Charge dynamics of partial discharges explored applying a chopped sequence
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Abstract. Diagnostic methodologies are of fundamental importance for operational strategies of electrical devices, both in the power grid and in industrial applications. This paper reports about a novel approach based on partial discharge analysis applied to high voltage electrical insulation. Especially dynamics of charges deposited by partial discharges is explored applying a chopped sequence. The applications refer to microvoids occurring inside solid insulating systems or at the interfaces, such as delaminations at the electrodes. The experiments were carried out on embedded voids having distinctive wall dielectric materials. The underlying physical phenomena of post discharge charge transport are analyzed. The assessment is performed using phase-resolved partial discharge patterns acquired applying a chopped sequence. The chopped partial discharge (CPD) method provides quantitative insight into post discharge charge decay processes due to deposited and accumulated charges fluctuations. The assessment indicator is based on comparing partial discharge inception angle between chopped sequence and continuous run. The experiments have shown that materials with distinctive surface conductivity revealed adequately different charge decay time dynamics. The detailed analysis yields time constant of walls charge decay for insulating paper equal to 12 ms and cross-linked polyethylene 407 ms. The CPD method may be further used to investigate streamer physics inside bounded cavities in the form of voids. The presented method provides a quantitative approach for charge non-invasive decay assessment and offers high potential in future diagnostics applications.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The condition of high voltage (HV) insulation plays a crucial role in the reliable and resilient electrical power system. It refers to many grid components such as generators, transformers, power cables, insulators, substations apparatus, including gas insulated substations, insulators, and more power electronics-based equipment. This problem is also valid in industrial environments, e.g. motors and in emerging areas such as e-mobility, where the electrical insulation level has an increasing trend. High voltage insulation integrity is assessed using various complementary techniques, among which diagnostics based on partial discharges (PD) is essential. The physical mechanism of partial discharges, investigated over the last century, is an extremely complex and multidisciplinary topic. The imperfections inside HV insulating materials and their effects in high electric fields lead to insulation deterioration. The defects can assume a form of electric treeing in solid dielectric materials, microvoids or delaminations, and protrusions, microblades or floating particles in gaseous insulating systems. All cases mentioned above are revealed by the presence of partial discharges, which exhibit statistical character as a result of the interplay of several factors such as inception/extension voltage, time lag, dielectric surface type influencing the decay of the deposited charges, and variations in discharge area in the void [1–6]. Surface charge dynamics has been studied extensively by many researchers, e.g. [7–27].

Significant research has been carried out on the decay processes [8–10, 14, 16, 24, 27] and associated material properties [11, 12, 20, 21]. Especially influence of surface conductivity on charge build-up was investigated [13, 22, 25]. There has been much research with respect to surface charge measurement, e.g. [17, 18, 23] and modeling, e.g. [7, 8, 15, 19, 20] – especially in the last few decades. The discharge transitions occurring in a void are often attributed to the memory effects that are associated with residual accumulated charges [13, 21, 23, 26]. In that context, insight into the microstructure of electrical insulation systems and an understanding of the associated underlying physical phenomena is a relevant research topic. An interesting observation based on the Pockels effect of surface charge decay in a void with a polyethylene film wall was described in [27]. The evaluated in the paper decay was in a range between 30 ms and 150 ms, depending on the polarity. The optical methods are very well suited for laboratory experiments; however, the transparent requirements for tested objects are limited in practical implementations.

This paper presents an application of novel methodology based on investigations of post-discharge charge decay in a dielectric void subjected to chopped partial discharge sequence [18, 28]. Unlike conventional methods used in high voltage diagnostics, which are based on continuous voltage application, the chopped sequence is aimed to reveal elements of PD dynamics and contribute to the explanation of the internal mechanisms. This new methodology is based on the application of
a repeating series of packets to a dielectric insulation system. Each packet is composed of a number of base waveforms followed by the delay time between subsequent packets. Then, the whole structure called epoch is repeated sequentially. The exemplary results in two kinds of voids embedded in the insulating materials are compared. The methodology is based on the phase-resolved PD patterns and provides a quantitative approach for charge non-invasive decay assessment.

2. PARTIAL DISCHARGE CHARGE DYNAMICS IN VOID

Gaseous microvoid represents one of the most typical defects occurring in a structure of high voltage insulation. Partial discharges are occurring in such inclusion, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Such defects can be localized in the different places of the HV insulation system, i.e. can be placed in bulk dielectric, on the interfaces or may form delaminations along the electrodes.

Every PD event is associated with a charge transport and deposition on the void’s walls. In that context, insight into the microstructure of a HV insulation material and the understanding of underlying physical phenomenon and processes is a relevant and actual research topic. It is known that partial discharges reveal the statistical character in a void due to influence of several factors such as variation of inception voltage, time lag, fluctuation of the discharge area and surface/bulk phenomena such as charge transport [1, 2, 8, 28]. The latter one influences the decay process of deposited surface charges. Charge dynamics and associated memory effects have been studied extensively, e.g. [2, 8–12, 26, 29, 30]. The electric field \( E \) in the void is governed by Poisson equation:

\[
\varepsilon_0 \nabla \cdot E = \rho_e + \rho_s ,
\]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is a vacuum dielectric permittivity, \( \varepsilon_r \) relative permittivity of the medium/material, \( \rho_e \) is a PD related space charge density and \( \rho_s \) a surface accumulated charge density. The space charge density \( \rho_s \) results from the net sum contribution of electrons \( n_e \) and both positive \( n_p \) and negative ions \( n_n \) present in the discharge, taking into account their polarity:

\[
\rho_s = e (n_p - n_n - n_e) ,
\]

where \( e \) is an elementary charge.

The difference in the normal component (\( n \)) of dielectric displacement between the dielectric \( D_d \) and gaseous side \( D_g \) results in a surface charge density \( \rho_d \):

\[
\rho_d = n(D_d - D_g) ,
\]

and considering material permittivity:

\[
\rho_d = n\varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_r E_d - E_g) .
\]

The dynamics of surface charge \( \rho_d \) is governed by a superposition of current components attributed to the dielectric bulk side \( J_b \), void gas side \( J_g \) and surface part denoted as \( J_d \) [31]:

\[
- \frac{\partial \rho_d}{\partial t} = nJ_b + nJ_g + nJ_d .
\]

The current \( J_b \) is determined by bulk void side conductivity \( \gamma_b \):

\[
J_b = \gamma_b E .
\]

The current \( J_g \) refers to a gaseous side of the interface and combines the electron and ion mobility \( \mu \) and diffusivity \( DF(\mu, D) \):

\[
\mu J_g = F(D) \cdot E .
\]

The surface current \( J_d \) is related to a usually non-linear material surface conductivity \( \gamma_d \) and the tangential component of electric field \( E_s \):

\[
J_d = \gamma_d (E_s) \cdot E_s .
\]

The interplay of those current components results in surface charge accumulation and decay.

3. METHODOLOGY AND ANALYTICS OF CHOPPED SEQUENCE

Partial discharges may appear in all dielectric insulation systems, i.e. in solid, liquid and gaseous environments. In PD-based diagnostics of high-voltage electrical insulation, the phase-resolved PD analysis (PRPDA) is an established tool [2, 23]. The PRPDA methodology is graphically highlighted in Fig. 2. This technique allows for the acquisition of individual PD pulses with reference to the phase angle of the applied high voltage. It relies on coupled two-dimensional multichannel analyzers, one responsible for magnitude quantization and the second for scaling the time axis into a phase position. Since PD physical processes indicate a statistical behavior, a method based on phase-resolved PD acquisition can present various PD patterns corresponding to the different underlying physical phenomena. Thus, the PD pattern contains collections of individual partial discharge events superimposed on one HV
period. The third dimension reflects the statistical PD distribution. Chopped partial discharge (CPD) methodology introduces a new diagnostic approach, providing a real-time insight into PD charge dynamics. The decaying charge resulted in the electric field variation from the deposited and accumulated charges from the previous PD events. Surface conditions impact in this way the so-called memory effects associated with the behavior of subsequent discharges [26].

Fig. 2. Methodology of partial discharge phase-resolved acquisition

The presented CPD method allows to assess various mechanisms such as charge neutralization and conduction and is based on the analysis and evolutions of phase-resolved PD images. To explore the individual’s charge dynamics, subsequent HV periods are put aside by an introduced artificial gap in the form of a time delay. The partial discharge mechanism in the void strongly depends on the electric field level [3, 4, 7]; thus, the entire dielectric structure’s location and high voltage are applied. After the occurrence of an individual discharge event, the electrons from the streamer channel deposited on the void walls undergo decay. Depending on the material properties, they may be trapped or discharged on the void surface. The visualization of the CPD sequence along with underlying charge decay processes is shown in Fig. 3. The graphic is given purely for illustrative purposes and is not to scale, neither in magnitude nor processes are shown in Fig. 3. The graphic is given purely for illustration of the CPD sequence along with underlying charge decay processes that can be trapped or discharged on the void surface. The visualization of the CPD sequence along with underlying charge decay processes is shown in Fig. 3. The graphic is given purely for illustrative purposes and is not to scale, neither in magnitude nor in processes that are shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. Visualization of chopped partial discharge (CPD) sequence of two runs with different time delays \( t_d.f_1 \) and \( t_d.f_2 \), along with underlying charge decay processes; \( T \) – period of base waveform; \( f_f \) – fill factors; \( t_d \) – delay time, \( t_e \) – duration of the epoch; \( f_E_0 \) – background electric field in the void; \( E_v \) – electric field inside void; \( E_q \) – electric field due to deposited charges by PD, \( \tau_d \) – decay time, \( k \) – delay multiple, \( n \) – multiple of base periods

The epoch is repeated accordingly to the duration of the whole measurement time to obtain the statistical representation in the form of phase-resolved PD pattern. At strongly chopped sequence, i.e. long duration of the delay time, the measurement time should be usually prolonged to obtain a representative number of active base periods.

For the sake of transparency and clarity, partial discharges are denoted only in the first HV period in Fig. 3. The two separate traces corresponding to fill factors \( f_f \) with time delays (denoted as \( t_d.f_1 \) and \( t_d.f_2 \), respectively) are superimposed in the plot.

The charge build-up inside the void results from PD streamers and is represented by electric field \( E_q \). The important moment occurs after the last discharge during the period at a negative half-period (in case of a sequence start with positive polarity), when electric field \( E_q \) starts to decay. The introduced chopped period within the sequence with delay time allows the progress of this decay to be externally monitored. At the beginning of the consecutive period, the decay of field \( E_q \) results in the deficiency of an internal field for PD inception.

The decayed “portion” of \( E_q \) field is compensated by the external field jump exposed by the partial discharge inception phase shift \( \phi_{CONTR} \) towards \( \phi_{f_1} \) and \( \phi_{f_2} \) as depicted in phase-resolved PD patterns. The inception phase angle of the chopped PD patterns, treated as a quantitative indicator, is determined directly from the PRPD images. The proper and exact determination of decay time requires precise measurement of the phase angle positions. In case of high values of fill factors, the measurement time should be extended accordingly to safeguard a representative number of active periods.

The electric field inside void \( E_v \) is a superposition of applied external field \( E_0 \), corresponding to the external high voltage, which is transposed according to the field distribution and the shape of the void by the factor \( f \) and internal field \( E_q \) created by

\[
ff = \frac{n \cdot T}{t_e} = \frac{n}{n + k},
\]

where \( n \) is the multiple of base period and \( k \) is the delay multiple. Hence the fill factor \( ff \), used further in the chopped sequence definition, has a form:

\[
t_e = n \cdot T + k \cdot T = T(n + k),
\]
the accumulated charges deposited on the void surface during the previous events:

$$E_v = f \cdot E_0 + E_q (\tau_q),$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $\tau_q$ represents the decay time constant of the $E_q$ field in the void.

Surface charge field $E_q$ is directly proportional to the accumulated and deployed charges by the discharge events on a void surface $E_v \sim q$ and can be expressed as a decaying function of time:

$$E_q (t) = E_{q0} \cdot \exp \left( \frac{-t}{\tau_q} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $E_{q0}$ is the initial charge value, while $\tau_q$ represents the cumulative charge $q$ decay due to surface recombination and trapping as well as bulk conduction, neutralization, and other drifts.

$DEv_{ff1}$ and $DEv_{ff2}$ denote the $E_v$ field drop in the void (Fig. 3), respectively at points A and B. Comparing the time decay of electric field $E_q$ for continuous ($E_{q,CONT}$) and the chopped sequence with fill factor $f_{ff}$, at the same external high voltage, one obtains the following equations:

$$E_{v,CONT} = E_{q0} \cdot \exp \left( \frac{-t}{\tau_q} \right) + f \cdot E_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$E_{v,ffn} = E_{q,ffn} + f \cdot E_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The difference of the electric fields will be equal to:

$$\Delta E_v = E_{v,CONT} - E_{v,ffn}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Comparing this value for the time moment $t_{ffn}$ resulting from the chopped sequence with the $n$-th fill factor, one obtains:

$$\Delta E_v = E_{q0} \cdot \exp \left( \frac{-t_{ffn}}{\tau_q} \right) - 1 - e^{-t_{ffn}/\tau_q}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The above decay of field $E_q$ is compensated by the voltage surplus manifested by a PD inception phase shift of high voltage $\Delta \phi$ relative to the inception phase angle $\phi_{i,CONT}$ in the sinusoidal sequence:

$$\Delta \phi_i = \phi_{i,ffn} - \phi_{i,CONT}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

The partial discharge inception voltage surplus is obtained from the corresponding inception phase angles for the chopped and continuous sequences:

$$\Delta U_i = U_0 \cdot \sin \left( \phi_{i,ffn} \right) - \sin \left( \phi_{i,CONT} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Assuming a proportionality factor $k$ between the internal field in the void $E_v$ and the external field $f \cdot E_0$ caused by the external voltage, one obtains:

$$\Delta E_v = k \cdot \Delta U_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Thus, in general form,

$$E_{q0} \left( 1 - e^{-t_{ffn}/\tau_q} \right) = kU_0 \left( \sin \left( \phi_{i,ffn} \right) - \sin \left( \phi_{i,CONT} \right) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The above equation has two unknown elements ($E_{q0}$ and $\tau_q$). To find time constant $\tau_q$, two measurements should be performed corresponding to two time moments (i.e. fill factors $f_{ff1}$ and $f_{ff2}$) as stated denoted below:

$$E_{q0} \left( 1 - e^{-t_{ff1}/\tau_q} \right) = kU_0 \left( \sin \left( \phi_{i,ff1} \right) - \sin \left( \phi_{i,CONT} \right) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

$$E_{q0} \left( 1 - e^{-t_{ff2}/\tau_q} \right) = kU_0 \left( \sin \left( \phi_{i,ff2} \right) - \sin \left( \phi_{i,CONT} \right) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The system of equations (21) and (22), can be solved using two approaches. One based on analytic transformations will yield following solution [28]:

$$\tau_q = \frac{t_{ff1}}{\ln \left( 1 - \frac{2 \cdot a \cdot (t_{ff1} - t_{ff2})}{(t_{ff1} + b) - (t_{ff2} - d^2)} \right)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

The second solution is based on the application of a numerical solver, applied to an equation (24), obtained after dividing both sides of equations (21) and (22):

$$\frac{\sin (\phi_{i,ff1}) - \sin (\phi_{i,CONT})}{\sin (\phi_{i,ff2}) - \sin (\phi_{i,CONT})} = \frac{1 - e^{-t_{ff1}/\tau_q}}{1 - e^{-t_{ff2}/\tau_q}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

In the above equation, $\tau_q$ is an entangled unknown and can only be determined numerically, assuming that the following parameters $t_{ff1}$, $t_{ff2}$, $\phi_{i,ff1}$, $\phi_{i,ff2}$, $\phi_{i,CONT}$ are measured. The calculations of the time constant presented in this paper are based on the numerical solution of equation (24). The solver performs the calculations until a certain predefined error level is obtained.

4. INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENT SETUP

The chopped sequence and partial discharge measurements were performed in a setup presented in Fig. 4. The waveform generator delivered a programmed CPD sequence and controlled the high voltage amplifier (TREK 20/20B). The protection and filtering impedance $Z_{2}$, resistive $R_{2}$ – measuring impedance; SCU – signal conditioning unit and coupling capacitor (1.100 pF); divider (1000:1); Cx – coupling impedance (480 kΩ).

The observations have confirmed that the negative inception voltage surplus of 20–2540 ms, which corresponds to fill factors of 1:2 to 1:256 matrix such as surface resistivity and morphology, i.e., cross-linked XLPE [29] as oil-impregnated insulation for cables or transformers. XLPE is used for power cable insulation; insulating material embedded void had a diameter of 10 mm and a thickness of 0.24 mm. XLPE was related to the dielectric permittivity and thicknesses $d$ of the insulator and specimen thicknesses $d_1$ and $d_2$, respectively. The main dispersion of the inception voltage between specimens was related to the dielectric permittivity and thicknesses $d$ of the insulator and specimen.
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Two materials characterized by different physical properties such as surface resistivity and morphology, i.e., cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) and insulating paper (IP), were used for the void walls in the presented experiments. The geometrical layer structure of the specimen is presented in Fig. 5. The internal embedded void had a diameter of 10 mm and a thickness of 0.24 mm. XLPE is used for power cable insulation; insulating paper is the traditional approach in high-voltage insulation applied as oil-impregnated insulation for cables or transformers.

The internal inception voltage $U_{0\text{,int}}$ inside a void with reference to the external voltage $U_0$ can be recalculated according to the formula:

$$U_{0\text{,int}} = \frac{U_0}{d_1 + d_2} \left( \frac{d_3 \cdot \varepsilon_g}{d_3 \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{ins}}} + \frac{d_1 \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{g}}}{d_3 \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{ins}}} \right), \quad (25)$$

where:
- $d_1$ – thickness of glass plates;
- $d_2$ – thickness of insulating material;
- $d_3$ – thickness of air void;
- $\varepsilon_g$ – dielectric permittivity of glass;
- $\varepsilon_{\text{ins}}$ – dielectric permittivity of insulating material.

The main dispersion of the inception voltage between specimens was related to the dielectric permittivity and thicknesses of the wall materials. The measured dielectric permittivity values of the insulating materials were equal to: $\varepsilon_{\text{XLPE}} = 2.2$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{IP}} = 3.2$. For measured inception voltage $U_0 = 5 \text{kV}$, the internal PD inception voltage recalculated according to equation (23) is 1.1 kV. This value corresponds well to the level of Paschen breakdown voltage, which yields around 0.8 kV in this case of pressure and distance. The presented measurements were obtained at a voltage of $1.2U_0$.

The calculations of the post-charge decay in the void was carried according to the methodology presented above. The CPD sequence was programmed with a time delay $t_d$ within a range of 20–2540 ms, which corresponds to fill factors $ff$ of 1:2 to 1:128.

The variation of the fill factor $ff$ in a chopped sequence results in a modulation of the PD positive inception phase angle. The observations have confirmed that the negative inception phase angle was very stable despite $t_d$ variations. The CPD measurements were carried out at a high voltage equal to 6 kV ($1.2 \cdot U_0$), where $U_0$ corresponds to the PD inception voltage at a continuous sequence. The PD patterns for the two void wall materials (cross-linked polyethylene and insulating paper) are presented in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7.

The PD inception phase angle $\phi_i$ for the continuous sequence and chopped mode with fill factors of 1:2 to 1:128 is shown in Table 1. The positive PD inception phase angle is marked as $\phi_{i,\text{cont}}$ for the continuous case and as $\phi_{i,\text{CPD}}$ in the chopped mode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ff$</th>
<th>CONT</th>
<th>1:2</th>
<th>1:16</th>
<th>1:64</th>
<th>1:128</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_d$ [ms]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>2540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP [$\degree$]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLPE [$\degree$]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The PD patterns for the insulating paper specimen are shown in Fig. 6. The partial discharge image acquired at a continuous sinusoidal voltage (in this case, time delay in CPD sequence $t_d = 0$) is shown in Fig. 6a and fill factor 1:16 in Figs. 6b, respectively. In this case, the inception phase shift yields $10^\circ$ when comparing the difference between a continuous sequence and one delayed by 300 ms. The PD patterns for the XLPE specimen are shown in Fig. 7. The PD pattern obtained at continuous sinusoidal voltage is shown in Fig. 7a and for fill factors 1:128 in Figs. 7b, respectively. The inception phase shift difference for the XLPE void walls is equal to $21^\circ$ between the continuous sequence and the sequence delayed by 2540 ms.

According to CPD methodology, the comparison of PD inception phase angles between the continuous and chopped sequences is used as an indicator for calculating the internal charge time decay in the void. The electric field drop of the internal void is compensated by the delayed inception phase angle, resulting in a higher external field.

The mechanism of inception phase shift is described in [18, 28]. A comparison of time decay $\tau_q$ of the $E_q$ field obtained for the investigated void wall materials is presented in Table 2. The charge decay time is calculated applying the methodology presented in the previous section. The calculation was based on the measured PD inception angles and the time parameters of the CPD sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Charge decay time $\tau_q$ [ms]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulating paper</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLPE</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The charge neutralization and conduction mechanisms post discharge period on the void surface contribute to the $E_q$ electric field decay with a resultant time constant $\tau_q$. In both cases, the neutralization conditions in gaseous space are similar. The main difference is related to the surface and bulk conductivity. As calculated using CPD method, the insulating paper void yields a charge time decay of around 12 ms, whereas for XLPE the charge time decay is 407 ms. The electrical properties of the IP and XLPE specimens, specifically the surface and volume resistivity and relative dielectric permittivity, are shown in
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The void wall surface resistivity influences the transport of charges; i.e., low resistivity tends to reduce the $E_0$ field, while higher resistivity fosters the preservation of the charge reservoir and simultaneously contributes to the time lag and initial inception conditions. The shorter surface charge decay through conduction causes the electron generation rate to decrease much faster, increasing the statistical time lag. The inception effects are visible in the chopped PD pattern. In such a case, they are shifted forward in phase comparing with the corresponding sinusoidal pattern. The distinct effect of investigated materials’ surface conductivity (order of magnitude difference) is revealed in measured using CPD method charge decay times.

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

Diagnostic methodologies are of fundamental importance for operational strategies of electrical devices, both in the power grid and in industrial applications. This paper reports about a novel approach based on partial discharge analysis applied to high voltage electrical insulation. Especially dynamics of charges deposited by partial discharges is explored applying a chopped sequence. The applications refer to microvoids occurring in solid insulating systems or at the interfaces. The experiments were carried out on an embedded void having two different wall dielectric materials. The underlying physical phenomena of post discharge charge transport are analyzed. The assessment is performed using phase-resolved PD patterns acquired in a designed chopped sequence. The CPD method provides quantitative insight into post discharge charge decay processes due to fluctuations of deposited and accumulated charges. The assessment indicator is based on the comparison of partial discharge inception angle between chopped sequence and continuous run. In the case of CPD the sequences for different fill factors are executed. The experiments have shown that materials with distinctive surface conductivity revealed adequately different charge decay time dynamics. The detailed analysis yields time constant of walls charge decay for insulating paper equal to 12 ms and for cross-linked polyethylene 407 ms. The CPD method may be further used to investigate streamer physics inside bounded cavities in the form of voids. The long-term PD reaction on insulating material results in surface deterioration and might be monitored using a chopped methodology. The presented method is still in the early research stadium but offers high potential in diagnostics applications.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>XLPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface resistivity [Ω]</td>
<td>$2.0 \cdot 10^{12}$</td>
<td>$2 \cdot 10^{13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume resistivity [Ω m]</td>
<td>$4.6 \cdot 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$6 \cdot 10^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative dielectric permittivity</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The void wall surface resistivity influences the transport of charges; i.e., low resistivity tends to reduce the $E_0$ field, while higher resistivity fosters the preservation of the charge reservoir and simultaneously contributes to the time lag and initial inception conditions. The shorter surface charge decay through conduction causes the electron generation rate to decrease much faster, increasing the statistical time lag. The inception effects are visible in the chopped PD pattern. In such a case, they are shifted forward in phase comparing with the corresponding sinusoidal pattern. The distinct effect of investigated materials’ surface conductivity (order of magnitude difference) is revealed in measured using CPD method charge decay times.
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