The production capacity of hard-coal mines in terms of meeting the needs of the domestic energy sector

Introduction

For over twenty years, European Union member states have been increasingly taking steps to reduce the amount of gases emitted into the atmosphere, contributing to the growth of the so-called the greenhouse effect. From December 2020, the goal is to achieve climate neutrality of the European economy by 2050, which means that the use of fossil fuels in industry and by individual users is to be almost completely discontinued.

For Poland, where approximately 70% of the electricity and heat is still generated using coal, especially hard coal, taking action in this area is an extremely difficult challenge. At the same time, both the energy sector and the coal-mining industry must undergo transformations, and a situation in which it is not possible to obtain the required amounts of energy must not be allowed. On the one hand, it is necessary to obtain less emission (and ultimately non-emission) energy sources, withholding the operation of coal-fired power plants, heating
plants and mines, and on the other hand, the scope and pace of changes must not disturb the provision of the required amounts of energy and raw materials for its production. In particular, in the aspect of avoiding the necessity to import coal, it is important to consider issues related to the possibility of satisfying specific raw material needs by domestic mining enterprises.

1. Active hard-coal mines and coal resources at their disposal

Since 1990, the domestic hard-coal-mining industry has been subject to continuous restructuring measures aimed at enabling economically effective functioning. One such activity was the introduction of various organizational changes, including, the merger of certain plants and the liquidation of unprofitable mines. Currently, there are seventeen large mines and two small private mining plants operating in various mining enterprises (Figure 1).

![Fig. 1. Polish mining enterprises in 2021](image)

The exploitation of domestic hard-coal deposits is carried out in two basins: Górnośląskie and Lubelskie. The Upper Silesian Coal Basin (GZW) is the main coal basin of Poland – almost 80% of the documented balance resources are found there. Its area within the borders of Poland is estimated at around 5,600 km². There is a full range of technological types of hard coal – from energy type 31 to coking coal type 37, and anthracite in trace amounts (Type 42). There are eighteen active mines of various sizes in the GZW. In the Lublin Coal Basin (LZW), approximately 4,730 km² is assumed as an area with defined deposit prospects, while the area of approximately 1,200 km² is covered by documented deposits. There is one mine operating there.
According to a study by the Polish Geological Institute (Balance... 2021), at the end of 2020:

- at GZW, 145 deposits were filled with over 51.5 billion tons of balance resources, including almost 4.2 billion tons of industrial resources;
- at LZW, 11 deposits were filled with almost 12.5 billion tons of balance resources, including over 612 million tons of industrial resources.

In total, domestic hard-coal resources of all types were estimated at over 64.4 billion tons, including over 4.8 billion tons of industrial resources (Table 1).

Table 1. The volume of domestic hard-coal resources – as of December 31, 2020 (million Mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number of deposits</th>
<th>Geological resources</th>
<th>Industrial resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>balance</td>
<td>non-balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total</td>
<td>A+B</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total resources, including coals</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>64,422.38</td>
<td>6,413.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 31–33</td>
<td>45,578.50</td>
<td>4,092.62</td>
<td>15,652.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 34–37</td>
<td>18,058.53</td>
<td>2,312.80</td>
<td>7,841.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>785.35</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>44.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Including the resources of undeveloped deposits

| Total | 49 | 28,409.26 | 4,436.68 | 13,881.38 | 10,091.20 | 2,729.19 | 324.75 | 4,388.72 |

Including the resources of undeveloped deposits

| Total | 59 | 30,585.70 | 486.05 | 7,463.31 | 22,636.35 | 8,516.61 | 199.85 | 347.01 |

Including resources of deposits where exploitation has been abandoned

| Total | 55 | 5,427.42 | 1,490.59 | 2,193.40 | 1,723.13 | 1,476.74 | 87.65 | 74.11 |

Source: own study based on (Balance... 2021).

In order to function, each company must have different resources. In the case of a mining enterprise, the most important are geological resources – a mine can only exist where there are coal deposits. The next reasons are human, material and financial resources (Figure 2).
Each mining company (mine) has a license to exploit a deposit in a precisely defined part of the deposit, having at its disposal a specific amount of geological resources, including balance, industrial and operational resources. The size of industrial coal resources of individual companies that are steam-coal producers is presented in the diagram in Figure 3.
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**Fig. 2. Resources of a mining enterprise**  
Source: own study  
Rys. 2. Zasoby przedsiębiorstwa górniczego

![Bar Chart of Industrial Resources](image)

**Fig. 3. The size of industrial resources of Polish mining enterprises – as of December 31 2020**  
Source: own study based on (Balance 2021)  
Rys. 3. Wielkość zasobów przemysłowych polskich przedsiębiorstw górniczych – stan na 31 grudnia 2020 r.
The diagram does not include the size of the resources of Jastrzębska Spółka Węglowa SA mines, which mainly extract coking coal.

When considering the production capabilities of individual mining enterprises and mines, one should also take into account the fact that, on the one hand, they result from the size of resources, the state of their cutting and availability, and the technical and technological conditions in which production (exploitation) is to be carried out, and on the other hand, they are determined or limited by the demand for a specific product (Figure 4). It should be noted that many production factors are independent of the entrepreneur and cannot be freely shaped (Jonek-Kowalska 2012).

![Diagram of production volume determinants](image)

**Fig. 4. Determinants of the production volume of a mining enterprise (mine)**

Source: own study

**Rys. 4. Determinanty wielkości produkcji przedsiębiorstwa górniczego (kopalni)**

### 3. Forecast production volumes and demand for hard coal until 2040

The basis for the proper assessment of the possibilities of satisfying the needs of the energy industry in the field of hard-coal supplies is the development of reliable forecasts in terms of both the needs and the production volumes of mining enterprises. In the scientific approach, forecasting is the prediction of future events based on taking into account all conditions and circumstances that may affect their occurrence or course (Bąk 2018). Forecasts about a specific event or phenomenon use a set of variables called forecast variables. These can be quantitative variables, expressed in numbers (e.g. production volume) or qualitative variables, described in words (Dittmann 2003).

In scientific literature, you can find many items on the issue of planning and forecasting the volume of mining production (coal extraction). In the period before Poland’s
accession to the European Union, the main focus was on issues related to geology and mining systems (Przybyła 1991; Karbownik and Chroszcz 1994; Karbownik et al. 1999; Fuksa 2004). However, works that have been carried out in recent years focus to a significant extent on the analysis of the requirements of the directives of the European Parliament and the European Council on climate policy, energy efficiency and the transition to a low-carbon economy, and the related issue of energy security of the country (Dubiński and Turek 2007a, 2007b; Gawlik et al. 2010; Turek and Jonek-Kowalska 2015; Fuksa 2016; Gawlik et al. 2016; Manowska 2017; Stala-Szlugaj 2018; Rybak and Manowska 2019; Pepłowska 2021).

With Resolution No. 22/2021 of February 2, 2021, the Council of Ministers adopted the document (PEP 2040), which is... a clear vision of Poland’s energy transformation strategy... Two attachments are an integral part of the document. In Annex 2, entitled “Conclusions from prognostic analyses for the fuel and energy sector” includes forecasts for the production (extraction) and demand for hard coal until 2040 (Table 2, Figure 5).

Table 2. Forecasts of the production volume and demand for thermal coal according to PEP 2040 (million Mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Annex 2 to PEP 2040.
The authors, based on their own knowledge, literature analysis and available data resources, prepared a forecast of the volume of steam coal extraction (production) by individual mining companies until 2040. While developing it, the assumptions specified in the work (Dubiński and Turek 2007a) were followed. The following factors were taken into account: the condition of the resource base of individual mines (including, in particular, data on operational resources), the existing production capacities of individual mines, the levels of natural hazards that determine the safe operation of the deposit, and environmental conditions of the exploitation.

In addition, information included in the available plans for the further operation of individual mines in the coming years and in the provisions of the agreement on the further operation of the hard-coal-mining sector, in particular the largest mining enterprise – Polska Grupa Górnicza SA (PGG SA) – signed an agreement with government representatives in September 2020 (Agreement... 2020). In addition, each year also includes the volume of three million tons of coal of this type, coming from the mines of Jastrzębska Spółka Węglowa SA (JSW SA), which mainly extracts coking coal. The obtained results are presented in Figure 6 and Table 3.

As can be seen, the production volume decreases each year, and in 2040, you can expect the output to be almost 20 million tons lower than in 2022. This is mainly due to the gradual stoppage of the operation and liquidation of individual movements and mines of the largest mining company (PGG SA). The schedule of their decommissioning has been specified in

![Fig. 6. Forecast of the national volume of steam coal production in 2022–2040 according to the GIG study](image-url)

Rys. 6. Prognoza wielkości krajowej produkcji węgla energetycznego w latach 2022–2040 według opracowania GIG
### Table 3: Forecast of power coal production volume by individual mining enterprises in 2022–2040 according to the original forecast of the Central Mining Institute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mining enterprise</th>
<th>2022 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2023 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2024 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2025 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2026 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2027 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2028 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2029 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2030 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2031 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2032 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2033 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2034 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2035 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2036 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2037 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2038 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2039 (mln Mg)</th>
<th>2040 (mln Mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGG SA</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW Bogdanka SA</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jSw SA</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAUROn Wydobywce SA</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>węglokoks Kraj sp. z o.o.</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG Silesia sp. z o.o.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the annex to (Agreement... 2020); from 2040, only two mines are to be operational – Mysłowice-Wesoła and ROW (Figure 7).

From the monograph (Tokarski 2021), which is an expert attempt to verify the current forecasts of demand for primary energy sources and to present possible scenarios for further energy transformation in Poland, data was taken on the demand for hard coal in the years 2025–2040.

Table 4. Levels of demand for hard coal according to expert assessment (million Mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production of electricity and system heat (power plants and combined heat and power plants)</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of non-system (individual) heat</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own study based on the results of the survey.
4. The reasons for the differences in the forecasts of the production volume and demand for thermal coal

In order to assess the ability of mining enterprises to meet the demand of the national power and heating systems for coal, Table 5 summarizes the individual values in total (expert assessments are missing for 2035).

Table 5. Comparison of the production volume and the demand for hard coal according to the provisions of PeP 2040 (Tokarski 2021) (million Mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to PeP 2040</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to GIG</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference PeP 2040 – GIG</td>
<td>+15.0</td>
<td>+10.7</td>
<td>+8.5</td>
<td>+9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to PeP 2040</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to expert assessments</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference of PeP 2040 – expert assessments</td>
<td>–7.8</td>
<td>–3.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own study.

The above data indicates that in all analyzed years, there are many differences compared to the data included in PeP 2040. In terms of the production volume, the data from PeP 2040 seems to be overestimated by 15 to at least 8.5 million tons, while in terms of demand, it is understated from 7.8 to 2.6 million tons.

The main reason for the above discrepancies, apart from the differences in the forecasts for the production of thermal coal, lies in the predicted models of the future shape of the energy mix in terms of generating both electricity and heat. This is illustrated on the example of the year 2040.

With regard to electricity, in both cases it is anticipated that the net electricity demand will be around 204 TWh. However, the assumptions regarding the sources of its production are very different (Figure 8).

A similar comparison cannot be made for heat production forecasts. In PeP 2040, only the figures for system heat (258.7 Pj in 2040) are given, without providing assumptions regarding the sources of its generation. The issue of non-systemic (individual) heat generation was not addressed at all. However, the summary of expert assessments predicted that in
2040, 204.3 PJ of system heat (31.4% from hard coal) and as much as 470.7 PJ of non-system heat (20.2% from hard coal) will be produced.

The reason for the discrepancy in forecasts regarding the share of individual energy sources in its production (and the volume of demand for power coal) is the fact that experts, in their opinion, take into account two significant risks related to nuclear energy and the construction of offshore wind farms. According to the provisions of PEP 2040, in 2040 they would have a share of over 27% in the production of electricity.

In justifying their opinions, the experts emphasized the need to take into account the experiences of other countries related to the development of nuclear energy in the forecasts; in particular, this should apply to the lengthy and capital-intensive investment processes re-
lated to the construction of power plants. Due to the fact that the exact location of the future nuclear power plant has not even been specified, according to the vast majority of them, it is completely unrealistic to start up the first unit in 2033, followed by more units in order that in 2040, the share of nuclear energy in electricity generation will reach almost 14%.

Significant reservations were also raised with regard to offshore wind energy. Doubts are raised by the applicable legal status (a ban on the construction of wind farms in internal sea waters and territorial sea), the lack of specific wind farm locations, the lack of adaptation of port infrastructure to the conditions enabling the construction and operation of offshore wind turbines, the lack of electricity transmission infrastructure and finally, the appropriate number of specialists from various fields related to this type of energy. Taking this into account, it must be stated that also in this case, the assumption of an almost 14% share of offshore wind farms in electricity generation in 2040 is too optimistic.

5. Possibilities of meeting the demand by domestic mining enterprises

Bearing in mind the issues raised so far, a question should be asked about the possibility of satisfying the needs for power coal by domestic mining enterprises, which is necessary for the production of electricity and heat.

Based on the provisions contained in PEP 2040, it could be concluded that there are no threats in this regard – they still show an excess of around five million surplus of production over needs. However, if we take into account the figures presented in the study (Tokarski 2021) and the authors’ assessment, the situation is completely different – there are still significant shortages of coal in amounts ranging from over 17 to over 6 million tons (Table 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEP 2040</td>
<td>+5.7</td>
<td>+5.9</td>
<td>+5.7</td>
<td>+5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tokarski 2021)</td>
<td>−17.1</td>
<td>−8.7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Tokarski 2021; own study.

The data in Tables 5 and 6 show that the projected production volumes provided for PEP in 2040 are overestimated. Even if the demand for coal were in line with those presented in this document, and even so with the planned schedule of liquidation of PGG SA mines,
it is not possible for domestic producers to satisfy them. This situation additionally worsens in the case of a demand consistent with the opinions of experts included in the study (Tokarski 2021).

It should be clearly emphasized that the need to modernize the national power system, which is currently largely based on the use of fossil fuels, is irreversible. In PEP 2040, it is assumed, inter alia, to introduce thorough changes with regard to electricity generation sources as well as heating systems and distributed heating sources. Undertaking changes in this area is additionally “facilitated” by the fact that many coal-fired power plants are reaching the end of their technical capacity for further operation, so replacing them with new energy sources may even be economically profitable. It was assumed that the introduced changes are to be based primarily on the generation of electricity from wind and solar sources as well as from nuclear energy.

However, as it has been shown in considerations to date, it is problematic to adopt the scale and schedule for implementing the changes proposed for implementation by 2040. The existing threats of their delays may result in significant power shortages in the national power system. A summary of expert analyses regarding the planned transformation of this system (Tokarski 2021), also presents three alternative scenarios of activities aimed at preventing its functioning from being disrupted. The following two activities in the transitional period, provide for the possibility of the longer use of coal-fired power plants:

- where it is still technically possible, the operation of the coal-fired units planned for decommissioning will be extended;
- at selected coal-fired power plants, new units with a capacity of 1,000 MW or 500 MW, equipped with CCSU carbon dioxide capture installations, may be built.

In the third scenario, it was proposed to replace the retained coal-fired units with gas units and to also increase the amount of imported electricity.

The list of possible alternative actions and the negative effects of their implementation is presented schematically in Figure 9.
As can be seen, each of the measures proposed in the alternative scenarios implemented in the event of delays in the implementation of the assumptions of PEP 2040 entails the following negative effects:

- the need to increase the dependence of the national energy system on electricity or gas imports, the prices of which continue to rise significantly,
- the need to produce additional amounts of coal.

In the latter case, the gap between the levels of the demand and production of thermal coal, which has already been mentioned, would further deepen.

Despite the fact that relatively little time has passed since the adoption of PEP 2040, it seems that it would be necessary to analyze its provisions regarding the schedule and scope of the implementation of individual activities in the field of the transformation of the national power system and the volume of demand and coal production, together with appropriate changes to them. The consequence of this should be the development of changed assumptions for the further functioning of the hard-coal-mining industry. Failure to do so may result in significant disruptions in the operation of the national energy system.

**Summary**

The efforts of European Union countries to achieve energy neutrality by 2050 will ultimately lead to the virtually complete elimination of the use of fossil fuels as the raw materials of energy generation. The adoption of such a target is primarily associated with the closure of power plants and heating plants burning steam coal.

In Poland, there is also growing awareness of the need to change the sources of electricity and heat. An expression of this is last year’s adoption of the document entitled *Poland’s Energy Policy until 2040*, in which the previous assumptions of the state’s long-term energy policy were amended and an increase in the use of low- or non-emission sources was declared. One of the planned strategies are that blocks of coal-fired power plants will be decommissioned and, in their place, there will be the expansion of solar and wind energy and the commissioning of the first blocks of a nuclear power plant. Such activities, which will cause a decrease in the demand for coal, are also related to the plans of changes in the functioning of mining enterprises – there will be a gradual liquidation of individual mines and mining enterprises.

If the assumed implementation schedule of individual projects were to be carried out in accordance with the provisions of PEP 2040, there would be no risk of disturbances in the functioning of the national energy system. However, the assessments of experts from the energy and mining industries regarding the assumptions of PEP 204 and the author’s analysis of the production capabilities of the mines raise doubts with regard to the course of the planned transformation. It may turn out that the assumed production volumes of the mines are overestimated, and the scope and pace of introducing changes are too high. In the event of delays in starting new energy sources, particularly controllable sources (nuclear power), in order to avoid disruptions to the energy system, the decommissioning of coal-fired power
plants and heating plants may be carried out over a longer period than assumed. However, if the mines are closed in accordance with the adopted assumptions, the domestic mining companies will be absolutely unable to deliver the required amounts of coal. The more that the developed forecasts of the extraction (production) volume already indicate the possibility of such a situation. Therefore, it seems necessary to update the assumptions adopted in relation to the hard-coal-mining industry and link them with adjusting to the production capacities of mining enterprises. The article was prepared according to the state of the Polish energy industry as of December 31, 2021. The current situation on the coal market, which has emerged as a result of Russia's aggression against Ukraine, will be analyzed in another article in the Mineral Resources Management magazine.

The paper presents results of research conducted in AGH University of Science and Technology no. 16.16.100.215.
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**Keywords**

steam coal, transformation, forecast, demand, production

**Abstract**

In Poland, there is a growing awareness of the need to change the sources of electricity and heat. An expression of this is the adoption of the document entitled Poland’s Energy Policy until 2040 (PEP 2040) in February 2020 by the Council of Ministers. The goal of the Polish Energy Policy until 2040 is “energy security – ensuring the competitiveness of the economy, energy efficiency and reducing the environmental impact of the energy sector – taking into account the optimal use of own
energy resources”. In PEP 2040, the previous assumptions of the state’s long-term energy policy were amended and an increase in the use of low- or non-emission sources was declared. In addition, the energy policy guidelines contain forecasts for the production of steam coal and the demand for this raw material.

Based on the provisions of the document, as well as forecasts of the coal-production volume prepared by the authors and the assessments of experts in the fields related to energy and mining, the article contains considerations on the validity of the developed forecasts together with the determination of the production capacity of domestic mining enterprises in terms of covering the demand for steam coal used for the production of electricity and heat. It is planned, inter alia, that blocks of coal-fired power plants will be decommissioned and, in their place, there is to be the expansion of solar and wind energy and the commissioning of the first blocks of a nuclear power plant. Such activities, which cause a decrease in the demand for coal, are also related to the plans of changes in the functioning of mining enterprises – there will be successive closures of individual mines and mining plants.
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**W ZAKRESIE ZASPOKOJENIA POTRZEB KRAJOWEJ ENERGETYKI**
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**Streszczenie**

W Polsce narasta świadomość potrzeby zmian w zakresie źródeł pozyskiwania energii elektrycznej i cieplnej. Wyrazem tego jest przyjęcie w lutym 2020 roku przez Radę Ministrów dokumentu pn. Polityka Energetyczna Polski do 2040 r (PEP 2040). Celem Polityki Energetycznej Polski do 2040 r. jest „bezpieczeństwo energetyczne – przy zapewnieniu konkurencyjności gospodarki, efektywności energetycznej i zmniejszenia oddziaływania sektora energii na środowisko – biorąc pod uwagę optymalne wykorzystanie własnych zasobów energetycznych”.

W oparciu o zapisy dokumentu, a także prognozy wielkości produkcji węgla opracowane przez autorów oraz oceny ekspertów z dziedzin związanych z energetyką i górnictwem, w artykule zawarto rozważania dotyczące zasadności opracowanych prognoz, w połączeniu z określeniem możliwości produkcyjnych krajowych przedsiębiorstw górniczych, w aspekcie pokrycia zapotrzebowania na węgiel energetyczny wykorzystywany do produkcji energii elektrycznej i cieplnej. Przewiduje się, między innymi, wycofanie z eksploatacji bloków elektrowni węglowych, a w ich miejsce rozbudowę energetyki solarnej i wiatrowej oraz uruchomienie pierwszych bloków elektrowni jądrowej. Działania takie, powodujące spadek zapotrzebowania na węgiel, są powiązane także z planami zmian w funkcjonowaniu przedsiębiorstw górniczych – dojście do sukcesywnej likwidacji poszczególnych kopalń i zakładów górniczych.
