The modern paradigm of the agricultural technological process efficiency: A review
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Abstract: At present, a deep transformation of the agrobiocenose organisation under the intense anthropogenic factors’ influence is of particular importance. Thus, a significant increase in the number and harmfulness of pests’, phytopathogens’ and weeds species was noted due to the prevailing favourable conditions for their mass reproduction, expansion of habitats, and harmfulness, which inevitably leads to a significant deterioration in the phytosanitary state of cultivated crops. The phytosanitary trouble of agrobiocenoses allows us to say that today plant protection, being the final link in the cultivating technology for agricultural crops, is one of the most important stages in preserving the harvest improving the quality of the products obtained, and reducing their cost. In the current study it was tried to review the modern paradigm of the agricultural technological process efficiency. The relevance of this research is due to the fact that modern technological processes in agriculture cannot be implemented without the practical use of plant protection measures, in particular, the chemical method, which consists in the use of chemical compounds against pathogens of plants, pests, weeds, and is the most common, contributing to a significant increase in the yield of cultivated crops and labour productivity in agricultural production. All this, in our opinion, indicates the high practical significance of the results obtained.
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INTRODUCTION

All technological processes in agriculture require significant investments. One of the costliest of them is measures to protect agricultural plants [\textsc{jian 2011}]. However, today it is possible to achieve the goals set for realising the potential of cultivated crops without resorting to a significant increase in costs – the use of an integrated plant protection system. A huge scientific and practical experience has been accumulated in the implementation of various protective measures, which have shown both their biological and economic efficiency [\textsc{macfarlane et al. 2013}]. At the same time, the profitability of the activities carried out on various crops ranged from 97.4\% to 220.3\% due to their additional harvest [\textsc{zhichkin et al. 2020}]. The integrated plant
protection system provides for the rejection of the complete extermination of pests and a gradual transition to the creation of stable phytosanitary relations of agroecosystems, in which the mechanism of self-regulation and reasonable management of the number of pests will operate [GONZALEZ-DIAZ et al. 2009; HOLTAPPELS et al. 2021; VERJUX 2017]. At the same time, the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection come to the fore, where the leading position belongs to the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection system provides for the rejection of the complete extermination of pests and a gradual transition to the creation of stable phytosanitary relations of agroecosystems, in which the mechanism of self-regulation and reasonable management of the number of pests will operate [GONZALEZ-DIAZ et al. 2009; HOLTAPPELS et al. 2021; VERJUX 2017]. At the same time, the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection come to the fore, where the leading position belongs to the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection system provides for the rejection of the complete extermination of pests and a gradual transition to the creation of stable phytosanitary relations of agroecosystems, in which the mechanism of self-regulation and reasonable management of the number of pests will operate [GONZALEZ-DIAZ et al. 2009; HOLTAPPELS et al. 2021; VERJUX 2017]. At the same time, the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection come to the fore, where the leading position belongs to the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection system provides for the rejection of the complete extermination of pests and a gradual transition to the creation of stable phytosanitary relations of agroecosystems, in which the mechanism of self-regulation and reasonable management of the number of pests will operate [GONZALEZ-DIAZ et al. 2009; HOLTAPPELS et al. 2021; VERJUX 2017]. At the same time, the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection come to the fore, where the leading position belongs to the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection system provides for the rejection of the complete extermination of pests and a gradual transition to the creation of stable phytosanitary relations of agroecosystems, in which the mechanism of self-regulation and reasonable management of the number of pests will operate [GONZALEZ-DIAZ et al. 2009; HOLTAPPELS et al. 2021; VERJUX 2017]. At the same time, the rational use of chemical, biological, and other methods of plant protection come to the fore, where the leading position belongs to

The theoretical and methodological basis of this research consists in the study and analysis of statistical data, research in dynamics, a review of literary sources and proposals of scientists presented at conferences, in monographs and papers on the latest technological processes in agriculture, the problem of the use of plant protection chemicals and economic feasibility of their use. The following general scientific and specific cognition methods were used in the process of writing the paper, such as typologisation, comparison, generalisation, synthesis, and analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Today, the quality standard all over the world is organic products grown without the use of pesticides and growth hormones because, after using chemical plant protection products with a thorough check of crop products, their negative impact on wildlife and humans is often found. We can safely say that there is a more or less destructive effect on the habitat; that is, pesticides have virtually no lower threshold of action. Of course, common sense dictates that the best solution in this situation would be to reduce the proportion of pesticides used when growing products and, if possible, replace them with biological preparations.

However, the fact that the use of chemical plant protection products contributes not only to a significant increase in labour productivity in agriculture but also to a significant reduction in crop losses from diseases and pests both in the field and during storage does not allow a complete transition to organic farming (Tab. 1). Most of the problems associated with the use of pesticides are solved with well-established control of their rational use. Equally important is the need to improve the economic efficiency of agricultural production from the standpoint of environmental acceptability to eliminate or reduce the damage to the environment.

As you can see from Table 1, the area of agricultural land on which protective measures with pesticide treatment were carried out is steadily growing from year to year. Separately, it should be said about the situation on the market for plant protection chemicals in 2020. The pandemic and the rise in the dollar rate provoked an unprecedented speculative demand for pesticides in

Table 1. Agricultural land in Russia where plant protection with pesticides have been applied (thous. ha)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2019 in % to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pesticide processed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- against pests</td>
<td>21939.4</td>
<td>21947.1</td>
<td>24940.9</td>
<td>24063.3</td>
<td>27976.1</td>
<td>127.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- against diseases</td>
<td>14069.3</td>
<td>17776.4</td>
<td>20284.1</td>
<td>19121.2</td>
<td>20315.9</td>
<td>144.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- growth regulators</td>
<td>681.4</td>
<td>792.8</td>
<td>1745.0</td>
<td>1439.0</td>
<td>1599.7</td>
<td>230.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- against weeds</td>
<td>43206.7</td>
<td>44435.8</td>
<td>47928.5</td>
<td>47521.9</td>
<td>49690.9</td>
<td>115.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- defoliation and desiccation</td>
<td>1897.5</td>
<td>2068.2</td>
<td>2312.4</td>
<td>2586.0</td>
<td>2147.2</td>
<td>113.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>81794.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>87020.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>97210.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>94731.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>101729.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>124.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesticide processed by air method</td>
<td>4576.3</td>
<td>5280.1</td>
<td>5603.7</td>
<td>4698.9</td>
<td>5113.7</td>
<td>111.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of the agricultural land area (%)</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own elaboration based on DUDIN et al. [2018].
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the first quarter of that year. It is expected that it is quite natural, and the area of cultivated land will also be increased.

A solution to this problem was proposed in amendments to the law on the safe handling of pesticides and agrochemicals, approved by parliamentarians at the end of December 2020. It is expected that the implementation of this law will make food products with improved characteristics more accessible to the population. It should be noted that it is not permissible to use the term “organic” in this case, since in the production of products with improved characteristics, in contrast to “organic”, modern intensive, but at the same time the safest agricultural technologies are used. This law will also help increase domestic agricultural products’ competitiveness without reducing the profitability of agricultural production [LABĘDZKI 2016; MYSAKA et al. 2021; PERERA, BAYDOUN 2007; THOMAS et al. 2010; THIUPP 2007].

In addition to environmental aspects, the economic efficiency question for both agricultural production as a whole and its individual technological processes arose with the development of commodity production.

The technological processes efficiency in agriculture is a rather complex economic category, which reflects the production effectiveness. When assessing the final result, it is necessary to separate the effect and economic efficiency concepts since the effect obtained from the measures taken do not give an objective idea of the profitability and expediency of their application. Economic efficiency will show us the return on total investment, obtaining the maximum amount of products per unit area at the lowest cost. In other words, when calculating the indicator of economic efficiency, the results of production are compared with material costs [GERASIMOV et al. 2019; SHAIMARDANOVICH, RUSTAMOVICH 2018; TYUPAKOV et al. 2017; YAKOVSENKO et al. 2016].

The economic efficiency of technological processes in agriculture is determined mainly by two groups of factors. External factors such as pricing, lending, taxation, inflationary processes, compensation, agricultural legislation, etc. They do not depend on the economic activity of an enterprise. Internal factors will be crop yield, animal productivity, organisation of production, specialisation, production cost, etc.

Today, the economic efficiency of technological processes, as well as agricultural production as a whole, is largely determined by the factors of the first group, while the second group of factors largely forms the level of economic efficiency. Thus, the main indicator of the economic efficiency of technological processes in agricultural production is the amount of profit from the sale of products.

For many years, there has been a discussion about the essence of the economic efficiency of production, the use of resources, and the choice of the main criteria. In addition, there is an opinion that it is important to single out several criteria with the subsequent calculation of a generalised criterion in the study of rather complex dynamic systems, such as agriculture. Accordingly, to assess the overall economic efficiency of plant protection measures, an analysis is made for the indicators representing the ratios of the values of the preserved yield and the cost of using chemical plant protection products for each harmful object on cultivated crops [BERISHTSY et al. 2016; GODVYTA et al. 2019; KARELAKIS et al. 2013; KVASHIN et al. 2019; SUGDEN et al. 2014].

Considering the agricultural industry’s specifics, in assessing the economic efficiency of technological processes, the yield comes first as one of the most important economic indicators, reflecting the degree and efficiency of land use. It should be noted that the value of the yield has a direct impact on the value of other indicators.

Some of the country’s agricultural producers currently use outdated technologies, low-quality seeds, apply mineral fertilisers in insufficient quantities, and do not take protective measures against pests and diseases. The reason for this is the minimal desire of agricultural producers for scientific achievements, which is often due to the insufficient economic capabilities of agricultural enterprises [GODVYTA et al. 2018; HR et al. 2014; JAMAGANI 2013; KLOUCHKO et al. 2019; WASIKE et al. 2011; YOUNG et al. 1994]. A way out of this situation may be the transfer of a significant part of the technological modernisation costs in the form of subsidies to the industry on the state [SANCHIS-IBOR et al. 2017].

Given the low level of loss prevention and the relatively high effect of protective measures, it is advisable to expand the integrated plant protection system using chemical agents, new areas of biological plant protection, and IT technologies in order to increase the volume of the preserved crop by 1.5 times (to the level of highly developed countries) due to with the need to ensure the gross grain harvest by 2030 at the level of 130 Tg from an area of 50 mln ha.

So, the studying, structuring, and analysing the results of an impressive number of studies conducted to determine the economic efficiency of the chemical method of plant protection over the past 20 years have shown the indisputable advantage of this technological process over the rest. Minimising the negative impact on the environment can be achieved through the use of nano-technologies, the use of new formulas, and a competent approach to the use of pesticides.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the foregoing, we consider it necessary to increase the economic efficiency of technological processes in agriculture without fail from the standpoint of environmental acceptability to eliminate as much as possible or reduce the harm caused to the environment. For this, it is worth considering the possibility of providing subsidies to agricultural producers receiving environmentally friendly products. In addition, it is important to develop sustainable farming concepts that include the principles of producing high-quality products. A program designed to provide the population with products made on the basis of environmentally friendly technologies is designed to help in this.

Today, there are real opportunities for abandoning the widespread use of pesticides while maintaining high productivity and economic efficiency of the industry’s technological processes thanks to the reasonable use of an integrated plant protection system capable of ensuring the preservation of at least 25–30% of the crop. At the same time, the transition to wider use of biological plant protection products in the near future is not possible, which is due to the lack of a wide spectrum of action of drugs, their instability during storage, and high costs of work, which in many cases significantly exceed the cost of chemical treatments of crops and plantings. At the same time, the agrotechnical method of protecting the crop, as a preventive
technique, can significantly reduce the costs of combating harmful objects.

Of course, for the dynamic development of agriculture in Russia, it is important to apply innovative natural directions for agricultural production development, taking into account world experience and the indisputable competitive advantages of the domestic agricultural sector of the economy.
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