Radiation of Sound from a Coaxial Duct Formed by a Semi-Infinite Rigid Outer Cylinder and Infinite Inner Cylinder Having Different Linings
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In the present work, the radiation of sound waves from a coaxial duct is considered. This coaxial duct has an inner wall which is infinite and has piecewise acoustically absorbent material, while the outer wall is semi-infinite and rigid. The analytical solution of the problem is found by means of the Wiener-Hopf technique. Applying the Fourier transformation to the boundary value problem, the explicit expression for the scattered field is obtained. In the end, some numerical results are displayed for different parameters and compared to rigid case.
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1. Introduction

The investigation of sound wave propagation and radiation is an important subject in noise pollution and relevant for many engineering applications. For this reason, lots of semi-infinite or infinite cylindrical structures which include the aperture or acoustically absorbent lining on their inner or outer walls have been investigated by numerous authors. Analytical solution for the problem of sound radiation from a semi-infinite unflanged rigid circular duct has been obtained by Levine and Schwinger (1948) via Wiener-Hopf method. Wiener-Hopf technique has been used in acoustic problems extensively (Lawrie et al., 1993; Buyukaksoy, Polat, 1998; Cinar, 2013; Snakowska et al., 2017; Ozturk, 2020). Case in which the inner wall of an infinite annular duct has a finite aperture has been considered by Cinar et al. (2011). Also, as a very effective parameter, absorbing lining first has been theoretically studied in the literature (Morse, 1939; Cremer, 1953; Lapin, 1975). The common ground of these studies is that they examine an infinite ducts. Rawlins (1978) later has revealed the effect of internal duct lining on the radiation from semi-infinite rigid duct. Besides, Demir and Buyukaksoy (2003) have investigated the rigid circular cylindrical pipe with a partial internal impedance loading. Recently, the acoustic radiation by a semi-infinite duct with outer lining and perforated end is analyzed rigorously by Tiryakioğlu (2020). In addition to all these studies, the absorbing lining has been investigated extensively with and without flow in the literature (Reinstra, 2007; Tiwana et al., 2017; Peake, Abrahams, 2020).

From these investigations we concluded that it is necessary to adopt different geometries and parameters to reduce noise pollution. For this purpose, a coaxial duct with a semi-infinite rigid outer cylinder and an infinite inner cylinder having different linings has been considered. In order to provide noise reduction, such structures have been used as a model for many engineering applications, such as exhausts of automobile engines, modern aircraft jet and turbofan engines, etc. By using the Fourier transform technique in conjunction with the Wiener-Hopf method, a rigorous solution of the related boundary value problem is obtained. It is shown that the presence of different inner duct linings makes significance loss on sound pressure levels
when it is compared with the rigid duct. The effect of these parameters is presented graphically in Sec. 7 in detail. Throughout this paper a time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ is assumed, where $\omega$ is the angular frequency.

2. Formulation of the problem

We shall consider the acoustic radiation of the wave mode from a coaxial duct with a semi infinite rigid outer duct and an infinite two-part acoustic impedance inner duct. From the symmetry of the geometry of the problem and of the incident field, the total diffracted field can be defined as

$$ k \eta_1 \text{and Neumann functions, } J_n $$

Above, derivative with respect to $\rho$ is denoted by a prime (‘) and $\lambda_\eta$ is the root of the equation

$$ i \kappa_1 n_0 [ Y_1 (\sigma_n b) J_0(\sigma_n a) - J_1(\sigma_n b) Y_0 (\sigma_n a)] + \sigma_n i \kappa_1 [ Y_1 (\sigma_n a) J_1(\sigma_n b) - J_1(\sigma_n a) Y_1 (\sigma_n b) = 0 \quad (1)_3 $$

with

$$ \lambda_\eta = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \sigma^2}. \quad (1)_4 $$

Here $J_n$ and $Y_n$ ($n = 0, 1$) are the well-known Bessel and Neumann functions, $k = \omega/c$ denotes the wave number of the medium. In order to obtain the solution, the total diffracted field can be defined as

$$ \psi^T (\rho, z) = \begin{cases} \psi_1 (\rho, z); & \rho > b, \\ \psi_2 (\rho, z) + \psi^i (\rho, z); & a < \rho < b, \end{cases} \quad (2) $$

where $\psi_j (\rho, z), \ j = 1, 2$ are the potential functions which satisfy the following Helmholtz equation

$$ \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2 \right] \psi_j (\rho, z) = 0, \ j = 1, 2 \quad (3) $$

and the following conditions:

$$ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \psi_1 (b, z) = 0, \ z < 0, $$

$$ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \psi_2 (b, z) = 0, \ z < 0, $$

$$ (i \kappa_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}) \psi_2 (a, z) = 0, \ z < 0, $$

$$ \psi_1 (b, z) = \psi_2 (b, z) + \psi^i (b, z), \ z > 0, $$

$$ (i \kappa_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}) \left[ \psi_2 (a, z) + \psi^i (a, z) \right] = 0, \ z > 0, $$

$$ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \psi_1 (b, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \psi_2 (b, z), \ z > 0. $$

3. Derivation of the Wiener-Hopf equation

The unknown fields $\psi_1 (\rho, z)$ and $\psi_2 (\rho, z)$ satisfy (3) for $z \in (-\infty, \infty)$. By taking Fourier transform of these equations, one can obtain the following integral representations

$$ \psi_1 (\rho, z) = \int_L A(\alpha) H_0^{(1)} (K \rho) e^{-i\alpha z} d\alpha, $$

$$ \psi_2 (\rho, z) = \int_L [B(\alpha) J_0 (K \rho) + C(\alpha) Y_0 (K \rho)] e^{-i\alpha z} d\alpha, $$

where $L$ is an inverse Fourier transform integration contour in the complex $\alpha$-plane. $A(\alpha)$, $B(\alpha)$, and $C(\alpha)$ are spectral coefficients to be determined. $H_0^{(1)} = J_0 + iy_0$ is the Hankel function of the first type. $K = \sqrt{k^2 - \alpha^2}$ is the square root function which is defined in the complex $\alpha$-plane (RIENSTRA, PEAKE, 2005). Applying the boundary condition (4)$_1$ and (4)$_2$ on $\rho = b$, $\rho = a$ respectively and taking Fourier transforms give

$$ -A(\alpha) KH_0^{(1)} (Kb) = \Phi_1^1 (\alpha), $$

$$ B(\alpha) J_\eta (\eta_1, \alpha) + C(\alpha) Y_\eta (\eta_1, \alpha) = \Phi_2^1 (\alpha). $$

Similarly, continuity relations for (4)$_4$ and (4)$_5$ at $\rho = b$, $\rho = a$ give

$$ A(\alpha) H_0^{(1)} (Kb) - B(\alpha) J_\alpha (Kb) - C(\alpha) Y_\alpha (Kb) $$

$$ + \frac{F(b, \sigma_n)}{2\pi i (x_0 + \alpha)} = \Phi_1^2 (\alpha), $$

$$ B(\alpha) J_\eta (\eta_2, \alpha) + C(\alpha) Y_\eta (\eta_2, \alpha) = \Phi_2^2 (\alpha) $$

$$ + \frac{1}{2\pi i (x_0 + \alpha)} [ik_1 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)], \quad (9) $$
where
\[ J(\eta_m, \alpha) = i k \eta_m J_0(K a) - K J_1(K a), \quad m = 1, 2, \]
\[ Y(\eta_m, \alpha) = i k \eta_m Y_0(K a) - K Y_1(K a), \quad m = 1, 2, \]
\[ F(\rho, \sigma_n) = i k \eta_1 S(\rho, \sigma_n) - S'(\rho, \sigma_n). \]

By using (4)_1, (4)_2 together with (4)_6 and taking Fourier transform gives
\[ A(\alpha) H_1^{(1)}(K b) = B(\alpha) J_1(K b) + C(\alpha) Y_1(K b), \]
where \( \Phi_{1,2}(\alpha) \) and \( \Phi_{1,2}(\alpha) \) are analytic functions in the upper \((\text{Im } \alpha > \text{Im } (-k))\) and in the lower \((\text{Im } \alpha < \text{Im } k)\) half planes, respectively and defined as
\[ \Phi_1^* = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \psi_1(b, z) e^{i \alpha z} dz, \]
\[ \Phi_2^* = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty (ik + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}) \psi_2(b, z) e^{i \alpha z} dz, \]
\[ \Phi_1^* = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left[ \psi_1(b, z) - \psi_2(b, z) \right] e^{i \alpha z} dz, \]
\[ \Phi_2^* = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 (ik - \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}) \psi_2(b, z) e^{i \alpha z} dz. \]

From the relations (6) and (11), we obtain
\[ B(\alpha) K J_1(K b) + C(\alpha) K Y_1(K b) = -\Phi_1^*(\alpha), \]
\[ Y_1(K b) \text{ and } J_1(K b) \text{ can be eliminated from Eqs (11) and (13), one gets} \]
\[ B(\alpha) = \frac{1}{K} Y_1(K b) J(\eta_1, \alpha) - J_1(K b) Y(\eta_1, \alpha), \]
\[ C(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{K} J(\eta_1, \alpha) \Phi_1^*(\alpha) + K J_1(K b) \Phi_2^*(\alpha) \]
\[ - \frac{2}{2\pi i (z_0 + \alpha)} F(b, \sigma_n) = \Phi_1^*(\alpha), \]
\[ \Phi_2^*(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi K b Y_1(K b) J(\eta_1, \alpha) - J_1(K b) Y(\eta_1, \alpha)} \]
\[ \frac{2i k}{\pi a K [Y_1(K b) J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(K b) Y(\eta_2, \alpha)]} \Phi_1^*(\alpha) \]
\[ + \frac{Y_1(K b) J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(K b) Y(\eta_2, \alpha)}{Y_1(K b) J(\eta_1, \alpha) - J_1(K b) Y(\eta_1, \alpha)} \Phi_2^*(\alpha) \]
\[ = \Phi_2^*(\alpha) + \frac{[ik \eta_2 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)]}{2\pi i (z_0 + \alpha)}, \]
\[ \Phi_2^*(\alpha) \text{ can be eliminated from Eqs (15)_1 and (15)_2, then we get the following two systems of Wiener-Hopf equation:} \]
\[ M_1(\alpha) \Phi_2^*(\alpha) + M_2(\alpha) \frac{[ik \eta_2 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)]}{2\pi i (z_0 + \alpha)} \]
\[ = \frac{2ik (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \Phi_1^*(\alpha)}{\pi a K [Y_1(K b) J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(K b) Y(\eta_2, \alpha)]} + \Phi_2^*(\alpha), \]
\[ M_2(\alpha) \Phi_2^*(\alpha) = \frac{b^* \Phi_2^*(\alpha)}{[Y_1(K b) J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(K b) Y(\eta_2, \alpha)]}, \]
\[ + \frac{b^* [ik \eta_2 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)]}{2\pi i (z_0 + \alpha)}, \]
The right hand side of Eq. (18) is analytic in the upper half plane except for the poles of the first term resulting from the zeros of

\[ K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)] \]

lying in the upper half-plane, namely at \( \alpha = \alpha_m \) with

\[ \sqrt{k^2 - \alpha_m^2}Y_1(\sqrt{k^2 - \alpha_m^2}b)J(\eta_2, \alpha_m) - J_1(\sqrt{k^2 - \alpha_m^2}b)Y(\eta_2, \alpha_m) \]= 0. \hspace{1cm} (20) \]

If the infinite system of poles are subtracted from both sides of Eq. (18), we obtain

\[
\frac{F_2(\alpha)}{M_1(\alpha)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_m}{(\alpha - \alpha_m)} + \frac{ik\eta_2 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)}{2\pi i(\alpha + \alpha_m)} \left[ \frac{1}{M_1(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{M_1(-\alpha_0)} \right] \\
+ \frac{2ik(\eta_2 - \eta_1)}{\pi aK[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)]} M'_1(\alpha) \] 

where

\[ f_m = \frac{2ik(\eta_2 - \eta_1)\Phi_1(\alpha_m)}{\pi aM'_1(\alpha_m)} \]

\[ \lim_{\alpha \to -\alpha_m} \frac{4}{\alpha} K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)] = \frac{1}{\pi b}. \hspace{1cm} (22) \]

The application of the analytical continuation principle together with the Liouville’s theorem yields

\[ \Phi_2(\alpha) = M_1(\alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_m}{(\alpha - \alpha_m)} + \frac{ik\eta_2 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)}{2\pi i(\alpha + \alpha_m)} \left[ \frac{1}{M_1(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{M_1(-\alpha_0)} \right] \]

Next we consider Eq. (16). By using the classical factorization and decomposition procedure, we get

\[
M^2_2(\alpha) \Phi_1(\alpha) = \frac{2M^2_2(\alpha)\Phi_1(\alpha)}{\pi Kb[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)]} \\
+ \frac{[ik\eta_2 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)] M^2_2(\alpha)}{\pi Kb[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)]} \]

\[ - M^2_2(\alpha) \Phi_1(\alpha) + \frac{F(b, \sigma_n) M^2_2(\alpha)}{2\pi i(\alpha_0 + \alpha)^2}, \hspace{1cm} (24) \]

where the split functions \( M^2_2(\alpha) \) and \( M^2_2(\alpha) \), result from the factorization of \( M_2(\alpha) \) as,

\[ M_2(\alpha) = \frac{M^2_2(\alpha)}{M_2(\alpha)}, \hspace{1cm} (25) \]

they are regular and free of zeros in the upper and lower half planes, respectively. The regularity of the right hand side of (24) is violated by the zeros on the lower half plane. Subtraction of the residue contribution of these poles as an infinite sum from both sides and using Liouville’s theorem, we get the Wiener-Hopf solution

\[ \Phi_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{M^2_2(\alpha)} \left[ \frac{F(b, \sigma_n) M^2_2(\alpha)}{2\pi i(\alpha_0 + \alpha)} \right] \\
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_m}{(\alpha + \alpha_m)} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_m}{(\alpha + \alpha_m)} \]

\[ \lim_{\alpha \to -\alpha_m} \frac{4}{\alpha} K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)] = \frac{1}{\pi b}. \hspace{1cm} (26) \]

where

\[ g_m = 2M^2_2(\alpha) \Phi_1(\alpha_m) \]

\[ \lim_{\alpha \to -\alpha_m} \frac{4}{\alpha} K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)] = \frac{1}{\pi b}. \hspace{1cm} (27) \]

\[ r_m = \frac{[ik\eta_2 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)] M^2_2(\alpha)}{\pi b(\alpha_0 + \alpha_m)} \]

\[ \lim_{\alpha \to -\alpha_m} \frac{4}{\alpha} K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)] = \frac{1}{\pi b}. \hspace{1cm} (28) \]

5. Determining the coefficient \( f_m \) and \( g_m \)

Wiener-Hopf solutions (23) and (26) contain unknown coefficients \( f_m \) and \( g_m \) which have to be determined. Substituting \( \alpha = -\alpha_r \) in Eq. (23) and using the relation in (27) we obtain

\[ \pi b \lim_{\alpha \to -\alpha_r} \frac{4}{\alpha} K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)] \]

\[ \frac{2}{M^2_2(-\alpha_r)} = \frac{M^2_2(-\alpha_r)}{M^2_2(-\alpha_0)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_m}{(-\alpha_r - \alpha_m)} \\
- M^2_2(-\alpha_r) \frac{[ik\eta_2 F(a, \sigma_n) + F'(a, \sigma_n)]}{2\pi i(\alpha_0 + \alpha_r)} \]

\[ \lim_{\alpha \to -\alpha_r} \frac{4}{\alpha} K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)] = \frac{1}{\pi b}. \hspace{1cm} (29) \]
Similarly substituting $\alpha = \alpha_r$ in Eq. (22) and using the relation in (22) we obtain

$$\frac{\pi a M^2_r(\alpha_r)}{2k(\eta_2 - \eta_1)} \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_r} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left[ K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)] f_r \right]$$

$$= \frac{F(b, \sigma_n) M^2_r(-\infty)}{2\pi i (\alpha_0 + \alpha_r) M^2_r(\alpha_r)} + \frac{1}{M^2_r(\alpha_r)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_m}{\alpha_r + m \alpha_m}$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{i k \eta_2 F(\xi_0, \alpha) + F'(\xi_0, \alpha)] M_0^2(\alpha_r)}{(\alpha_0 + \alpha_r) M_0^2(\alpha_r)} \right]$$

$$\cdot \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_0} \frac{1}{K[Y_1(Kb)J(\eta_2, \alpha) - J_1(Kb)Y(\eta_2, \alpha)]} + \right]$$

(30)

To determine unknown coefficients $f_m$ and $g_m$ these systems of algebraic equations will be solved numerically.

### 6. Far field

The radiated field in the region $\rho > b$ can be evaluated from (5) and using (6)

$$\psi_1(\rho, z) = -\int_{L} \frac{\Phi^*_1(\alpha)}{KH^1(\rho K)b} H^{(1)}_0(K\rho) e^{-i\alpha z} d\alpha. \quad (31)$$

Utilizing the asymptotic expansion of $H^{(1)}_0(K\rho)$ as $K\rho \to \infty$

$$H^{(1)}_0(K\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi K\rho}} e^{ikr-k^2/4}. \quad (32)$$

The substitution $\alpha = -k \cos \mu$ in Eq. (31) and making the following substitutions

$$\rho = r \sin \theta, \quad z = r \cos \theta, \quad (33)$$

the integral can be evaluated through the saddle-point technique (SNAKOWSKA, IDCZAK, 2006), we obtain

$$\psi_1(r, \theta) \sim 2i \frac{\Phi^*_1(-k \cos \theta)}{\sin \theta H^1_1(kb \sin \theta)} e^{ikr} \frac{e^{ikr}}{kr}. \quad (34)$$

Here $r$ and $\theta$ are the usual spherical coordinates.

### 7. Results

In this section, some computational results displaying the effect of various parameters such as the surface impedances, the radii of the inner and outer ducts and the frequency on the sound pressure level are presented. In these figures, the Sound Pressure Level (SPL) is defined as

$$\text{SPL} = 20 \log_{10} \frac{p}{2 \cdot 10^{-5}},$$

where $p$ is the amplitude of the acoustic pressure of the sound wave, with the observation angle $\theta$ changing from 0 to $\pi$. The far field values are plotted at a distance 46 m away from the duct edge (DEMIR, RIENSTRA, 2010). The problem parameters related to absorbing lining are chosen from the studies of (THRYAKIOGLU, 2019; PEAKE, ABRAHAMS, 2020) which are exist in the literature. During the numerical analysis, since the series converge rapidly the infinite set of linear algebraic equations is solved by truncating the infinite series at some number $N$. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the sound pressure level becomes insensitive to the truncation number $N$ for $N > 2$ with different values of observation angle. Hence for the numerical examples, the truncation number $N$ is chosen by taking into account this criterion.

Fig. 2. Sound pressure level versus the truncation number $N$ with $f = 1000 \text{ Hz}$, $a = 0.025 \text{ m}$, $b = 0.050 \text{ m}$, $\eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i$, $\eta_2^{-1} = 2 - i$.

In order to show the effectiveness of the lining, the sound pressure level is computed numerically for some particular values and compared to rigid case in Figs 3

Fig. 3. Sound pressure level for rigid-lined duct with $f = 1000 \text{ Hz}$, $a = 0.025 \text{ m}$, $b = 0.050 \text{ m}$, $\eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i$, $\eta_2^{-1} = 2 - i$. 
and 4. It is observed that existing of lining makes significant decrease in the sound pressure level.

Fig. 4. Sound pressure level for rigid-lined duct with \( f = 1500 \text{ Hz}, a = 0.025 \text{ m}, b = 0.050 \text{ m}, \eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i, \eta_2^{-1} = 2 - i \).

Figures 5 and 6 show how the acoustically absorbent material affects the sound pressure level. Note that the sound pressure level can be reduced by changing the values of real and imaginary part of the liner impedance.

Fig. 5. Sound pressure level for different values of impedances with \( f = 1000 \text{ Hz}, a = 0.015 \text{ m}, b = 1.5a \).

Fig. 6. Sound pressure level for different values of impedances with \( f = 1000 \text{ Hz}, a = 0.015 \text{ m}, b = 1.5a \).

Figures 7, 8, and 9 depict the sound pressure level versus the observation angle for different values of \( a, b, \) and \( f \). Again it is observed that these different parameter values can be used to decrease the sound pressure level.

Figures 10 and 11 depict the variation of the sound pressure level versus the imaginary part of the impedances for different values of the real part of the impedances while \( a = 0.015 \text{ m}, b = 1.5a, f = \)

Fig. 7. Sound pressure level for different values of inner duct radius with \( f = 1000 \text{ Hz}, b = 0.050 \text{ m}, \eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i, \eta_2^{-1} = 2 - i \).

Fig. 8. Sound pressure level for different values of outer duct radius with \( f = 1000 \text{ Hz}, a = 0.010 \text{ m}, \eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i, \eta_2^{-1} = 2 - i \).

Fig. 9. Sound pressure level for different values of frequency with \( a = 0.015 \text{ m}, b = 1.5a, \eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i, \eta_2^{-1} = 2 - i \).

Fig. 10. Sound pressure level versus the imaginary part of \( \eta_1^{-1} \) with \( f = 1000 \text{ Hz}, a = 0.015 \text{ m}, b = 1.5a \text{ m}, \eta_2^{-1} = 4 - i \).
Fig. 11. Sound pressure level versus the imaginary part of $\eta_2^{-1}$ with $f = 1000$ Hz, $a = 0.015$ m, $b = 1.5a$ m, $\eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i$.

1000 Hz. In Fig. 10, it is seen that for negative $\beta_1$ values, the sound pressure level increases as the real part of $\eta_1^{-1}$ increases. However, opposite case is exist for positive $\beta_1$ values. In Fig. 11, it is observed that the sound pressure level increases rapidly as the value of $\beta_2$ increases. The effect is relatively weaker in positive values of $\beta_2$.

Figure 12 shows the variation of the sound pressure level against the frequency ($f$) for three different values of angle while $a = 0.025$ m, $b = 0.050$ m, $\eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i$, $\eta_2^{-1} = 2 - i$. It is observed that the sound pressure level amplitude increases with increasing values of frequency. At higher angle values this increase is more than lower ones.

Fig. 12. Sound pressure level versus the frequency $f$ with $a = 0.025$ m, $b = 0.050$ m, $\eta_1^{-1} = 1 - i$, $\eta_2^{-1} = 2 - i$.

The accuracy of the results obtained in this paper has to be investigated. Figure 13 depicts an excellent agreement for sound pressure level between the present paper and the study of (Demir, Rienstra, 2010). When the admittances go to zero, i.e., $\eta_1, \eta_2 \to 0$, Fig. 13 is obtained for $f = 1250$ Hz. Notice that the curve corresponding to $\eta_1, \eta_2 \to 0$ coincides exactly with the result obtained in the paper by Demir and Rienstra (2010, Fig. 6a, dash line). Similar analysis is also carried out in Fig. 14 with $f = 3150$ Hz. When the admittances go to zero, the curve corresponding to $\eta_1, \eta_2 \to 0$ coincide exactly with the result obtained in (Demir, Rienstra, 2010, Fig. 8a, dash line).

Fig. 13. Comparison of the sound pressure level with the study of (Demir, Rienstra, 2010) for rigid coaxial duct $f = 1250$ Hz.

Fig. 14. Comparison of the sound pressure level with the study of (Demir, Rienstra, 2010) for rigid coaxial duct with $f = 3150$ Hz.

8. Conclusions

In this work, Wiener-Hopf technique is carried out to analyze a coaxial duct whose inner surface is lined by different acoustically absorbing materials. Some numerical results are presented to show the effects of various parameters on the sound pressure level. The results show that it is possible to obtain sound absorption by properly changing the parameters. The solution also compares well with the results where the waveguide is assumed to be rigid. Thus, in order to have further development in the noise pollution reduction, this mechanism can be included.
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