (Early Badenian) ancestor of the extant *E. tribuloides* (Lamarck, 1816) known since the Late Miocene (see Kroh 2005, p. 7; Madeira et al. 2011, p. 247).

The phylogeny and systematics of the species *Euclidaris zeamays* (Sismonda, 1842) are unclear. Its isolated plates are identical with those of *E. desmoulinsi* (see L. Agassiz and Desor 1847, Airaghi 1901, Borghi 1999). Although the coronal fragments ascribed to this species by Kroh (2005) are morphologically very close to those of *E. desmoulinsi*, their spines differ drastically (compare Text-fig. 6 and Kroh’s pl. 6). Those shaped by thorn-like burs or their rings (see Kroh 2005, pl. 6, figs 1, 2) do not occur in the present-day *Euclidaris* species (see Mortensen 1928, Schultz 2005); otherwise, they tend to be identical with the adoral spines of the genus *Prionocidaris* A. Agassiz, 1863, and the species *P. verticillata* (Lamarck, 1816) in particular (see Ragaini 1997, pl. 1, fig. 2; Schultz 2005, fig. 88).

**OCCURRENCE:** *Euclidaris desmoulinsi* is common in the Ternopil Beds.

**Genus Stylocidaris Mortensen, 1909**

*Stylocidaris*? *polyacantha* (Reuss, 1860)

(Text-fig. 7)

**MATERIAL:** Khorosno: 10 spines and/or their fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/053); Mykolaiv Beds.

**DESCRIPTION:** Spines with 12-16 well spaced ridges of distinct, saw-like appearance. Despite the poor state of preservation it may be stated that the rims of acetabula show also no traces of crenulation.

**DISCUSSION:** Several spines from Khorosno (Text-fig. 7) represent the only cidarid record from the Mykolaiv Beds. These are compatible with those recorded by Kroh (2005) as *Stylocidaris*? *polyacantha* (Reuss, 1860). As noted by Kroh (2005, pp. 2–4), who suggested
this cidarid be placed in the genus *Stylocidaris* Mortensen, 1909, this was so far confined to pelitic settings in Austria (Vienna Basin, Molasse Zone) and Moravia (Czech Republic). The spines (see Kroh 2005, pl. 1, figs 11–18; pl. 3, figs 3–16) are characterised by sparse longitudinal ridges bearing thorn-like granules flexed distally. Those collected at Khorosno (Text-fig. 7), armoured with sand grains and slightly corroded, display well-spaced ridges (12–16 in number; see Text-fig. 7.3), and a less distinct, saw-like appearance (best visible on the left-hand side in Text-fig. 7.2). However, they are here retained in this species for now, following Kroh (2005).

**OCCURRENCE:** *Stylocidaris polyacantha* is present in the Early Badenian of the Central Paratethys (Vienna Basin, Transylvanian Basin, and Molasse Zone; see Kroh 2005). This is the first record of this species in the Early Badenian of the Fore-Carpathian Basin.

Order Temnopleuroida Mortensen, 1942
Family Temnopleuridae A. Agassiz, 1872
Genus *Arbacina* Pomel, 1869
*Arbacina catenata* (Desor in L. Agassiz and Desor, 1846) (Text-fig. 8)

**MATERIAL:** Maksymivka: five, poorly preserved, denuded tests, all lacking apical system (MWGUW ZI/55/024) and four fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/023); Ternopil Beds.

**DESCRIPTION:** Test small, hemispherical, apical disc relatively small. Each ambulacral and interambulacral plate with a distinct primary tubercle; primary tubercles arranged in columns, smaller tubercles of variable size occur commonly.

**REMARKS:** Although placement of these small hemispherical tests in *Arbacina* is beyond doubt, specific attribution is not clear. Amongst various species in the Rhône Basin (France) and elsewhere in the Mediterranean (see Philippe 1998, pp. 55–60), the form traditionally distinguished in Paratethys basins is *A. catenata*. However, Kroh (2005, pp. 21, 22) recently cast doubts on those records in view of the inadequate preservation. We here retain this name for convenience, and wish to emphasise its occurrence formerly unknown from the Miocene of the Ukraine. In comparison with *A. catenata* from the Rhône Basin, well illustrated by Philippe (1998, pl. 7, figs 1–8; pl. 8, figs 1–2), the present specimens (see Text-fig. 8.1–5) differ in having less pronounced primary tubercles; these are almost indistinguishable amidst the other tubercles.

Within the biohermal Ternopil Beds this is the third echinoid species, supplementing the cidaroids and the brissid spatangoids recorded previously (Radwański et al. 2006, p. 97; Górka et al. 2012, p. 171).

**OCCURRENCE:** *Arbacina catenata* in the Central Paratethys is known from the Eggenburgian throughout the Badenian (see Kroh 2005). Its occurrence in the Ukrainian part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin is restricted to the biohermal facies of the Ternopil Beds.

Order Echinoida Claus, 1876
Family Echinidae Gray, 1825
Genus *Psammechinus* L. Agassiz and Desor, 1846
*Psammechinus dubius* (L. Agassiz, 1840) (Text-figs 9–10)

**MATERIAL:** Hlukhivets: 6 tests and 2 fragments of juvenile specimens (MWGUW ZI/55/144); Khorosno: over 100 tests of medium sized and juvenile specimens and/or their fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/059, -061-065); Kudryntsi: one juvenile (MWGUW ZI/55/113); Pidiarkiv: one juvenile (MWGUW ZI/55/091); Romaniv: 14 tests and 2 fragments of juvenile specimens (MWGUW ZI/55/086); Stratyn: 6 tests and 2 frag-
Text-fig. 8. 1c – Display of *Arhacina catenata* (Desor in L. Agassiz & Desor, 1846) from an inlier of shell grit in the biohermal Ternopil Beds at Maksymivka (Specimen Box No. MWGUW ZI/55/024), × 2; 5d-5e – close-up view of the specimen figured in 5a-c to show details of ambulacral (a) and interambulacral (b) zones, × 8.
BADENIAN ECHINOIDS AND STARFISH FROM UKRAINE

Text-fig. 9. Psammechinus dubius (L. Agassiz, 1840) from the Mykolaiv Beds at Swirzh: 1-6 – Display of the best-preserved specimens (Specimen Box No. MWGUW ZI/55/094) in various views (a – Aboral, b – Oral or lateral, c – Lateral), × 2.

Text-fig. 10. 1-4 – Psammechinus dubius (L. Agassiz, 1840) from the Mykolaiv Beds at Khorosno: 1-3 – Best-preserved specimens (Nos No. MWGUW ZI/55/065a-065c) in various views (a – Aboral, b – Oral, c – Lateral), × 3; 1a-1b – Smallest specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/065), the single one preserving the apical disc; 4 – Display of specimens (Specimen Box No. MWGUW ZI/55/063) collected from a single level (all armoured with sand grains by pressure-solution), × 3; 5 – Psammechinus dubius (L. Agassiz, 1840) from the Mykolaiv Beds at Swirzh, close-up view of the specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/094d (4 in Text-fig. 9) to show details of ambulacral (a) and interambulacral (b) zones, × 10.
ments of juvenile specimens (MWGUW ZI/55/099); Svirzh: 53 tests, 3 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/092-098); all specimens are from the Mykolaiv Beds.

DESCRIPTION: Test circular in outline, small- to medium sized. One large tubercle to every ambulacral and interambulacral plate, smaller tubercles of variable size commonly present. Pore-pairs forming distinct vertical bands.

REMARKS: The true taxonomic status of *P. dubius* and its allies, which are treated either as subspecies or as distinct species and are widely distributed throughout Europe, albeit beyond the Paratethys basins (see Lambert 1910; Philippe 1998; Kroh 2005), remains unclear. The same concerns its assignment to *Psammmechinus*, whose present-day representatives prefer deeper-water habitats (see Fell and Pawson 1966, p. U433). Consequently, Kroh (2005, p. 28) regarded placement in *P. dubius* to be tentative on account of the inadequate preservation of nearly all material from the Central Paratethys. We concur, although the name is retained here for now.

In the Polish part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin, this form is well known from the mass aggregations, with spines and lanterns preserved, in several tempestite levels of the Świniary Sands (Radwański and Wysocka 2004), with densities estimated at up to 3,000 specimens at some levels. In contrast to Polish occurrences, only a single specimen from the Early Badenian of Ukraine (from Mykolaiv; see Szörényi 1953, pp. 11 and 58; Kroh 2005, p. 28) was known to date. The newly collected material comprises several tens of specimens from the basal part of marly sands exposed at Swirzh. The denuded tests, which also lack the apical disc and lantern, are clearly allochthonous elements, having probably been current selected, because the majority are of larger size (17–25 mm test diameter; Text-figs 9, 10/5a, b), with smaller ones absent. The species is also ubiquitous (> 100 specimens) in the sandy sequence at Khorosno (see Text-fig. 10), where the smallest tests (‘babies’ of 3–4 mm across) dominate over those with diameters 10–12 mm (see Text-fig. 10.4); larger individuals have not been found. The state of preservation of these specimens does not allow for final determination, and consequently, they are left herein with a question mark. At both localities, many tests are either half filled with sediment, or remain empty (e.g., Text-fig. 10.2b).

OCCURRENCE: *Psammmechinus dubius* is widely distributed throughout the Miocene of the Paratethys (see Kroh 2005). In the Ukrainian Fore-Carpathian Basin it is commonly found in the Mykolaiv Beds. Its presence in the biothermal facies of Ternopil Beds is uncertain, due to the poor state of preservation of the specimens.

### Order Clypeasteroida A. Agassiz, 1872
### Suborder Clypeasterina A. Agassiz, 1872
### Family Clypeasteridae L. Agassiz, 1835
### Genus *Clypeaster* Lamarck, 1801

*Clypeaster* sp. (Text-fig. 11)

MATERIAL: Khorosno: single test fragment (MWGUW ZI/55/080), from the Mykolaiv Beds.

DESCRIPTION: Distinctive in the studied fragment (see Text-fig. 11) are test size and thickness (6 mm), as well as intraplate fracturing to expose the series of ambulacral pores; the curvature suggests this test portion to have come from the adapical part of a petal.

REMARKS: Fragmentary tests of *Clypeaster* and their associates are useful in eco-taphonomic analysis, as recently demonstrated for present-day (Nebelsick 1992, 1995) and fossil assemblages (Gordon and Donovan 1992, Kroh and Nebelsick 2003).

The present report is the second record of the genus from the Middle Miocene of the Ukraine. The previous one is a single, complete test from Krekhiv [Polish: Krechów] near Lviv, referred to *Clypeaster partschi* Michelin, 1861 by Szörényi (1953, pp. 20, 68; pl. 2, fig. 3) and then to *C. campamulus* (von Schlotheim, 1820) by Kroh (2005, p. 55). Subsequently, the record itself has been doubted (Kroh 2007b, p. 185).
**Suborder Laganina Mortensen, 1948**

**Family Fibulariidae Gray, 1855**

**Genus Echinocyamus** van Phelsum, 1774

*Echinocyamus* sp. (Text-fig. 12)

Compare: ? 2005. *Echinocyamus pusillus* (Müller, 1776); A. Kroh, p. 82 and fig. 34/3.

**MATERIAL:** Khorosno: 13 tests (MWGUW ZI/55/148); Romaniv: 34 tests, 1 fragment (MWGUW ZI/55/087-088); Rozvadiv: 1 test (MWGUW ZI/55/146); Stratyn: 1 test (MWGUW ZI/55/100); All specimens from the Mykolaiv Beds.

**DESCRIPTION:** Test small (up to 6 mm in length), oval in outline. Surface details precluded from further studies due to heavy armour of sand grains. Internal radiating partition present, indicating attribution to the genus *Echinocyamus*.

**REMARKS:** These extremely small echinoids are left in open nomenclature, because their poor preservation (sand grain pitting) precludes recognition of morphological details. Such forms occur sporadically in the Mykolaiv Beds. This contrasts markedly with the Polish part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin, where hundreds of tests occur at some localities (e.g., Korytnica sands, *Leithakalk* at Pińczów). Formerly, in the Ukrainian part of the Basin very few specimens were noted under different species names (Szörényi 1953, p. 60 and pl. 5, fig. 6).

A comprehensive revision of the genus from the Paratethys was published by Kroh (2005, pp. 77–85), however, no definite taxonomic solution was offered. One specimen from Korytnica, studied by Kroh (2005, fig. 34.5), was referred to as *'Echinocyamus sp. B'* , characterised by the submarginal position of the periproct, thus differing from other taxa previously recorded from Poland and the Ukraine. The true nature of this form, recorded solely from Poland, remains unclear (Kroh 2005, p. 84). In this context, not discussed herein are several specimens from the Ukraine and Poland which have been left by Kroh (2005, pp. 79–80 and 84) in synonymy of either *Echinocyamus pseudopusillus* Cotteau, 1895, or *Echinocyamus calariensis* (Lambert, 1907).

The number of *Echinocyamus* species that have been noted for the Central Paratethys (see Kroh 2005, pp. 77–85) and beyond, is quite high. Of note is a report by Capeder (1906), who erected eleven new species from the Middle Miocene of Sardinia, with some of them regarded valid recently.

The best preserved specimens from Romaniv (Text-fig. 12.1–3) seem to be very close to the extant...
species *E. pusillus* (O.F. Müller, 1776), as reviewed by Kroh (2005, fig. 34.3). The presence of internal radiating partitions (Text-fig. 12.4) clearly indicates their attribution to the genus *Echinocyamus* rather than to *Fibularia* Lamarck, 1816, to which the Ukrainian material was referred by Szőrényi (1953) (compare Kroh 2005, pp. 84–85). Tests from Khorosno (Text-fig. 12.5) have a heavy sand armouring and cannot be identified specifically.

OCCURRENCE: In the Ukrainian part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin, the genus *Echinocyamus* is present in the Mykolaiv Beds.

Suborder Scutellina Haeckel, 1896
Family Scutellidae Gray, 1825

REMARKS: Sand dollars, primarily representing this suborder (see Durham 1966b; Kroh 2005), are regarded as having had their acme during the Miocene, with wide distributions and mass occurrences in the Mediterranean and Paratethys basins (Nebelsick and Kroh 2002). The Fore-Carpathian Basin is characterised primarily by the genus *Parascutella* Durham, 1953, whereas the Mediterranean Basin is dominated by the genus *Scutella* Lamarck, 1816 (see Wright 1855; Durham 1966, p. U477). The latter genus, as well as all lunulate genera of other families (e.g., *Amphiope*, *Encope*) and some other thermophilic forms (see Kroh 2007b, pp. 185–195), did not reach the northern Paratethys.

Genus *Parascutella* Durham, 1953
*Parascutella* cf. *paulensis* (L. Agassiz, 1841)
(Text-fig. 13)

MATERIAL: Khorosno: 15 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/083); Pukiv: 2 tests (MWGUW ZI/55/111); all specimens from the Mykolaiv Beds.

DESCRIPTION: Although the state of preservation of the material is poor, it shows well the typical features of the species: almost circular shape and a flat, cupola-like profile with an almost centrical high (Text-fig. 13). Other diagnostic features are generally less obvious due to the state of preservation. Thus, the investigated specimens are referred to as *Parascutella* cf. *paulensis* (for further details see section on *P. gibbercula*).

OCCURRENCE: Although Kroh (2005) states that the Central Paratethys occurrences (Molasse Zone and Vienna Basin) of *Parascutella paulensis* are restricted to the Late Egenburgian and Karpatian only, the records of Szőrényi (1953) place the specimens from the Ukrainian part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin in the Early Badenian. This may correspond to the possible occurrence of this species in the ?Langhian of France (Philippe 1998, see also Kroh 2005). In Ukraine, this species is quite common in the Mykolaiv Beds.

*Parascutella gibbercula* (De Serres, 1829)
(Text-fig. 14)

MATERIAL: Vanzhuliv: single test (MWGUW ZI/55/115); Zalisti: single test (MWGUW ZI/55/116); both specimens from the Late Badenian of the Pidhirtsi Beds. Demiankivtsi: single test (MWGUW ZI/55/105) from the Ternopil Beds.

DESCRIPTION: The relatively poor state of preservation of the investigated specimens makes comparison with the type specimens difficult. However, Ukrainian specimens show at least two typical features for *P. gibbercula*: eccentric maximum height and the wedge-shaped profile with strong inflation in adapical interambulacrum 5 (see Text-fig. 14; and discussion by Kroh 2005, p. 93) (further details and comparison with *P. paulensis* see ‘Discussion’ below).

DISCUSSION: Kroh (2005) noted that *vindobonensis* of Laube (1871) was a junior synonym of *gibbercula*, and that *paulensis* was not represented in the Middle Miocene of the Paratethys. In contrast to the Polish part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin, where the genus *Parascutella* is extremely rare (single report from Świniański – Radwański and Wysocka 2004, p. 387: *Scutella* sp.), it appears to be quite common in Ukraine. Szőrényi (1953) distinguished four taxa (including *vindobonensis* and *paulensis*) from Kuriandy and erected two new taxa from other localities. With one exception, all of these have recently been reviewed by Kroh (2005, pp. 86, 91), who placed them, definitively or tentatively, into the synonymy of *Parascutella gibbercula* (De Serres, 1829). Consequently, the latter species has revealed to be the dominant scutellid which lived in the Middle Miocene (Badenian) of Ukraine.

The scutellid material, studied herein, has revealed that the features regarded by Kroh (2005) as critical in distinguishing between *P. gibbercula* and *P. paulensis*, are more equivocal. Marginal indentations, the straight posterior margin with distinct anal notch, the posteriorly eccentric maximum height and the wedge-shaped profile with strong inflation in adapical interambulacrum 5.
i.e. features that typify *gibbercula* (see Kroh 2005, p. 93), are rarely seen in numerous specimens, especially in smaller-sized tests from a mass aggregation at Pukiv. The majority of specimens, especially those of larger size (up to 90 mm in length), are nearly circular in outline, with a near-smooth margin and gently flat, cupola-

Text-fig. 13. *Parascutella cf. paulensis* (L. Agassiz, 1841), mass-occurring in the Mykolaiv Beds at Pukiv: 1 – Average-sized specimen (No. MWGUW Z1/55/111b: 1a – Aboral, 1b – Oral, 1c – Left lateral views); 2 – Largest specimen (No. MWGUW Z1/55/111a: 2a – Aboral, 2b – Oral, 2c – Right lateral views); both natural size
like profile (see Text-fig. 13), typical of *paulensis*, to which these mass-occurring specimens from Pukiv are herein ascribed.

The specimens of *P. cf. paulensis* from Pukiv are poorly preserved fragments of denuded tests from the 20 m thick calcareous sands succession. The scutellid fragments occur in thicker, up to 1 m, beds, forming resid-

ual lags in their basal parts, or are scattered at random throughout the thickness, quite often oriented vertically in homogeneous layers, clearly of a storm origin. In such proximal tempestites (arrowed in Text-fig. 15), complete tests are missing. In both settings, scutellid tests and their fragments are evidently allochthonous, having been delivered either by bottom currents, or by storms.

![Text-fig. 14. *Parascutella gibbercula* (De Serres, 1829), singly occurring in various localities: 1 – Poorly preserved specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/116) from Pidhirtsi Beds at Vanzhuliv (1a – Aboral, 1b – Oral, 1c – Left lateral views); 2 – Larger specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/115) from Pidhirtsi Beds at Zalistsi (2a – Aboral, 2b – Right lateral views); 3 – ‘Humpbacked’ specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/105) from organodetrital Ternopil Beds at Demiankivtsi (3a – Aboral, 3b – Oral, 3c – Right lateral views); all natural size](image-url)
from more nearshore habitats where they could have lived in masses (compare Seilacher 1979, figs 24–26; Nebelsick and Kroh 2002, table 1; Kroh and Nebelsick 2003, fig. 8).

Sand dollar communities are accompanied by *Echinolampas*, whose sturdy fragments (see Text-fig. 17) appear in lags, and large spherical colonies of celleporid bryozoans. The latter, 5–8 cm in diameter, appear very close to forms recorded by Roemer (1870, p. 380 and pl. 41, fig. 7) as *Cellepora globularis* Bronn, 1837, from Upper Silesia in the Polish part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin.

Sand dollar communities are accompanied by *Echinolampas*, whose sturdy fragments (see Text-fig. 17) appear in lags, and large spherical colonies of celleporid bryozoans. The latter, 5–8 cm in diameter, appear very close to forms recorded by Roemer (1870, p. 380 and pl. 41, fig. 7) as *Cellepora globularis* Bronn, 1837, from Upper Silesia in the Polish part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin.

Mass occurrences of sand dollars, such as that at Pukiv, are unknown from other parts of the Paratethys, but were reported from Lower Miocene strata in Turkey and Egypt, and interpreted as proximal storm deposits (Nebelsick and Kroh 2002, figs 2–9; see also Kroh and Nebelsick 2003). Especially impressive is the Gebel Gharra section near Suez (northern Egypt), where *Echinolampas* and large celleporid bryozoans associated with the ‘Cidaroid-Echinacea Assemblage’ were interpreted to have occupied habitats just adjacent to those of the ‘Parascutella Assemblage’ (see Kroh and Nebelsick 2003, fig. 8). This is very helpful in understanding the allochthonous assemblage at Pukiv.

Some of the specimens from localities with more coarse-grained deposits (e.g. at Vanzhuliv, Zalistsi and Demiankivtsi), exhibit features typical of *P. gibbercula*. Generally, these specimens are of smaller size (50–67 mm in length; see Text-fig. 14.1–3). It is unclear if such slightly different facies conditions could have caused the observed morphological, and consequently, taxonomic changes. Anyway, our data seem to cast some doubt on the stratigraphic distinction between *P. paulensis* (supposedly Early Miocene) and *P. gibbercula* (supposedly Middle Miocene) as suggested by Kroh (2005, 2007b, table 3; see also Mikuž 2009).

Finally, it seems that some species assignments by Szőrényi (1953) are correct. This concerns the four specimens from Kuriany, which she (Szőrényi 1953, pl. 1, figs 1–3 and pl. 2, fig. 2) referred to “*Scutella paulensis* Agassiz” and which correspond to the concept of that species as here understood. Similarly, her “*Scutella vindobonensis* Laube” from Zhukivtsi (Szőrényi 1953, pl. 1, fig. 4, 4a, b), which in fact is an example of *gibbercula*, was the sole item unquestioned by Kroh (2005, p. 86).

**OCCURRENCE:** *Parascutella gibbercula* is widely distributed throughout the Early to Late Badenian deposits of the Central Paratethys. It has been recorded e.g. in the Vienna, Styrian, Pannonian and Transylvanian Basins (see Kroh 2005), also in the Ukrainian part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin (Szőrényi 1953).

**Genus Parmulechinus** Lambert, 1905

*Parmulechinus* sp. (Text-fig. 16)

**MATERIAL:** Khorosno: 1 incomplete test (MWGUW ZI/55/081); Pukiv: one complete (MWGUW ZI/55/110) and one incomplete (MWGUW ZI/55/112) test; all specimens are from the Mykolaiv Beds.
REMARKS: Amongst *Parascutella* from Pukiv, a single complete, medium-sized specimen (48 mm long, 52 mm wide) and another fragmentary one does not represent *P. paulensis* and represent supposedly a new species (see Text-fig. 16.1–2). The complete specimen (see Text-fig. 16.1a–c) is almost circular anteriorly, with very weak marginal indentations, but with a weakly advanced anal notch posteriorly; the profile is gently arched, without median elevations.

The genus *Parmulechinus*, known from the Oligocene to the Lower Miocene (see Durham 1966b; Phillippe 1998; Kroh 2005, 2007b, table 3), is still poorly known. Its single species, *P. hoebarthi*, known from the Paratethys, is recorded from the Early Eggenburgian (Early Burdigalian) Molasse Zone in Austria (see Kroh 2005, pp. 94–97). Our specimens differ from it, however, in a number of features. The ambital outline of the complete specimen from Pukiv is nearly regular, without the flexuose indentations anteriorly that characterise the Austrian specimens (see Kroh 2005, fig. 38). Also, its near-flat profile (see Text-fig. 16.1c; see also 15.3b) differs from the wedge shape of the Austrian specimens (compare Kroh 2005, pl. 45, figs 1c, 2c). Additionally, our specimens differ in development of their anal notch, size of petalodium and shape of frontal petal (widely open in *P. hoebarthi* and almost closed in the Ukrainian material). Summarising, our specimens most probably represent a new species. Better material is needed, however, to enable its formal description.

OCCURRENCE: *Parmulechinus* sp. is known solely from the Mykolaiv Beds.

Order Cassiduloida Claus, 1880
Family Echinolampadidae Gray, 1851
Genus *Echinolampas* Gray, 1825
*Echinolampas* sp.
(Text-fig. 17)

MATERIAL: Khorosno: 4 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/079); Pukiv: 2 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/109); all specimens from the Early Badenian of the Mykolaiv Beds.
REMARKS: The generic assignment of a few thick-walled fragments from a residual lag of scutellid remains (associated with large spherical colonies of the bryozoan *Cellepora*), is based on features displayed by the largest fragment, i.e., the oral test surface shown here in Text-fig. 17. However, neither its near-circular outline, size (75 mm across), nor its transversely widened peristome situated almost marginally suffice to identify it to the species level (compare Kroh 2005, pp. 103–125, pls 49–56).

OCCURRENCE: In the Ukrainian part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin *Echinolampas* is present in sandy deposits of the Mykolaiv Beds.

Genus *Conolampas* A. Agassiz, 1883

*Conolampas* sp.

(Text-fig. 18)

MATERIAL: Khorosno: one fragmentary specimen (MWGUW ZI/55/082); Vybranivka: one almost complete test (MWGUW ZI/55/106); both specimens are from the Mykolaiv Beds.

DESCRIPTION: Test large, circular in outline, aboral side high, conical; oral side flat, gently depressed adorally. Top of the best preserved specimen situated excentrically. Peristome pentagonal, located centrally, periproct missing. Small tubercles dispersed regularly all over interabulacral zones.

REMARKS: MWGUW ZI/55/106, the better preserved specimen from Vybranivka, shows features typical of the genus *Conolampas* (see Text-fig. 18.1a–c). Unfortunately, the specimen is partly damaged (periproct missing) and deformed. Probably due to this deformation, the top of the test is situated very excentrically. These preclude determination of this specimen to the species level.

MWGUW ZI/55/082, the fragmentary specimen (see Text-fig. 18.2), has a test curvature at the ambitus of 50-55°, and a near-smooth external surface, which are distinctive generic features. It can be estimated that the entire test was relatively tall, conical, and comparable to *C. elegans* (Airaghi, 1900) known from the Vienna Basin (see Kroh 2005, pl. 58, figs 2, 4). According to Kroh (2005, pp. 126, 130, 133), this species is most probably represented in the Middle Miocene of the Ukraine, and namely by eight specimens from Pidiarkiv [Polish: Podjarków], interpreted by Szörényi (1953) as eight separate species, some of them new, and referred mostly to her newly erected genus, *Hypsoheteroclypus* Szörényi, 1953. Durham (1966, p. U508) synonymised *Hypsoheteroclypus* with *Echinolampas* Gray, 1825.

OCCURRENCE: In the Ukrainian part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin *Conolampas* is known from the Mykolaiv Beds.

Order Spatangoidea Claus, 1876
Family Brissidae Gray, 1855
Genus *Brissus* Gray, 1825
*Brissus unicolor* (Leske, 1778)

1953. *Brissus jacquementi* Lamberti, 1915; E. Szörényi, pp. 41 and 92, pl. 4, figs 5, 5a, 5b.
1961. *Brissus* (*Allobrissus*) *miocenicus* n.sp.; H. Schaffer, p. 149, pl. 1, figs 1–4 and 6; pl. 2, figs 1–9.
2005. *Brissus abeli* (Reidl, 1941); A. Kroh, p. 167, figs 76–77, pl. 73, figs 1–4.
2006. *Brissus unicolor* (Leske, 1778); A. Radwański et al., p. 98, pl. 4, figs 3–5.

MATERIAL: Hai Roztotski: two incomplete tests (MWGUW ZI/55/052 and MZ8Ee 1562); Haluschnytsi: one almost complete specimen (MWGUW ZI/55/029); Humentsi: one test fragment (MWGUW ZI/55/050); Maksymivka: 27 tests and/or their fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/033–040); Nihyn: two incomplete tests (MWGUW ZI/55/047–048); Novosilka: 3 test fragments (MWGUW...
ZI/55/042, -044); Polupanivka: one almost complete test (MWGUW ZI/55/043); Sakhkamin: one mould, two test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/030-032); Verbka: one test fragment (MWGUW ZI/55/049); Zakupne: 2 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/041); Zbarazh: one mould, one almost complete test (MWGUW ZI/55/045-046); Nihyn-Verbka II: one incomplete specimen (MZ8Ee 1562); all specimens are from the Ternopil Beds. The specimens housed in the Museum of the Earth (Warsaw) were collected by Dr B. Studencka.

DESCRIPTION: Test medium-sized to large (over 70 mm), ovate, without anterior groove. Apical disc ethmolytic, with 4 gonopores. Anterior ambulacrum narrow and flush with small pore-pairs. Other ambulacra petaloid and depressed, the angle between anterior pair varies from 175 to almost 190°. Periproct large, on vertical truncate face; peristome kidney-shaped. Plastron very broad and with distinct tubercles, arranged regularly. Labral plate short and wide, in broad contact with following sternal plates. Tubercles on aboral side small and not differentiated. Peripetalous fasciole well-developed and star-shaped (indentated in all interambulacra), subanal fasciole bilobed.

REMARKS: The concept of this form, here considered conspecific with the extant species *Brissus unicolor* (Leske, 1778), was presented by Radwański et al. (2006, p. 98). Its present-day distribution within the warm-temperate to subtropical/tropical zone, as well as its biotope variables ranging from sand or shellgrit to reef crevices, correspond well with its occurrence in biohermal pock-ets and crevices of the Ternopil Beds. Supplemented herein are other occurrences in this facies, and the first record from the Mykolaiv Beds.

The species was recorded previously from Zbarazh (single specimen; Szöregényi 1953), as well as from Haluschyns (single specimen) and Maksymivka (five poorly preserved adults; Radwański et al. 2006). The specimen from Zbarazh, illustrated by Szöregényi (1953) and interpreted (with a query) subsequently as a juvenile or subadult *Brissus abeli* by Kroh (2005, p. 230).

Text-fig. 18. *Conolampas* sp. from the Mykolaiv Beds: 1a-1c – Complete test (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/106) from Vybranivka, natural size; 2 – Ambital portion of the test (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/082) from Khorosno, to show its profile, × 2
169), is regarded herein as a juvenile *B. unicolor*, as herein understood. Szörényi (1953) referred it to *Brissus jacquemonti* Lambert, 1915. Lambert’s species has, however, a pyriform, elongated test widened anteriorly (see Lambert 1915, p. 179, pl. 14, figs 14–16). Incidentally, Lambert’s species is a junior synonym of *B. bastiae* Oppenheim, 1902, as demonstrated by Philippe (1998, pp. 184 and 240).

Two size classes are present in the studied material throughout the Ternopil Beds; one 30–35 mm in length (see Text-fig. 19.2–4), and the other 70 mm and more (see Text-fig. 19.5–7). Even the largest specimens, however, are smaller than the largest modern representatives of the species, with some attaining 127 mm or more (Hendler *et al.* 1995, p. 242).

Text-fig. 19. 1. *Brissus* sp., from the Mykolaiv Beds; 2–7 *Brissus unicolor* (Leske, 1778), from the biohermal Ternopil Beds: 1a-b – Juvenile specimen from Khorosno (No. MWGUW ZI/55/060a: 1a – Aboral, 1b – Oral view, both × 3); 2–4 – Average-sized specimens in aboral views, all natural size (2 from Zbarazh – No. MWGUW ZI/55/046; 3, 4 from Maksymivka – Nos MWGUW ZI/55/039a and 039b); 5–6 – Larger-sized specimens (Nos MWGUW ZI/55/035a and 035b) from Maksymivka, both natural size: 5 – Near-complete test (5a – Oral, 5b – Aboral, 5c – Posterior view; inked, to highlight the subanal fasciole); 6 – Best-preserved oral side of the test, to highlight the structure of plastron; 7 – Anterior part of the larger-sized test (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/049) from Verbka; inked, to highlight the peripetalous fasciole, natural size.
B. unicolor (Leske, 1778) is the commonest and the most widely distributed echinoid in the Ternopil Beds. In Europe, the species is similarly abundant only in the Miocene of southern Spain (see Roman 1970), where it co-occurs with the exotic (Persian Gulf provenance) B. latidunensis Clegg, 1933, which has variably been interpreted as a distinct species, a subspecies (Roman 1970, p. 127) or an intermediate (Kier 1972a, p. 102) with B. unicolor. Roman (1970, p. 126) treated as a morphotype of B. unicolor also B. oblongus Wright, 1855, which differs from Leske’s species in its slight elongation (see Wright 1855, pl. 5, fig. 2a, b; Roman 1970, pl. B.4a–c).

OCCURRENCE: Brissus unicolor is commonly found within the biohermal facies of the Ternopil Beds.

B. unicolor. (Text-fig. 19.1)

MATERIAL: Khorosno: one complete and one incomplete juvenile specimen (MWGUW ZI/55/060); Pukiv: 2 fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/108); all from the Mykolaj Beds.

REMARKS: The complete specimen from Khorosno (MWGUW ZI/55/060) is an exceptionally small, juvenile specimen (‘a baby’, 13 mm long) which exhibits all features typical of adults (see Text-fig. 19.1a–b). Due to poor preservation it is left in open nomenclature. Similarly, poorly preserved fragmentary specimens (large fragment of anterior part of test and damaged sternum) are known from Pukiv.

Genus Rhabdobrissus Cotteau, 1889

REMARKS: The large-sized brissid spatangoids comprise, among others, such taxa as Plagiobrissus, Rhabdobrissus, Radiobrissus, Eobrissus, Metalia (at least in part) and Mortensenaster (see Mortensen 1951; Fischer 1966; Smith et al. 2010). The two specimens studied herein are assigned to the genus Rhabdobrissus. They possess such distinctive features of the genus as the lack of a frontal groove; the presence of a flush anterior petal and coarser tubercles within the peripetalous fasciole; and anterior and posterior petals that are not deeply indented behind.

The genus Rhabdobrissus, treated formerly as a subgenus of the genus Plagiobrissus Pomel, 1883 (Fischer 1966, p. U603), is now considered an independent taxon (Smith et al. 2010). According to Smith et al. (2010), Rhabdobrissus comprises solely Recent species, with doubtful taxonomic status. The four species distinguished by Smith et al. (2010) are briefly discussed below.

Rhabdobrissus jullieni Cotteau, 1889 (see Fischer 1966, fig. 484.2; illustrated photographically by e.g., Koehler 1915, pl. 11, fig. 6), the type species of the genus (by original designation) is characterised by coarse primary tubercles in the interambulacral zones, except for the posterior (5) one, and some of them are recessed in camellae (see Cotteau 1889; Koehler 1915; Fischer 1966; Smith et al. 2010).

Rhabdobrissus pacificus of H.L. Clark (1940, 1948) (see also Caso 1983), bears large primary tubercles concentrated along the peripetalous fasciole and almost flush along the anterior petal (see Caso 1983, fig. 78; Smith et al. 2010). When originally described by Clark (1940, p. 351), based on the material from the west coast of Panama, it was regarded as a juvenile of the West Indies species Plagiobrissus gran-dis (Gmelin, 1791) (for references see Kier 1975, Hendler et al. 1995). The latter species, the type species of Plagiobrissus (Pomel 1883; see Fischer 1966, Smith et al. 2010), has, however, a frontal groove, one of the generic features of Plagiobrissus and absent in Rhabdobrissus.

Rhabdobrissus costai Gasco, 1876, studied in detail by Mortensen (1913), was selected as type species of a distinct genus, Mortensenaster, by Lambert (1923). Its Rhabdobrissus affinity was retained, however, by Smith et al. (2010). It is known since the Pliocene (recorded from northern Italy by Borghi 1993).

“Plagiobrissus (Rhabdobrissus) sp.”, a fragmentary specimen from the Upper Miocene of Morocco (see Lachkhem and Roman 1995, pl. 4, fig. 2), hardly belongs to the genus Rhabdobrissus. It has a very high test profile which makes it much closer to the genus Rhyno-brissus A. Agassiz, 1872 (illustrated by Fischer 1966, fig. 485.2a–c).

Summing up, the studied specimens are closest to the species Rh. pacificus (H.L. Clark, 1940). The minor differences still warrant, however, the erection of a new species, which would represent the oldest, Miocene, record of the genus Rhabdobrissus Cotteau, 1889.

Rhabdobrissus tarnopolensis sp. nov. (Text-figs 20–22)

MATERIAL: Hai Roztotski: one small fragment of aboral side (MWGUW ZI/55/051); Maksymivka: holotype, one incomplete test (MWGUW ZI/55/027);
Novosilka: paratype – one fragmentary oral side of the test (MWGUW ZI/55/028); all specimens are from the Ternopil Beds.

DIAGNOSIS: A rhabdobrissid spatangoid of ovate test, widened posteriorly, with peripetalous fasciole broadly extended, bowed anteriorly but slightly convex behind anterior petals, and convexly sinuous between posterior petals; frontal pore-pairs of anterior petals adapically atrophied.

DERIVATION OF NAME: After the Latin version of the city name of Ternopil, western Ukraine.

MEASUREMENTS: Length of the holotype (posterior tip broken off) is 53 mm, total length estimated at 55–56 mm; width at the anterior petals 40 mm, maximum at the end of posterior petals 46 mm. Length of the paratype (posterior part missing) is 51 mm, total length estimated at 56–57 mm; maximum width – 44 mm.

TYPE LOCALITY: Maksymivka near Ternopil, Medobory Hills (Fore-Carpathian Basin), Ukraine.

TYPE HORIZON: Middle Miocene (Late Badenian).

DESCRIPTION OF HOLOTYPE: Test ovate, slightly wider posteriorly, lacking frontal groove; profile also ovate, not inflated; frontal ambulacrum non-petaloid, flush; petals with adapical part of anterior pore pair column atrophied; all petals moderately sunken, closed, not flexed outerly, anterior ones shorter, with interporiferous zone wide (half of pore pair column width) and anterior pore pair column atrophied adapically; posterior ones, slightly longer, diverging at a wide angle (50°), with interporiferous zone relatively narrow (less than half of pore pair column width); apical system ethmolytic, with four gonopores well exposed; peripetalous fasciole distinct, convex frontally, and weakly re-entrant behind anterior and between posterior petals concavely; coarser tubercles scattered almost uniformly throughout peripetalous fasciole, but tending

Text-fig. 20. The holotype of Rhabdobrissus tarnopolensis sp. nov. from the biohermal Ternopil Beds at Maksymivka (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/027): 1a – Overall aboral view; 1b – Same, inked to highlight the peripetalous fasciole; 2 – Anterior view; 3 – Right lateral view; all × 1.5
to group along the outer sides of posterior petals and along interradial suture of interambulacrum 5. Occluded plates at the distal end of the posterior paired ambulacra, not enclosed by the peripetalous fasciole (see Text-figs 20, 21).

DESCRIPTION OF PARATYPE (from Novosilka): Only part of the oral side, with a large, D-shaped peristome is preserved (see Text-fig. 22). Overall shape of the test is more ideally ovate than that of the holotype. A narrow amphisternous plastron is bordered by almost parallel ambulacra converging towards the peristome, and covered by small tubercles arranged in transverse rows diverging from the midline and arching anteriorly.

REMARKS. When compared with Rhabdobrissus pacificus (H.L. Clark, 1940) presented by Caso (1983, fig. 78), the new species is more hemispherical ambitally, slightly wider posteriorly, and with posterior petals di-
verging at an angle of 50° (instead of 40°). Also it has coarser tubercles in the peripetalous fasciole more pronounced alongside the external margin of the posterior (I and V) petals and around the interradial suture of interambulacrum 5; interporiferous zone of anterior petals are slightly wider.

The atrophy by the apical part of frontal pore-pairs in the anterior (II and IV) petals, reported as in the Brissidae by Fourtau (1914, pl. 7, fig. 4c; see below for taxonomy), is also noted in the Atelospatangus-group of species, referred currently to the family Loveniidae (see Smith et al. 2010).

Plagiobrissus hungaricus Vadász (1915, pp. 153, 154 = 231, 232), the only echinoid species from the Paratethys Realm comparable to our species, occupies an uncertain systematic position. The single, poorly preserved original specimen of Vadász (1915, pl. 4 (10), figs 11–13), from the Miocene of Felső-Orbó (nowadays Garbova de Sus in Romania; see Kroh 2005, p. 195) is best assigned to Radiobrissus genefensis Fourtau, 1914, known from the Miocene of northern Egypt, and currently considered a member of the genus Metalia Gray, 1855 (Smith et al. 2010). Philippe (1998, p. 201) suggested the affinity of the Hungarian species with Plagiobrissus (Rhabdobrissus) imbricatus (Wright, 1855). The latter species, described from the Maltese Upper Miocene (Messinian) and referred originally to Brissus imbricatus (see Wright 1855, pp. 183, 184), is based, however, on a fragmentary specimen, with its dorsum damaged. Consequently, recent understanding of P. hungaricus is far from clear, but it appears taxonomically different from Rho. tarnopolensis sp. nov.

**OCCURRENCE.** The species is known from the Ternopil Beds. It lived in shellgrit in crevices of nearshore (shallow sub- to intertidal) coralgal buildups, associated with diverse echinoids and crabs (see Radwański et al. 2006).

**Family Spatangidae Gray, 1825**

**Genus Spatangus Gray, 1825**

REMARKS. The correct assignment of spatangid tests poses a problem. This has recently been noted by Kroh (2005), who restudied Laube’s (1871) types and revised all Paratethys material referred usually to S. austriacus Laube, 1871. As a result, apart from the nominal species and specifically indeterminate forms, Kroh (2005) selected two groups, which he treated informally as separate taxa, Spatangus sp. 1 and Spatangus sp. 2. In the latter Kroh (2005, pp. 182–185) included all former records of S. austriacus from Ukraine and Poland.

The material studied herein adds nothing to this discussion. Therefore, we propose to lump all specimens in Laube’s species sensu lato, and refer them to Spatangus ex gr. austriacus Laube, 1871.

**Spatangus ex gr. austriacus Laube, 1871**

(Text-figs 23–24)

2005. Spatangus sp. 2; A. Kroh, pp. 182, 183 (cum syn.).
2005. Spatangus sp. 2; A. Kroh, fig. 90.1a–c.

**MATERIAL:** Rozvadiv: one complete test (MWGUW ZI/55/107); Stratyn: one fragmentary test, three test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/101-102); both from the
Mykolaiv Beds. Vanzhuliv: one fragment (MWGUW ZI/55/147); Zalistsi: 7 fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/149); all from the Pidhirtsi Beds. Bridok: one test (MWGUW ZI/55/104) from the Ternopil Beds.

DESCRIPTION: Test heart-shaped of medium- to large size (up to 110 mm in length). Anterior ambulacrum narrow, other ambulacra petaloid and flush. Aboral tuberculation heterogeneous, with coarse primary tubercles arranged in oblique rows or chevrons in all interambulacra. Plastron relatively wide, triangular in outline, with strongly marked indentation.

REMARKS. The material studied herein probably represents Kroh’s (2005, pp. 182–185) Spatangus sp. 2, although this cannot be separated distinctly from the types of S. austriacus (see Laube 1871, pl. 19, fig. 2, 2a; Kroh 2005, pl. 75, figs 1a, 2a). Of particular interest is the larger-sized, spine-coated specimen from Rozvadiv (Text-fig. 24). Its preserved spine canopy, unknown as yet from ancient spatangids, exhibits larger primary spines and two subanal tufts (see Text-fig. 24). The chevron-like arrangement of its primary spines is nearly identical to that (see Kroh 2005, fig. 85) of typical S. austriacus. The specimen has a cordiform shape, a test outline not seen in small- or medium-sized specimens. Moreover, its frontal ambulacrum (AIII) is sunken towards a very deep frontal notch. Its length, approaching 110 mm, is close to that of the largest (126.2 or 124.1 mm) Austrian specimen, and distinctly exceeds the average size range (48.7 to 89.6; see Kroh 2005, p. 178) for typical S. austriacus from the Eggenburgian of the Vienna Basin. The above-mentioned largest specimen (see Kroh and Harzhauser 1999, pl. 1, figs 1, 2), exhibits a rare arrangement of primary spines, very close to that (see Kroh 2005, fig. 85) typical of Spatangus sp. 2, but it lacks a cordiform outline and frontal notch. It seems, thus, that neither the extreme size and cordiform shape, nor the arrangement of the primary spines set this apart from S. ex gr. austriacus.

Eco-taphonomy of the spine-coated specimen. Ever since the classic studies of Nichols (1959), Durham (1966a, pp. U257–U265) and Kier (1972b), a wide spectrum of ecological (behavioural and environmental) requirements of extant spatangids has become

Text-fig. 23. Spatangus ex gr. austriacus Laube, 1871: 1 – Denuded test (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/104) from organodetrital Ternopil Beds at Bridok (aboral view), natural size. Various fragments from the Mykolaiv Beds at Stratyn: 2 – Fragmentary test (oral view), to show the well-pronounced plastron (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/101), natural size; 3–4 – Common detritus of the aboral part of test (Specimen Nos MWGUW ZI/55/102a-102b), × 1.5; 5 – Isolated plastron (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/102d), × 1.5

Text-fig. 24. Spine-coated specimen of Spatangus ex gr. austriacus Laube, 1871, from the Mykolaiv Beds at Rozvadiv (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/107): A – Aboral view, B – Oral view; both natural size. Reduced images (of A and B), to indicate: as – apical spines, ls – locomotory spines, p – ambulacra I and V bordering the plastron, st – subanal tufts
known. Dealing primarily with *S. purpureus* (O.F. Müller, 1776), those authors also noted the analogues with its Neogene ancestors. Modern representatives live shallow-infaunally in coarse material, and are in contact with the sediment/water interface via a funnel-like respiratory tract formed by long apical spines. Both shape and depth of this tract correlate with the sediment type and burrowing depth (see Durham 1966a, pp. 260, 261). Consequently, it may be stated that depth of burrowing must have been limited in coarse-grained sea floors at Rozvadiv, and that the apical spines (see Text-fig. 24A) were not distinct. In contrast, the relatively long and close-set posterior spines formed conspicuous subanal tufts (marked *st* in Text-fig. 24B) to enable the construction of two separate sanitary canals. The spine canopy, almost complete ventrally, but less so dorsally (either underdeveloped, or abraded; compare A and B in Text-fig. 24) indicates that the specimen studied lived semi-infaunally, with its dorsum more or less exposed over the sediment/water interface (compare Nichols 1959, fig. 14 = Durham 1966a, fig. 205).

**OCCURRENCE:** *Spatangus austriacus* (*sensu lato*) is widely distributed throughout the Early Earthenburian to Late Badenian of the Central Paratethys (see Kroh 2005). In the Miocene of Ukraine it has been recorded in the Mykolaiv Beds, and sandy and organodetrital deposits of the Pidhirtsi Beds and Ternopil Beds.

Text-fig. 26. *Hemipatagus ocellatus* (Defrance, 1827) from the Mykolaiv Beds at Khorosno: 1 – Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/074: 1a – Aboral, 1b – Left lateral view, both × 1.5; 2 – Pyriform specimen (No. MWGUW ZI/55/071f), × 1.5; 3 – Posterior fragment of test (Specimen No. MWGUW ZI/55/073), to show the subanal fasciole, × 2; 4–6 – Test fragments of larger specimens (Nos MWGUW ZI/55/066a–066b and 069), to show the camellate primary tubercles vanishing towards the test margin, × 1.5; 7–8 – Test fragments of larger specimens (Specimens Nos MWGUW ZI/55/068a–068b), in outer (a) and inner (b) views, to show the development of camellae reaching the test margin, × 1.5

Text-fig. 25. Display of *Hemipatagus ocellatus* (Defrance, 1827) from the Mykolaiv Beds at Khorosno (Specimens Nos MWGUW ZI/55/071a-071e and 075-078, respectively): 1–9 – Better-preserved specimens of various sizes, to show their number of camellate primary tubercles gradually increasing during test growth (a – Aboral, b – Oral or lateral, c – Lateral), all × 1.5
Family Loveniidae Lambert, 1905

REMARKS. Assemblages of small-sized spatangoids represent another group with unclear spatangid/loveniid affinities. This is best exemplified by Defrance’s (1827) *ocellatus*, which has previously been assigned either to the spatangid genus *Maretia* Gray, 1855 or to the loveniid *Hemipatagus* Desor, 1858. These two genera have long been treated as separate (Lambert 1908; Philippe 1998) or were synonymised (Mortensen 1951, p. 25; Fischer 1966, p. U609). Following recent discussions on the systematic status of these genera (Kroh 2005, 2007a; Smith et al. 2010), we refer the studied specimens to the genus *Hemipatagus*, in compliance with Kroh (2007a).

Genus *Hemipatagus* Desor, 1858

*Hemipatagus ocellatus* (Defrance, 1827)

(Text-figs 25–26)

2005. *Hemipatagus ocellatus* (Defrance, 1827); A. Kroh, pp. 191–194, pl. 81, figs 1–4 and pl. 82, figs 1, 2.

MATERIAL: Khorosno: 55 tests and/or their fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/066–078) from the Mykolaiv Beds.

DESCRIPTION: Test ovate with distinct frontal groove. Anterior ambulacrum depressed, other ambulacra petaloid aborally and flush. Peristome kidney-shaped, periproct on posterior truncate face. Subanal fasciole present, peripetalous fasciole absent. Camellate primary tubercles with sunken areoles present on aboral side in anterior and lateral interambulacra. Large tubercles on lateral interambulacra on oral surface.

REMARKS. The assemblage studied comprises > 20 intact specimens and numerous fragments of various sizes from allochthonous bioclast material in cross-bedded sands at Khorosno; these are regarded to have come from a single community which inhabited a nearshore sandy biotope, and which were swept by littoral currents. As a result, the smaller (i.e., supposedly younger) specimens easily survived transport (? in sand suspension, possibly still alive), while the larger, thin-walled tests (probably empty) were subjected to near-total destruction. In effect, the material collected displays a wider range of variability than previously recorded.

The studied specimens vary in a number of aspects from those documented in the literature. The main morphological variables, which may be listed are as follows: (i) Some smaller specimens (Text-fig. 25,3) are slightly more elongate than larger ones, although some larger-sized specimens with a pyriform shape are also noted (Text-fig. 26,2); (ii) Test profile is much taller; (iii) The posterior face varies, being either truncated anteriorly (Text-fig. 25,6b, 8c; Text-fig. 26/1b) or near vertical (Text-fig. 25,7c, 9b); and finally (iv) The camellate tubercles are more sparsely developed, except in larger-sized specimens (of which only fragments are preserved), where they either become effaced or diminish in size towards the test margin (Text-fig. 26,5–8).

The meaning of the observed variation is uncertain. This may reflect facies dependence or reaction to other environmental conditions, but easily may also result from phylogeny (the material reported in the literature is older). The other obstacle is the fact, that test architecture in the present material can be observed to a limited extent only, because all specimens are more or less heavily encrusted with sand grains due to diagenetic pressure-solution.

Concluding, the assemblage studied herein is thought to represent a single community, and stratigraphically the youngest community of *H. ocellatus*. Neither this species, nor any other echinoid species of comparable overall morphology, has ever been recorded from the Polish part of the Fore-Carpathian Basin.

OCCURRENCE: In the Central Paratethys, *Hemipatagus ocellatus* is known from the Egenbergian of the Swiss and Austrian Molasse Zone, and from the ?Badenian of the Pannonian and Transylvanian basins (see Kroh 2005). In the Ukrainian Fore-Carpathian Basin it is locally abundant in the Mykolaiv Beds.

Genus *Echinocardium* Gray, 1825

*Echinocardium leopolitanum* Radwański and Wysocka, 2001

(Text-fig. 27)


MATERIAL: Gleboviti: over 230 tests and fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/117-137); Khorosno: 4 tests, over 25 test fragments (MWGUW ZI/55/054-057); Pidiarkiv: one test fragment (MWGUW ZI/55/090); all from the Mykolaiv Beds.

REMARKS. This species, formerly assumed to be endemic, was erected on the basis of rich masses of specimens, most of them preserving spine canopies, from tempestites at Gleboviti [Polish: Chlebowice]. Here we add material from the same locality, but also note the presence of this form elsewhere in Ukraine. All these localities are Middle Miocene (Early Badenian) in age, and not Burdigalian, as suggested by Kroh (2007b, p. 179).

At the Gleboviti sand pit, quarrying in 2006 resulted in the discovery of two pocket-like aggregations of exclusively small specimens (15–20 mm in length) at the bottom of a storm-generated sand bar, which also yielded a complete starfish (see above; Member C in text-fig. 3 of Radwański and Wysocka 2001). These should be interpreted either as transport-selected juveniles (‘babies’) having been swept out of communities in which the deeper-burrowing adults survived superficial scouring or stir of the sand they lived in, or they...
have been supplied from restricted biotopes (‘nurseries’) populated exclusively by youthful individuals (compare Kier 1975, p. 3, for brissids; Néraudeau 1991). Another example of young Echinocardium aggregations has previously been noted from the Miocene of Portugal by Kotchetoff et al. (1975, p. 75).

The fan of locomotory spines, as well as the apical tuft in juveniles (‘babies’) in E. leopolitanum have a development identical (see Text-fig. 27.1a–c) to that of adults, which documents the deep burrowing of this species, already in early growth stages.

At Khorosno, within various allochthonous assemblages, there are several denuded tests of small specimens (Text-fig. 27.4, 5), as well as quite common isolated plastral plates which, on account of the posterior keel (see Text-fig. 27.2, 3, 6–9), are referred to this species. These are recognised as the most robust parts of the tests that were crushed during rough water motion and transport. As discussed previously (Radwański and Wysocka 2001, pp. 305, 306), tests of E. leopolitanum have distinctly stronger and longer locomotory spines than the modern pandemic E. cordatum (Pennant, 1777). The highly conspicuous tufts of locomotory spines were certainly an adaptation to deeper and/or more rapid burrowing in less coherent sand, or to less effective mucus secretion to reinforce the burrow. Because deep and intense burrowing requires strong locomotory spines, the plastron must become their sturdy base.

Amongst the new localities are Yasnyiska, where single specimens and ubiquitous burrows occur in more compact sand mass, and Birky [Polish: Borki] where only burrows, which may be attributed to this species, are preserved in the layered sands.

**ECO-TAPHONOMIC CONCLUSIONS**

In the Middle Miocene of the Ukrainian Fore-Carpathian Basin, the echinoderms are confined to particular facies.

The Mykolaiv Beds, the most widespread one, yields the starfish Astrophyta forbesi Heller, 1858, and ubiquitous echinoids, such as Psammechinus dubius (L. Agassiz, 1840), Echinocystamus sp., Parascutella cf. paulensis (L. Agassiz, 1841), and Parmulechinus sp. nov., Spatangus ex gr. austriacus Laube, 1871, Hemi- patagus ocellatus (Defrance, 1827), Echinocardium leopolitanum Radwański and Wysocka, 2001, Clypeaster sp., Conolampas sp., and Stylocidaris? polyantha (Reuss, 1860). In this unit all material is allochthonous (= parautochthonous sensu Schneider et al. 2009); echinoids were redeposited from their original biotopes by various dynamic events (currents, storms). The reconstruction of their primary habitats is more difficult. Any autochthonous occurrences in this facies are irregular, burrowing echinoids (Spatangus, Echinocardium) which could have been entombed when inside the burrow (compare Radwański and Wysocka 2001); possibly such ones are also the studied starfish having been preserved very close to their life positions (cf. Text-fig. 4.2b).

The biohermal Ternopil Beds yielded less frequent echinoids, such as Euclidaris desmoulini, Arbucina catenata, Brissus unicolor, and Rhabdobrissus tarnopolensis sp.nov. In this unit the echinoderms studied generally form autochthonous assemblages, preserved amidst bioherms, either in interbiothermal gaps or intrabiothermal crevices. Those entombed occasionally inside the bioherms are firmly cemented to algal matrix and remain intact in the rock. Such specimens are usually barely infilled, which suggests that they were either buried alive or soon after death, as discussed previously (Radwański et al. 2006, p. 98; pl. 4, figs 6, 7).

In ecological terms, particular attention is paid to the small-sized specimens of some species (Psammechinus dubius, Echinocardium leopolitanum) of which local mass accumulations are known, which enables conclusions to be drawn on the life behaviour of young individuals, general environmental conditions of communities and taphonomic filtering through transport.

The large-sized echinoids, Clypeaster and Conolampas, come from Khorosno, the locality characterized by the most diverse faunal assemblages. Their allochthonous fragments have been derived from eulittoral habitats and/or nearshore sandy seagrass beds (compare Schneider et al. 2009), their tests having been broken either by surf action, or by predatory attacks. The latter possibility was suggested for the Krynica Basin (southern Poland) assemblage, where the damage of the largest eulittoral shells is remarkable (see Baluk and Radwański 1977, pp. 108–110 and pls 7–8). Huge crabs and large vertebrates (some fish or mammals; the latter exemplified by the case of recent Californian Enhydra lutris – see e.g., Hall and Schaller 1964) were assumed as possible predators (Baluk and Radwański 1977, p. 109).

**BIOGEOGRAPHIC VERSUS STRATIGRAPHIC IMPLICATIONS**

As inferred from species diversity and size of echinoids studied, their life conditions (particularly in terms
of the temperature) did not differ significantly from those prevailing in other parts of Paratethys, in spite of the northerly position of the Fore-Carpathian Basin (see Radwański et al. 2006; Kroh 2007b, pp. 185, 186). Consequently, it may safely be assumed that the Middle Miocene sequences studied, represented an area which belonged to the warm, Paratethyan biogeographic realm.

The only species in which a size decrease when compared with areas further to the south is noted are two sand dollars, Parascutella gibbercula and P. paulensis. In the Vienna Basin, P. gibbercula attains lengths up to 150 mm (Laube 1871) or even 158.6 mm (Kroh 2005, p. 88) and a similar length (152 mm) was reported recently from Slovenia (Mikuž 2009). For P. paulensis, the difference is less distinct. In the Vienna Basin it attains a length of 118.8 mm (Kroh 2005, p. 93) and in Slovenia 98 mm (Mikuž 2009). In the Rhône Basin (France), P. gibbercula grows up to a length of 124 mm (holotype; see Lambert 1912; Philippe 1998, p. 150), and P. paulensis up to 74 mm (the holotype) or even 92 mm (Lambert 1912; Philippe 1998, p. 131). Summing up, it may be stated that the Ukrainian specimens of P. paulensis may be regarded as matching the size range of the species as noted further to the south, however, in the case of P. gibbercula a size decrease is evident. Taking into account that this tendency concerns just a single species, it is interpreted herein as reflecting rather less favourable facies conditions (coarse sand) than a biogeographically induced pattern.

The basic stratigraphical problem concerns the range of three species, Parascutella paulensis, Spatangus austriacus and Hemipatagus ocellatus, which occur in the Middle Miocene of the study area, and which, according to Kroh (2005, 2007b, table 3), are Lower Miocene forms in the Vienna Basin. Their presence in the Middle Miocene of Ukraine may easily be explained as the gradual extension of their biogeographic ranges to the north into a newly formed marine basin of the Fore-Carpathian Depression during the Early and middle Miocene. Of importance is the fact that the Fore-Carpathian Basin started already in the Early Miocene, although this early part of the succession is nowhere exposed, being entirely beneath the Outer Carpathians. The argument that these species were not found in the Middle Miocene in the Vienna Basin is also not contradictory; it may even be suggested that some of the facies in the Vienna Basin (and in adjacent basins), now regarded to be of Early Miocene (Burdigalian, Eggenburgian) age are, at least in part, isochronous with some facies considered to be Middle Miocene (Langhian to Serravallian) in the Ukraine. The paucity of detailed chronostatigraphic data (bio-, chemo-, magnetostratigraphic) in the facies studied precludes any firm conclusion on this matter. Finally, seaways for echinoderm larval dispersion to the Fore-Carpathian Basin (which came into existence) through the disappearing Outer Carpathian basins is well established. Sedimentation in the outermost parts of the Outer Carpathian basins obviously continued throughout the Burdigalian (Ottnangian and Karpathian) to terminate later, perhaps even straddling the Early/Middle Miocene boundary, as recently discussed by Kofàrarchy (et al. 2006, p. 14; see also Harzhauser et al. 2003, fig. 2 and Jankowski et al. 2012, p. 210).
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