Abstract. The paper concerns the problem of choosing a criterion for transmission line when lumped parameters analysis is required. First, the formal introduction of transmission line scheme is presented. Secondly, a new criterion for lumped-parameter analysis of transmission line is proposed. The criterion has clear physical meaning and simple mathematical form. The proposed criterion takes into account not only wave length, but also the dissipation of transmission line. The criterion can be easily adjusted to some requirements, such as needed level of no-load output voltage change.
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1. Introduction

Transmission line is a system which should be treated as a distributed system described by partial differential equations system, generally. Transmission line model is often willingly simplified into lumped-parameter circuit. For many engineering applications, such a simplification is required but, on the other hand, it often leads to errors. The responsible decision concerning transmission line model simplification should be taken after verifying a criterion. The more rigorous the proposed criterion, the lower error level admitted. Moreover, the criterion should have a simple mathematical form and clear physical interpretation. The paper presents mathematical and physical backgrounds of criterion for transmission line model simplification (allowing for lumped parameters analysis). First, the formal introduction of transmission line model is presented. Secondly, new criterion for lumped-parameter analysis of transmission line is introduced. Thirdly, the adaptive modification way of the criterion is discussed.

2. Transmission line equations

Transmission line state equations (the so-called Heaviside equations) result from Maxwell electromagnetic field equations. Those equations allow for introducing the model transmission line. Works [1–5, 11] introduce transmission line equations for arbitrary chosen model scheme (so-called equivalent circuit). Based on assumed transmission line scheme, the main equations are derived and the difference equations are rearranged into differential state equations.

Fig. 1. Electromagnetic field components of single conductor in cylindrical co-ordinate system
The electromagnetic field is described by Maxwell equations [1–6] are usually written as follows

\[ \text{rot} \vec{H} = \vec{j} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}, \]  
(1)

and

\[ \text{rot} \vec{E} = - \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}. \]  
(2)

Due to axial symmetry the electric field strength vector tangential component equals to zero, thus

\[ \vec{E} = E_\rho \hat{i}_\rho + E_z \hat{i}_z, \]  
(3)

and magnetic flux density has only one component

\[ \vec{B} = B_\phi \hat{i}_\phi. \]  
(4)

Hence, the Maxwell equations for this problem can be written as follows

\[ - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho \mu \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial \phi} \right) = \gamma E_\rho + \frac{\partial D_\rho}{\partial t}. \]  
(5)

and

\[ \frac{\partial E_\rho}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial \rho} = - \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial t}. \]  
(6)

After integrating over \( \phi \) (multiplied by \( \rho \)) one obtains

\[ \int_0^{2\pi} H_\phi \rho d\phi = i, \]  
(8)

stray (parasitic) current \( i_a \) (over dielectric surrounding the conductor) per length \( l \)

\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \gamma E_\rho \rho d\phi = \frac{i_a}{l} = G_1 V, \]  
(9)

and electric charge on conductor per length

\[ \int_0^{2\pi} D_\rho \rho d\phi = \frac{q}{l} = C_1 V, \]  
(10)

where \( V = V(t, z) \) means local potential along conductor. Hence, the first (current) partial difference equation for transmission line conductor is as follows

\[ - \frac{\partial i}{\partial z} = G_1 V + C_1 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}. \]  
(11)
The current and voltage equations for conductor A take the forms
\[- \frac{\partial i_A}{\partial z} = G_i V_A + C_1 \frac{\partial V_A}{\partial t}, \tag{17}\]
and
\[- \frac{\partial V_A}{\partial z} = R_i i_A + L_1 \frac{\partial i_A}{\partial t}. \tag{18}\]
Analogously, the equations for conductor B are as follows
\[- \frac{\partial i_B}{\partial z} = G_i V_B + C_1 \frac{\partial V_B}{\partial t}, \tag{19}\]
and
\[- \frac{\partial V_B}{\partial z} = R_i i_B + L_1 \frac{\partial i_B}{\partial t}. \tag{20}\]
Subtractions (17) minus (19) and subsequently (18) minus (20) lead to equations
\[- \frac{\partial (i_A - i_B)}{\partial z} = G_i (V_A - V_B) + C_1 \frac{\partial (V_A - V_B)}{\partial t}, \tag{21}\]
and
\[- \frac{\partial (V_A - V_B)}{\partial z} = R_i (i_A - i_B) + L_1 \frac{\partial (i_A - i_B)}{\partial t}. \tag{22}\]
Finally, after regarding constraint \(i_B = -i_A = -i\) and definition \(u = V_A - V_B\) the transmission line equations are derived as follows
\[- \frac{\partial i}{\partial z} = G_0 u + C_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \tag{23}\]
and
\[- \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = R_0 i + L_0 \frac{\partial i}{\partial t}. \tag{24}\]
where transmission line per length parameters are defined as follows \(G_0 = \frac{1}{2} G_i\), \(C_0 = \frac{1}{2} C_1\), \(R_0 = 2 R_i\), \(L_0 = 2 L_1\). Moreover, the presented way of introduction transmission line equations enables for calculations of transmission line parameters.

The proved transmission line equations (23) and (24), based only on Maxwell electromagnetic field equations, introduce strictly the correct equivalent scheme. In Fig. 3 element of infinitesimal line length \(\Delta x\) is shown (\(x = -z\) is measured from the transmission line end).

The transmission line equations (23) and (24) lead for sine steady-state of work (Fig. 4) to the following relations [6, 8, 10]
\[U_1 = U_2 \cosh(\Gamma l) + Z_C I_2 \sinh(\Gamma l), \tag{25}\]
and
\[I_1 = \frac{U_2}{Z_C} \sinh(\Gamma l) + I_2 \cosh(\Gamma l), \tag{26}\]
where propagation constant
\[\Gamma = \sqrt{(R_0 + j \omega L_0)(G_0 + j \omega C_0)} = \alpha + j \beta. \tag{27}\]
where according to (25) is satisfied
\[ E = U_1 = U_2 \cosh(\Gamma l) . \] (29)

Theoretically, the above implicates that only for line length \( l \to 0 \) the no-load output voltage \( U_2 \) is equal to supply voltage \( E \). For lossless transmission line \( (G_0 = 0, R_0 = 0, \alpha = 0, \Gamma = j\alpha\sqrt{L_0C_0} = j\beta, \lambda = 2\pi/\beta ) \), the relative voltage change (29) is presented in Fig. 5.

Figure 6 confirms that the relative voltage change rises with dissipation of transmission line, e.g. for \( \alpha = \beta j \frac{\delta U_0}{\lambda/20} \frac{\lambda}{j} = 0.0984 \ldots \cong 10\% . \) (33)

Moreover, from the theoretical point of view it is interesting to consider transmission line for lower frequency (wave length \( \lambda \) is significantly great) when the dissipation \( \alpha \) is dominant i.e. \( \alpha \gg \beta \).

Form the Fig. 7 is evident that dissipation \( \alpha \) must be incorporated to the proposed criterion for lumped parameters analysis of transmission line.

Generally, the criterion should satisfy the inequality
\[ |\delta U_0(\lambda/2)| \le k , \] (34)
which implicates condition in complex domain for transmission line length.
\[ |\delta U_0(l)| = |\cosh (\Gamma l)^{-1} - 1| \leq k. \quad (35) \]

Condition (35) is equivalent to conjunction of two inequalities as follows

\[ \frac{l}{\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \text{Im} \left\{ \text{acosh} \left( \frac{1}{1 + ke^{j\varphi}} \right) \right\} \right|, \quad (36) \]

and

\[ \alpha l \leq \text{Re} \left\{ \text{acosh} \left( \frac{1}{1 + ke^{j\varphi}} \right) \right\}, \quad (37) \]

where \( \varphi \) is argument of \( \cosh(\Gamma l) - 1 \) \([7–10]\).

The conjunction of two inequalities (36) and (37) constitute the necessary and sufficient conditions for (34). Inequalities (36) and (37) are interpreted graphically in Fig. 8. The criterion regards the influence of dissipation phenomena. In Fig. 9, the graphical algorithm of the criterion is explained. Namely, for given \( \alpha \) the value of \( \alpha l/2\pi \) is calculated, and a proper point is founded on real part curve. It is asked whether or not \( l/\lambda \) is lower than adequate value on curve of imaginary part of complex relative voltage change.

### 4. Adaptive criterion formulas allowing for lumped parameters analysis

The conjunction of (36) and (37) defines necessary and sufficient conditions for limiting relative voltage change. However, fulfillment of conjunction of (36) and (37) is not a simple algorithm for engineers. Hence, it is required more convenient formulation of the proposed criterion. Let us introduce a certain sufficient condition which develops a more convenient criterion form. Namely, the conjunction of two inequalities (36) and (37) is satisfied if the module (upper line in Fig. 8) is limited. The most rigorous (strict) condition is accepted if the minimal value of all moduli (for any \( \varphi \)) is chosen as a critical value for both real and imaginary parts. Thus, the criterion is more rigorous and independent from argument \( \varphi \) (argument \( \varphi \) has not to be evaluated). Hence, the new criterion can be easily applied by engineers and could be also adjusted due to some particular requirements. Exemplary, for few moduli of relative output voltage change (1st column in Table 1) the different-level criteria are established.

![Graphical interpretation for proposed criterion for dissipative transmission line](image)

**Table 1: Exemplary criteria for transmission line**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative voltage change module</th>
<th>Limit value (for given ( k ))</th>
<th>Proposed criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(</td>
<td>\delta U_0</td>
<td>) lower than ( k )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>( 0.0493 \ldots \approx 1/20 )</td>
<td>( &lt;1/20 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>( 0.0684 \ldots \approx 1/15 )</td>
<td>( &lt;1/15 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>( 0.0822 \ldots \approx 1/12 )</td>
<td>( &lt;1/12 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>( 0.0932 \ldots \approx 1/11 )</td>
<td>( &lt;1/11 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The most reasonable seems to admit the criterion which guarantees level $k = 0.05$ for given transmission line, thus

$$\frac{\Gamma l}{2\pi} = \left| \frac{\alpha l}{2\pi} + j\frac{l}{\lambda} \right| < \frac{1}{20}. \quad (38)$$

The above written criterion for lossless transmission line ($\alpha = 0$) takes the form of

$$\frac{l}{\lambda} < \frac{1}{20}, \quad (39)$$

and is more strict than the one often presented in bibliography [1, 2, 4, 5] of the form $l < \lambda/10$.

5. Conclusion

The presented discussion indicates that criterion for transmission line cannot be arbitrarily chosen (e.g. $l < \lambda/10$), but it has to be well-motivated and strictly proved. The criterion has to have the well-motivated physical interpretation and a mathematical form as simple as possible. Such a way of introducing criterion enables engineers for its simple practical interpretation and adaptation. The criterion allows for simplifying electromagnetic field phenomena and performing lumped parameters analysis.

The proposed criterion (38) is based on output voltage change at no-load steady state of work for sine input voltage. This criterion takes into account dissipation i.e. $G_0, R_0$ of transmission line, not only the wave length $\lambda$. Moreover, the criterion’s physical motivation indicates the technical consequences bounded with its application.

The criterion in the form of $l < \lambda/10$ (e.g. [1, 4, 5]) cannot be accepted due to great relative voltage change over 23% and failing to take into account the dissipation of transmission line (Fig. 5).

The proposed criterion (38) allows for accepting the lumped parameters analysis for transmission line and limiting the relative output voltage change at no-load state of work on level 5%.
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