Formation Mechanism for the TiN–MnS Complex Inclusions in Tire Cord Steel
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Abstract

High strength tire cord steel is extensively used in radial ply tyres as the framework material, but the presence of brittle single titanium inclusions or complex titanium inclusions can cause failure of the wires and jeopardize their performance in production. In order to provide a key guidance on the control of titanium inclusions, it is necessary to clarify their formation mechanism during solidification. In the present work, the thermodynamic calculations were employed for an elaboration on their formation mechanism, combined with the industrial test. The TiN–MnS complex inclusions observed by SEM–EDS shows that the internal corresponds to TiN and the external is MnS. Thermodynamic calculations based on the microsegregation model indicate that MnS forms first, which can act as a nucleation site for the co–deposit of TiN in the mushy zone. As the MnS inclusions have a better deformation than that of TiN inclusions, then the TiN inclusions are wrapped by the MnS inclusions, generating TiN–MnS complex inclusions after rolling.
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1. Introduction

Titanium is a kind of common microalloying addition in molten steels to improve mechanical properties of final products via the grain refinement during hot rolling and to stabilize the alloy against sensitization to intergranular corrosion [1–4]. However, low titanium content is demanded for special steel production, such as high strength tire cord steel. It is desirable to control the precipitation of Ti inclusions during tire cord steel production. As a superior quality product of wire rod, tire cord steel is extensively used in radial ply tyres as the framework material due to its characteristics of high strength and good toughness. Before tire cord steels are made, steel wires are drawn from φ 5.5 to φ 0.15 mm in diameter and subjected to cyclic stress in the drawing and twisting process [5, 6]. Therefore, the breakage during fabrication is a crucial issue, and this is especially sensitive with the existence of angular and non-deformable Ti inclusions, such as TiN or Ti(CN) for high strength steels [7–9], this causes the decrease of fatigue property and affects the traffic safety seriously. In recent years, with the increasing demand for outstanding performance of steel wire, the control of titanium inclusion is becoming more and more stringent.

In order to effective control the Ti inclusions, it is necessary to clarify their formation mechanism in tire cord steel. To date, the precipitation and growth behavior of pure TiN inclusions have been reported before in tire cord steel [10–12]. But apart from the pure TiN inclusions, the TiN–MnS complex inclusions were also observed, and their formation mechanism remained unclear. For an elaboration on their formation mechanism, the thermodynamic calculations were employed combined with the industrial test in this paper.

2. Experimental Aspects

The following process is adopted for the production of high
strength tire cord steel: basic oxygen furnace (BOF)→tapping→ladle furnace (LF)→soft blowing (SB)→continuous casting (CC)→rolling, as shown in Fig. 1.

During the tapping, ferromanganese (Fe–Mn) and ferrosilicon (Fe–Si) were employed for the deoxidation alloying, and SiC was employed to deoxidize the refining slag. After LF refining, the removal of inclusions by soft blowing about 25 min was done. Finally, the liquid steel was sent to the continuous casting (CC) platform. The steel specimens taken from the wire rod with 20 mm in diameter after rolling and drawing were investigated by a Scanning Electron Microscope equipped with an Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (SEM–EDS). The C and S content were analyzed by a carbon–sulfur analyzer (CS–8800), the O and N were analyzed by a oxygen–nitrogen analyzer (LECO–TC500), and the content of other elements were analyzed by ICP–AES analyzer.

The chemical composition was shown in Table 1, and Als is the acid-soluble aluminum in steel bulk.

Table 1.
Chemical composition of the wire rod (wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Als</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Morphology of Complex Ti Inclusions

The TiN–MnS complex inclusions observed in wire rod by SEM–EDS was given in Fig. 2. The area scanning analysis of the complex inclusion shows that, the morphology of MnS inclusion exhibits irregular shape and TiN inclusion shows a square shape with sharp edges and corners. It indicates that the internal corresponds to TiN and the external is MnS.

3.2 Thermodynamic Analysis

To provide a better clarity of the generation of TiN and MnS, the liquidus and solidus temperatures together with the thermodynamic data of the solutes involved should be acquired. According to the chemical constituents of the steel, the solidus and liquidus temperatures can be obtained as follows [13, 14].

\[
T_L = 1809 - \sum m_i C_{0,i} \\
T_S = 1809 - \sum n_i C_{0,i}
\]

where \(T_L\) and \(T_S\) are the liquidus and solidus temperatures (K), respectively, \(C_{0,i}\) is the initial mass percentage of solute element \(i\) (wt%), \(m_i\) and \(n_i\) are the liquidus and solidus slope of solute element \(i\) (K/%). The values of \(m_i\) and \(n_i\) are listed in Table 2.

Table 2.
The liquidus and solidus slope of solute element (K/%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(m_i)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n_i)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thermodynamic calculation for the generation of TiN and MnS inclusions in tire cord steel are given as follows [15, 16].

\[
[\text{Ti}] + [\text{N}] = \text{TiN}_{(\text{inc})} \\
\log K_{\text{TiN}} = \log \frac{\alpha_{\text{TiN}}}{\alpha_{\text{Ti}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{N}}} = \log \frac{a_{\text{TiN}}}{f_{\text{Ti}}[\%\text{Ti}] \cdot f_{\text{N}}[\%\text{N}]} = \frac{15217}{T} - 5.643
\]

\[
[\text{Mn}] + [\text{S}] = \text{MnS}_{(\text{inc})} \\
\log K_{\text{MnS}} = \log \frac{\alpha_{\text{MnS}}}{\alpha_{\text{Mn}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{S}}} = \log \frac{a_{\text{MnS}}}{f_{\text{Mn}}[\%\text{Mn}] \cdot f_{\text{S}}[\%\text{S}]} = \frac{8650}{T} - 4.747
\]

where \(\log K\) represents the logarithm of equilibrium constant; \(T\) is the absolute temperature (K); \(\alpha\) denotes the activity, for pure inclusions the activity is equal to 1; \(f_i\) is the Henrian activity
coefficient of component \( i \) relative to the dilute solution, which can be calculated by Equation (5), and \( e_i^f \) is the interaction coefficients, which are given in Table 3 [13, 17, 18].

\[
\log f_i(1873 \, K) = \sum e_i^f \% \]

(5)

Table 3. Interaction coefficients in liquid steel at 1873 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( e_i^f )</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Ti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>-0.165</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.0035</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of temperature on activity coefficients \( (f) \) is given by the following equations [19–21]:

\[
\log f_N(T) = \left( \frac{3280}{T} - 0.75 \right) \log f_N(1873 \, K)
\]

(6)

\[
\log f_{Ti}(T) = \left( \frac{2557}{T} - 0.365 \right) \log f_{Ti}(1873 \, K)
\]

(7)

\[
\log f_{Mn}(T) = \left( \frac{2538}{T} - 0.355 \right) \log f_{Mn}(1873 \, K)
\]

(8)

\[
\log f_{Ti}(T) = \left( \frac{2538}{T} - 0.355 \right) \log f_{Ti}(1873 \, K)
\]

(9)

According to the formulas (1)–(9), the demanded concentration products for the formation of TiN and MnS inclusions in liquid steel are given in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. It is obvious that the demanded equilibrium concentration of N, Ti, S, and Mn for the generation of TiN and MnS inclusions cannot get in liquid phase or mushy zone based on the thermodynamic calculation results. In actual steel compositions, the TiN or MnS inclusions would precipitate in the solid region, only when the temperature is lower than 1380.94 K or 1211.83 K, respectively.

![Fig. 3. Demanded concentration products for TiN inclusions](image)

![Fig. 4. Demanded concentration products for MnS inclusions](image)

However, the preceding analytical results are obtained with hypothesis that the compositions are homogeneous. As solidification continues, the redistribution of solute atoms results in microsegregation because of the different diffusion rates between liquid and solid phases. The actual concentration of solutes during coagulation process change because of microsegregation, and then the TiN or MnS inclusions have the chance to precipitate. The segregation of solutes in micro-regions can be expressed by the following formulas [22, 23].

\[
C_{Li} = C_{Li}^0(1-(1-\beta_i)g)^{(k_i)}(1/(1-\beta_i)g)
\]

(10)

There into,

\[
\beta_i = \frac{2a_i}{1+2a_i}
\]

(11)

\[
\alpha_i = \frac{2D_s^0 \beta_i}{(\lambda_{SDAS}/2)^2}
\]

(12)

where \( C_{Li} \) is the actual mass concentration of solute element \( i \) (wt%), \( k_i \) represents the balanced distribution coefficient between solid and liquid phases, \( g \) denotes the solid fraction, \( D_s^0 \) is the diffusion rate in solid phase (cm²/s), \( \lambda_{SDAS} \) represents the secondary dendrite arm spacing (µm), \( \beta_i \) is the back-diffusion parameters, \( \alpha_i \) is the Fourier series of solute element \( i \), and \( \tau \) represents the local solidification time (min).

The solid-liquid interface temperature \( T_{L-S} \), can be obtained by equation (13) [24].

\[
T_{L-S} = T_M - \frac{T_M - T_M^0}{1 - \beta_i \frac{2D_s^0 \beta_i}{(\lambda_{SDAS}/2)^2}}
\]

(13)

where \( T_M \) denotes the melting point of pure iron. \( \lambda_{SDAS} \) and \( \tau \) are given by equations (14) and (15), respectively [25].

\[
\lambda_{SDAS} = 143.9R_f^{-0.3616}C_{0,C}^{(0.5591-1.9966C_{0,C})} (C_{0,C} > 0.15%) \]

(14)

\[
\tau = \frac{T_M - T_M^0}{R_e}
\]

(15)

where \( R_e \) represents the cooling speed, which was set as 300 K/min.
according to the actual production process, and the balanced distribution coefficients and diffusion rates are shown in Table 4 [26], where \( R \) is the ideal gas constant, whose value is 8.314 \( \text{J/(mol·K)} \). According to Fe–C phase diagram, the solidification of tire cord steel with 0.80 wt% carbon is in Austenite (\( \gamma \)).

Table 4.
Balanced distribution coefficients and diffusion rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>( k_{\gamma/L} )</th>
<th>( D_p, \text{cm}^2/\text{s} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.91 exp (-168490/( RT ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.15 exp (-250956/( RT ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>2.4 exp (-223426/( RT ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.055 exp (-249366/( RT ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According the formulas, the balanced activity product \( \log K \) and actual activity product \( \log Q \) of TiN and MnS can be represented as follows.

\[
\log K_{\text{TiN}} = 5.643 - \frac{15212}{T} \log Q_{\text{TiN}} = \log (f_{\text{N}} \cdot [\%\text{N}] \cdot f_{\text{Ti}} \cdot [\%\text{Ti}]) \quad (16)
\]

\[
\log K_{\text{MnS}} = 4.747 - \frac{8630}{T} \log Q_{\text{MnS}} = \log (f_{\text{N}} \cdot [\%\text{S}] \cdot f_{\text{Mn}} \cdot [\%\text{Mn}]) \quad (17)
\]

When the actual activity product \( \log Q \) exceeds the balanced activity product \( \log K \), the TiN or MnS inclusions begin to precipitate and grow.

3.3 Calculation Results and Discussion

Combining the above equations with the compositions, the generation of TiN or MnS inclusions in liquid steel during coagulation process is obtained in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5. Calculation results for the precipitation of TiN and MnS](image)

Fig. 5 indicates that TiN and MnS can precipitate during solidification when solid fraction is greater than 0.9915 and 0.9894, respectively. It shows that the MnS forms prior to that of TiN, due to the fact that the TiN and MnS have the same cubic crystal structure, and similar low index surfaces lattice constant (as shown in Table 5), the MnS can act as a nucleation site for the co–deposite of TiN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Crystal system</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>Lattice parameter (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiN</td>
<td>Cubic–NaCl</td>
<td>FM-3M</td>
<td>4.241 [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnS</td>
<td>Cubic–NaCl</td>
<td>FM-3M</td>
<td>5.223 [27]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore, the MnS can be acted as the substrate and TiN as the nucleation, resulting in heterogeneous nucleation on the MnS inclusions. The possible formation mechanism can be summarized as follows: MnS and TiN are precipitated in austenite during solidification. MnS inclusions forms first depleting the surrounding zone from Mn and S, the decrease in Mn and S enhances the activity coefficient of N and Ti since the interaction parameters \( e_{\text{Ni}}^\text{N} \) and \( e_{\text{Ni}}^\text{S} \) are negative (as shown in Table 3), and then accelerates the precipitation of TiN inclusion as the temperature goes down. Since MnS and TiN have the same crystal structure, they tend to form together as complex ones. As the MnS inclusions have a better deformation than that of TiN inclusions, then the TiN inclusions can be wrapped by the MnS inclusions, forming the TiN–MnS complex inclusions in the wire rod after rolling, and exhibit the morphology shown in Fig. 2. The diagrammatic drawing of generating process for TiN–MnS complex inclusions is described in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 6. Schematic diagram of formation mechanism for TiN–MnS complex inclusions](image)

4. Summary

The TiN–MnS complex inclusions were observed in the wire rod by SEM. The area scanning analysis shows that, the morphology of MnS inclusion exhibits irregular shape and TiN inclusion shows a square shape with sharp edges and corners, indicating that the internal corresponds to TiN and the external is MnS.

At concentrations of N, Ti, S, and Mn in the tire cord steel, the required equilibrium concentrations for the formation of TiN and MnS inclusions cannot get in liquid phase or mushy zone. Thermodynamic calculations based on the microsegregation model indicate that the MnS occurs prior to that of TiN, which can act as a nucleation site for the co–deposited TiN. Due to the better deformation of MnS inclusion, the TiN inclusion can be wrapped by the MnS inclusion, forming TiN–MnS complex inclusion in the wire rod after rolling.
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