Impact of temperature, bed height, and particle size on Ni(II) removal in a continuous system: Modelling the break curve
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Abstract: The objective of this research was to evaluate the adsorption capacity of the shell biomass (Dioscorea rotundata), taking into account the impact of temperature, bed height, and particle size on the removal of nickel(II) ions in aqueous solution in a continuous fixed-bed column system; performing the modelling of the break curve. The biomass was characterised by SEM-EDS analysis. The analysis found that it represents a rough, heterogeneous structure, rich in carbon and oxygen, with mesopores, and is suitable for removing heavy metals. It also determined the optimum parameters of the bed height, particle size, and temperature, keeping the pH and the initial concentration of the solution constant. The results revealed that the bed height and the particle size are the two most influential variables in the process. Ni(II) removal efficiencies range between 85.8 and 98.43%. It was found that the optimal conditions to maximise the efficiency of the process are temperature of 70°C, 1.22 mm particle size, and 124 mm bed height. The break curve was evaluated by fitting the experimental data to the Thomas, Adams–Bohart, Dose–Response, and Yoon–Nelson models, with the Dose–Response model showing the best affinity with a coefficient of determination $R^2$ of 0.9996. The results obtained in this research showed that yam shell could be suggested as an alternative for use in the removal of Ni(II) ions present in an aqueous solution in a continuous system.
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INTRODUCTION

Population growth and industrial development have caused adverse environmental effects due to a large amount of pollutants discharged into water sources [NITHYA, et al. 2020; LIANG et al. 2017]. Among these pollutants, heavy metals, such as chromium, mercury, cobalt, nickel, lead, and arsenic, are poured into aquatic media as a result of processes such as mining, tanning, battery manufacturing, paint production, and other industrial activities [MOINO et al. 2017; WU et al. 2021]; they have a severe impact on humans, animals, and aquatic life, because of their stable nature, and resistance to degradation [BARABINDE et al. 2016; RESKE et al. 2020]. Nickel is a metal used in electroplating, metallisation, crude oil extraction processes, manufacturing of paint and powder, batteries, alloy, brass, and, everyday products such as cosmetics, clothing, and electronics [HERREERA-BARROS et al. 2020; PRIYANTHA et al. 2019]. Several technologies, including membrane filtration, ion exchange, electrochemical techniques, and chemical precipitation have been proposed to remove nickel and other heavy metal ions from the aqueous solution [MAHARANA et al. 2021; YU et al. 2021]. The adsorption technique has been recognised as an attractive alternative to other contaminant removal techniques due to its easy handling, low cost, and availability of the adsorbents [BATOOL et al. 2018; SABIR et al. 2021].

In the adsorption of Ni(II), it has been employed in a continuous system, with the sugar cane bagasse achieving a removal rate of no more than 36.4% [ANOOP KRISHNAN et al. 2011], while passion fruit and grapefruit husks and bagasse achieved the maximum removal capacities in the bed for each bio-adsorbent amounting to 70.2, 29.1, and 12.1 mg∙g$^{-1}$.
removal of heavy metals with sources contribute 84% of the biochemical oxygen demand of total volume of solid waste generation, and dispersed agricultural of Colombia represents a severe problem for the environment and public health because agriculture has a significant impact on the total volume of solid waste generation, and dispersed agricultural sources contribute 84% of the biochemical oxygen demand of surface waters [BEGDELOO et al. 2021; TOBON-OROZCO, VASCO CORREA 2021]. In the northern region of Colombia, agricultural production focuses on yuca (Manihot esculenta) and yam (Dioscorea rotundata), which account for 35 and 18% of total production, respectively [TEJADA-TOVAR et al. 2020].

Agricultural waste has been studied as an adsorbent for the removal of pollutants such as heavy metals [BISWAS, NAG 2021], nitrogen and phosphorus [DAI et al. 2018], dyes [AFROZE, SEN 2018], and organic pollutants [NAGHIZADEH et al. 2017]. In this study, agricultural crop biomass (Dioscorea rotundata), widely available in northern Colombia, is used to prepare the biosorbent and remove Ni(II) ions present in an aqueous solution. The optimal temperature, bed height, and particle size were evaluated in adsorption mode in a continuous system. The modelling of the break curve and the study of solute concentration in the solution over time were performed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All reagents are analytical grade; nickel sulfate (NiSO\(_4\)) was used to prepare the nickel solution at 100 mmol dm\(^{-3}\). HCl and 1 M NaOH were used to adjust the pH of the solution. Yam shells were collected as post-harvest waste. The best available biomass was selected, washed with deionised water, dried in a Lauda Alpha brand oven at 60°C for 24 hours, and reduced in size in a roller mill. The size classification was performed in a Shaker type sieve shaker, Edibon Orto Alresa brand, using sieves with mesh numbers 120, 45, 35, 18, and 16, according to the ASTM D6913-04 [2004], in order to select the sizes to evaluate 0.124, 0.355, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.19 mm. The bio-adsorbent was characterised, before and after adsorption, by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray scattering (EDX).

### Preparation of the adsorbent

The yam shell (Dioscorea rotundata) was obtained as postharvest waste from the Bolivar department area – Colombia. The shells that were in a good condition were selected, washed, dried in the sun until obtaining a constant mass, and reduced in size in a roller mill. After that, they were classified by size on a stainless steel sieve.

### Adsorption tests

The adsorption experiments in a continuous packed bed system were performed following the experimental design, in adsorption equipment in acrylic columns of 25.64 cm in length and 4.4 cm in diameter [TEJADA-TOVAR et al. 2018]. The synthetic solution of Ni(II) with a concentration of 100 mg dm\(^{-3}\), at pH 6, and flow of 0.75 cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) was brought into contact with the adsorbent. An experimental design \(2^3\) in central star composite response surface was used for experimental development and carried out at Statgraphics Centurion. This type of design allows for studying the effect of independent variables on the response when varying them simultaneously, performing the least number of experiments possible, without the need for replications, since it maps a region of a response surface [DEMBRE, KAYAN 2012]. The temperature (30, 40, 55, 70, and 80°C), the particle size (0.124, 0.355, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.19 mm), and the bed height (6.13, 30, 65, 100, and 123.8 mm) were varied.

The system temperature was controlled by a resistor connected to a PID controller with a pump for recirculation. The concentration of Ni(II) in the solution was determined by atomic absorption at 232 nm in a Buck Scientific model 210 VGP atomic absorption spectrophotometer [MANJULADEVI et al. 2018]. Adsorption efficiency was determined by Equation (1):

\[
\% R = \frac{C_0 - C_f}{C_i} \times 100
\]

where: \(C_0\) = the initial concentration evaluated (mg dm\(^{-3}\)), \(C_f\) = the final concentration of Ni(II) in the solution after the adsorption tests (mg dm\(^{-3}\)), \(C_i\) = initial concentration in the solution before the adsorption tests (mg dm\(^{-3}\)).

### Construction and modelling of the break curve

Once the experiments were performed, the optimal condition to execute the metal adsorption break curve was determined to study the adsorbent’s behavior during the time [MARTÍN-LARA et al. 2017]. The statistical analysis of the results was carried out by analysing variance ANOVA and response optimisation in the Statgraphics Centurion XVII software. The adsorption capacity of the column was determined using Equation (2) [APIRATIKUL, CHU 2021]:

\[
q_j = \frac{C_{j\text{f}}Q_m}{1000m_g} \left( 1 - \frac{C_{\text{out}}}{C_{\text{cf}}} \right) dt
\]

where: \(q_j\) = the concentration of the \(j\)-ion in the adsorbent (mmol g\(^{-1}\)), \(C_{\text{cf}}\) = the feed concentration of the \(j\)-ion in the liquid phase (mmol dm\(^{-3}\)), \(Q\) = the volumetric flow rate of the solution flowing through the column (cm\(^3\) min\(^{-1}\)), \(m_g\) = the mass of the biomass with which the tower is packed (g), \(C_{\text{out}}\) = the output concentration of the \(j\)-ion in the liquid phase (mmol dm\(^{-3}\)), \(t_s\) = the time of column saturation (min).

The experimental data of the rupture curve were adjusted to the theoretical models of Adams–Bohart, the Thomas, the Yoon–Nelson, and the Dose–Response model, with the objective to describe and analyse the behaviour of the column through graphs (Ci/C)-t.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**BIOADSORBENT CHARACTERISATION**

The morphology of the yam shell was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with x200 magnification before and after removing the Ni(II) ions. The micrographs and EDS spectrum are shown in Figure 1.
It was observed that the surface of the biomass is irregular with the presence of mesopores [DENG et al. 2018]. From the EDS, it was established that the material presents a diverse structure, rich in oxygen, carbon, and calcium, with traces of multiple elements such as potassium, silicon, aluminum, phosphorus, and iron, among others. It has been reported that the presence of these materials attached to the functional groups of lignocellulosic materials is associated with the ability of this type of biomasses to capture ions through ion exchange [DENG et al. 2020]. After the adsorption process, the appearance of the Ni(II) ion in the EDS spectrum at the high-intensity peak 1.4 keV was observed; likewise, from the SEM micrography, it was evidenced that the exposed surface of the bio-adsorbent softens, which is attributed to the coating of the pores by the metallic ion [PRIYANTHA et al. 2019].

**ADSORPTION EXPERIMENTS**

Figure 2 shows the effect of particle size, bed height, and temperature on Ni(II) removal efficiency, respectively. It was found that the best adsorption results were obtained at 1 mm size, 70°C temperature, and 124 mm bed height.

Figure 2a shows that adsorption efficiency increases in proportion to particle size in proportion to particle size. In batch system adsorption processes, it is common to find that the removal rate increases with the reduction of the particle diameter of the adsorbent, since it increases the surface contact area and the adsorbent’s ability to capture contaminants [HERRERA-BARROS et al. 2020; RAULINO et al. 2014; SAADAT et al. 2016]. However, in a continuous bed system and the ideal particle size, the packed bed’s tortuosity must be guaranteed to ensure contact of the contaminated solution with all the contaminants and thus maximise removal [TEJADA-TOVAR et al. 2018].

![Fig. 1. SEM micrographs of the yam shell (a) before and (b) after Ni(II) adsorption; source: own study](image1)

![Fig. 2. Impact of a) particle size, b) bed height, c) temperature on Ni(II) removal efficiency; source: own study](image2)
study, it was found that increasing the particle size increases the percentage of Ni(II) ion removal, with this variable being the most influential in the process, according to the analysis of variance in Table 1. As regards the effect of the bed height reported in Figure 2b, it is observed with a bed height of 124 mm. The removal efficiency is maximum because the higher amount of adsorbent increases the number of active sites of adsorption present in the adsorbent [HAROO ET AL. 2016], as well as the residence time, therefore the diffusion of the ions is more effective, since the contact between the phases is more intimate [VILLARON-ORTIZ ET AL. 2020].

Table 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for nickel(II) adsorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Gl</th>
<th>F-ratio</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: temperature</td>
<td>5.40535</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.2358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: particle size</td>
<td>19.1601</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>0.0488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: bed height</td>
<td>36.4851</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>0.0311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.824487</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.6041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>1.39545</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.5071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>0.922112</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.5846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>2.99877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.3512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.942819</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.5806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>12.9989</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.1057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error total</td>
<td>7.41862</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (corr.)</td>
<td>164.967</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own study.

Temperature is the evaluated factor with the least influence on the process, according to the variance analysis in Table 1. Its variation does not contribute significantly to the removal of the heavy metal. However, a slight increase in removal efficiency proportional to temperature is observed, which coincides with what is reported by SEFPANAH ET AL. [2017]. This may be because the temperature increase contributes to the speed of diffusion of the ions from the solution to the exposed surface of the biomaterial [AKEPOLE ET AL. 2018; ŠURÁNEK ET AL. 2021].

Table 1 shows the analysis of variance (ANOVA) performed at Statgraphics Centurion XVII. After partitioning the variability of the percentage of Ni(II) removal for each of the variables evaluated (particle size, temperature, and bed height), the significance of each effect was determined by comparing its average square with an estimate of the experimental error. In this case, two effects have a p-value lower than 0.05, bed height and particle size, so it is inferred that they have a direct influence on the process.

BREAK CURVE MODELLING

The rupture curve, which studies the bed’s behaviour over time, thus determining the saturation time and adsorption capacity [PATEL 2020; VELVANATHAN, SHANTHAKUMAR 2015] was evaluated for yam peel at the best condition found: 1.22 mm particle size, 70°C and 124 mm bed height, with a flow rate of 0.75 cm²·min⁻¹ and initial concentration of 100 mg·dm⁻³, for 5 hours. The break curve data were adjusted to the models of Yoon–Nelson, Adams–Bohart, Dose–Response, and Thomas to analyse the processing time adsorption profile and describe a column’s behaviour.

The Adams–Bohart model, represented in Equation (3), assumes that the rate of adsorption is proportional to the residual capacity of the solid and the concentration of the retained species, and is used to describe the initial part of the break curve [BOUCHERDOUD ET AL. 2021; SAADAT ET AL. 2016].

\[
\frac{C}{C_o} = e^{k_{AB}C_0 t} - \frac{k_{AB}C_0 t}{Z} 
\]  

where: \( C \) = the concentration of solute in the liquid phase (mg·dm⁻³), \( k_{AB} \) = the kinetic constant (dm³·mg⁻¹·min⁻¹), \( t \) = time (min), \( C_0 \) = the maximum volumetric sorption capacity (mg·dm⁻³), \( Z \) = the column filling height (cm), \( v \) = the linear flow rate (cm·min⁻¹).

The Thomas model, described in Equation (4) is a derivation based on second-order kinetics and stipulates that biosorption is not limited by the chemical reaction but is controlled by the transfer of matter at the interface [CORRAL-ESCÁRCEGA ET AL. 2017; YUSUF ET AL. 2020].

\[
\frac{C}{C_o} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{k_{TH}(q_{THw-CiVt})}} 
\]  

where: \( k_{TH} \) = Thomas’ speed constant (cm³·mg⁻¹·min⁻¹), \( q_{TH} \) = the maximum concentration of solute in the solid phase (mg·g⁻¹), \( w = \) mass of adsorbent (g), \( V_t = \) the cumulative throughput volume (l) of treated solution.

The Yoon–Nelson model assumes that the decrease rate in the probability of adsorption for each adsorbate molecule is proportional to the probability of the adsorbate and the probability of advancement of the adsorbate into the adsorbent [YUSUF ET AL. 2020]. It is expressed by Equation (5):

\[
\frac{C}{C_o} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{k_{YN}(\tau-t)}} 
\]  

where: \( k_{YN} \) = Yoon–Nelson’s constant of proportionality (min⁻¹), and \( \tau = \) the time required to retain 50% of the initial adsorbate (min).

The Dose–Response model has been commonly used in pharmacology to describe different types of processes, and is currently being applied to describe bio-adsorption in columns, as summarised in Equation (6) [MOSCATELLO ET AL. 2018].

\[
\frac{C}{C_o} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{C_0 Q}{\bar{C} X}\right)^{a}} 
\]  

where: \( a = \) the model constant; \( q_0 = \) maximum solute concentration in the solid phase (mg·g⁻¹); \( X = \) the amount of adsorbent in the column (g), \( Q = \) the flow rate (dm³·min⁻¹).

The experimental data adjustment was fitted to the Adams–Bohart, Thomas, Yoon–Nelson, and Dose–Response models, as shown in Figure 3. The adjustment parameters of the models are shown in Table 2.
concentration was 30% of the input concentration of 100 mg∙g⁻¹; thus, although the R² value was calculated for the Dose–Response model, it was observed that the qₒ,D-R determined for this model is significantly lower than the one obtained experimentally; in this sense, the model that is closest to the experimental values is the Thomas model, so it is assumed that the adsorption mechanism was a Langmuir type adsorption followed by a pseudo-second-order chemical sorption [LAVANYA et al. 2020; SRIVASTAVA et al. 2019]. The prediction of the break curve by the Thomas and Dose–Response model was previously reported using yersiniabactin as an adsorbent [MOSCATELLO et al. 2018], using red beans impregnated with magnetite nanoparticles [XAVIER et al. 2018], cocoa shells [VERA-CABEZAS et al. 2018], sugar cane bagasse [VERA et al. 2019] and KIαGNPs decorated MWCNTs nanoadsorbent [EGROSURBA et al. 2021].

CONCLUSION

The conclusions from the present investigation are as follows: (i) The SEM-EDS analysis of yam shells shows a rough, heterogeneous, carbon, and oxygen-rich structure, featuring mesopores, suitable for the removal of heavy metals. (ii) Ni(II) removal efficiencies between 85.8 and 98.43%, showing the affinity of the bio-adsorbent for the metallic ion. (iii) The increase of the bed height and particle size favours the process obtaining a maximum capacity of adsorption in the bed of 16.15 mg∙g⁻¹. (iv) The Dose–Response model described the behaviour of the breakthrough curve with R² of 0.9996. (v) Yam shell is suggested as an alternative for removing Ni(II) ions present in an aqueous solution in a continuous system through bio-adsorption.
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