

10.24425/acs.2023.146282

Archives of Control Sciences
Volume 33(LXIX), 2023
No. 2, pages 413–424

A formula for the lower Bohl exponent of discrete time-varying systems

Adam CZORNIK and Krzysztof SIMEK

In this note, a formula for the lower Bohl exponent of a discrete system with variable coefficients and weak variation was proved. This formula expresses the Bohl exponent through the eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix. Based on these formulas a necessary and sufficient condition for an uniform exponential instability of such systems is also presented.

Key words: time-varying systems, asymptotic stability, Bohl exponent

1. Introduction

One of the most frequently used models in control theory are linear time-invariant systems, however nowadays to cope with growing requirements formulated for control systems in the process of the building model we use linear time-varying systems (see e.g. [8, 17, 20] and the references therein). The dynamic properties of linear systems with variable coefficients can be described by various types of numerical characteristics such as Lapunov, Bohl, Perron exponents or central exponents (see [9]). These exponents are defined by solution norms or transition matrix norms, which makes them difficult to calculate. The computational difficulties of determining these characteristics are additionally increased by the fact that most of the characteristics are not a continuous function of the coefficients (see [16]) and therefore small inaccuracies of the system coefficients may cause large changes in the values of the characteristics. On the other hand, for time-invariant systems, a comprehensive description of the

Copyright © 2023. The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the article is properly cited, the use is non-commercial, and no modifications or adaptations are made

The Authors are with Silesian University of Technology, Faculty of Automatic Control, Electronics and Computer Science, Akademicka Street 16, 44-101 Gliwice, Poland. Corresponding author: A. Czornik, e-mail: Adam.Czornik@polsl.pl

The research of Adam Czornik was supported by the Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange according to the decision PPN/BEK/2020/1/00188/UO/00001.

Received 19.04.2022. Revised 24.04.2023.

dynamic properties can be obtained through the spectrum of the system matrix. This brings to mind an attempt to express the numerical characteristics of systems with variable coefficients through the eigenvalues of the matrix of coefficients. In the general case, it is unfortunately impossible, because there are examples of exponentially uniformly stable continuous systems, whose coefficient matrices have spectra lying in the right half-plane, as well as examples of unstable systems with coefficient matrices with only eigenvalues with a negative real part (see e.g. [14, p. 257]). There are also analogous examples for discrete systems. It turns out, however, that if the coefficients of the system change slowly enough, then from the location of the spectra of the coefficient matrix, certain properties concerning the asymptotic properties of solutions can be deduced. This is the basic idea behind the so-called ‘freezing method’ initiated by Desoer’s work [12]. A summary of the results obtained using this technique can be found in Section 10.1 of [13].

In this work we deal with the relationship between the smallest in absolute value eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix and the lower Bohl exponent of a discrete time-varying system. This exponent characterizes a uniform exponential instability, it is the smallest element of the spectrum of the exponential dichotomy and it is the exact boundary of mobility down of the lower Bohl exponents of trajectories of the solutions of perturbed systems under arbitrarily small perturbations of the coefficients matrix (see Theorem 1 below).

The main result of the work states that when the system has the so-called weak variance, then the lower Bohl exponent is the lower limit of the logarithms of the smallest module of eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix. A similar result for continuous systems and the upper Bohl exponent was obtained in the works of V.M. Millionschikov in [18] and by J. Daleckii and M.G. Krein in the monograph [11], p. 200. On the basis of the main result of the work, we formulated the necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform exponential instability of the discrete time-varying system with weak-variation. The work also contains a numerical example illustrating the obtained result.

In the work, we will use the following notation conventions: a sum $\sum_{j=a}^b$ is equal to zero if $b < a$, $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the set of all real matrices of size $d \times d$, $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ is the subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ consisting of invertible matrices. For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\mu(A)$ is the smallest and $\lambda(A)$ the greatest absolute value of the eigenvalues of matrix A , I_d is the identity matrix of size $d \times d$, $\|x\|$ is the Euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\|A\|$ is the operator norm induced by Euclidean norm of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

We will consider systems of the following form

$$x(n+1) = A(n)x(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

where $A = (A(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of invertible d by d matrices such that

$$a := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \max \{ \|A(n)\|, \|A^{-1}(n)\| \} < \infty.$$

Let us denote by $(\Phi_A(n, m))_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}}$ the transition matrix of system (1) defined as follows

$$\Phi_A(n, m) = \begin{cases} A(n-1) \dots A(m) & \text{for } n > m, \\ I_d & \text{for } n = m, \\ \Phi_A^{-1}(m, n) & \text{for } n < m. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1 The lower Bohl exponent $\omega(A)$ of system (1) is defined as follows

$$\omega(A) = \liminf_{n-m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n-m} \ln \|\Phi_A^{-1}(n, m)\|^{-1}.$$

In the literature, together with the lower Bohl exponent, the upper Bohl exponent $\Omega(A)$ (see e.g. [14]) is also considered, defined as follows

$$\Omega(A) = \limsup_{n-m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n-m} \ln \|\Phi_A(n, m)\|.$$

It should also be noted that quantities $\Omega(A)$ and $\omega(A)$ are sometimes referred to in the literature as singular exponents ([19]) and general exponents [6]. The notion of Bohl exponent for continuous-time systems is due to P. Bohl [7].

The significance of the lower Bohl exponent for the theory of linear systems with variable coefficients results from the following theorem.

Theorem 1 The lower Bohl exponent has the following properties:

- 1) system (1) uniformly exponentially unstable if and only if $\omega(A) > 0$.
- 2) $\omega(A)$ it is the smallest element of the spectrum of the monotonous exponential dichotomy of a system (1).
- 3) $\omega(A)$ is the exact boundary of mobility down of the lower Bohl exponents of trajectories of the solutions of perturbed systems under arbitrarily small perturbations of the coefficients matrix of the system (1).

Proof. Point 1 results from point 2 and the definition of a spectrum for a uniform exponential dichotomy (see [3]). Point 2 was proved in [2], Lemma 4.1. Point 3 was proved in [5], Theorem 3.1. \square

In our further consideration we will use the following results from the literature.

Theorem 2 (Gronwall's inequality) (see [1], Theorem 4.1.9) Suppose that for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m < n$ a sequence of non-negative numbers $(u(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$u(m) \leq pu(n) + q \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} u(i)$$

for certain $p, q > 0$, then

$$u(m) \leq pu(n)(1+q)^{m-n} \quad (2)$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m < n$.

Consider together with system (1) a nonhomogeneous system

$$y(n+1) = A(n)y(n) + f(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3)$$

where $f = (f(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of vectors from \mathbb{R}^d and denote by $y = y(n, k_0, y_0, f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $n \geq k_0$ its solution with an initial condition $y(k_0, k_0, y_0, f) = y_0$. If $k_0 = 0$ we will write $y(n, y_0, f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ instead of $y(n, 0, y_0, f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 3 (see section 2.5 in [1]) For any solution $y = y(n, k_0, y_0, f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $n \geq k_0$ of (3) we have

$$y(n, k_0, y_0, f) = \Phi_A(n, k_0) y_0 + \sum_{j=k_0}^{n-1} \Phi_A(n, j+1) f(j), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq k_0. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 4 (see [10]) The following equality holds

$$\omega(A) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ln \|\Phi_A(k, k+N)\|^{-1} \right). \quad (5)$$

2. Main result

Before we formulate and prove the main result, we will provide a lemma that will be used in the proof.

Lemma 1 For each $c, \varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $D_\varepsilon(c) > 0$ such that for each $A \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with $\max \{\|A\|, \|A^{-1}\|\} \leq c$ we have

$$\|A^{-n}\| \leq D_\varepsilon(c) \left(\mu^{-1}(A) + \varepsilon \right)^n \quad (6)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $\mu^{-1}(A) = \lambda(A^{-1})$, then the statement of the Lemma is equivalent to the following: For each $c, \varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $D_\varepsilon(c) > 0$ such that for each $B \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with $\max\{\|B\|, \|B^{-1}\|\} \leq c$ we have

$$\|B^n\| \leq D_\varepsilon(c) (\lambda(B) + \varepsilon)^n. \quad (7)$$

To prove the last fact let us fix $c, \varepsilon > 0, B \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|B\| < c$ and consider the contour

$$\Gamma = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = \varepsilon + \lambda(B)\}.$$

Then (see Section 4.1 in [13])

$$B^n = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^n (B - \lambda I_d)^{-1} d\lambda$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently,

$$\|B^n\| \leq M_\varepsilon (\varepsilon + \lambda(B))^n, \quad (8)$$

where

$$M_\varepsilon = (\varepsilon + \lambda(B)) \sup_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon+\lambda(B)} \|(B - \lambda I_d)^{-1}\|.$$

For any $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ we have (see Theorems 5.6.9 and 1.2.12 in [15])

$$\lambda(X) \leq \|X\| \quad \text{and} \quad |\det X| \geq \mu^d(X)$$

and if additionally $M \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$\|X^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\|X\|^{d-1}}{|\det X|}$$

(see (2) in [4]). Using the last three inequalities and the fact $\|B\| \leq c$, we may estimate the constant M_ε as follows

$$\begin{aligned} M_\varepsilon &\leq (\varepsilon + c) \sup_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon+\lambda(B)} \frac{\|B - \lambda I_d\|^{d-1}}{|\det(B - \lambda I_d)|} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon + c) \frac{\sup_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon+\lambda(B)} \|B - \lambda I_d\|^{d-1}}{\inf_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon+\lambda(B)} |\det(B - \lambda I_d)|} \leq (\varepsilon + c) \frac{\sup_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon+\lambda(B)} (|\lambda| + \|B\|)^{d-1}}{\varepsilon^d} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon + c) \frac{(\|B\| + \varepsilon + \lambda(B))^{d-1}}{\varepsilon^d} \leq (\varepsilon + c) \frac{(2\|B\| + \varepsilon)^{d-1}}{\varepsilon^d} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon + c) \frac{(2c + \varepsilon)^{d-1}}{\varepsilon^d}. \end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$D_\varepsilon(c) = (\varepsilon + c) \frac{(2c + \varepsilon)^{d-1}}{\varepsilon^d}$$

we get from (8) the inequality (7). □

Let us introduce the following definition.

Definition 2 We will say that a sequence $A = (A(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of d by d matrices or alternatively system (1) has a weak variation if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A(n+1) - A(n)\| = 0.$$

The next theorem contains the main result of this note.

Theorem 5 If system (1) has a weak variation, then

$$\omega(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln \mu(A(n)).$$

Proof. Let us fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and rewrite equation (1) in the following way

$$x(n+1) = A(k)x(n) + Q(n)x(n), \quad (9)$$

where

$$Q(n) = A(n) - A(k).$$

Moreover for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m < n$ denote

$$\delta_k(m, n) = \sup \{ \|A(i) - A(k)\| : i \in \mathbb{N}, n-1 \geq i \geq m \}.$$

According to variation of constants formula (4)

$$\Phi_A(m, n) = A^{m-n}(k) - \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} A^{m-j-1}(k) Q(j) \Phi_A(j, n) \quad (10)$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m < n$. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Taking the norm on both sides of the last identity and using the inequality (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_A(m, n)\| &\leq D_\varepsilon(a) \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon \right)^{n-m} \\ &\quad + \delta_k(m, n) D_\varepsilon(a) \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon \right)^{j+1-m} \|\Phi_A(j, n)\| \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon\right)^m \|\Phi_A(m, n)\| \leq D_\varepsilon(a) \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon\right)^n \\ & + \delta_k(m, n) D_\varepsilon(a) \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon\right) \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon\right)^j \|\Phi_A(j, n)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > m$. Applying the Gronwall's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon\right)^m \|\Phi_A(m, n)\| \\ & \leq D_\varepsilon(a) \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon\right)^n \left(1 + \delta_k(m, n) D_\varepsilon(a) \left(\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon\right)\right)^{m-n}, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n-m} \ln \|\Phi_A(m, n)\|^{-1} & \geq \frac{1}{n-m} \ln D_\varepsilon^{-1}(a) \\ & + \ln \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon} + \delta_k(m, n) D_\varepsilon(a) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > m$.

In particular for $m = k$ and $n = k + N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \ln \|\Phi_A(k, k + N)\|^{-1} & \geq \frac{1}{N} \ln D_\varepsilon^{-1}(a) \\ & + \ln \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon} + \delta_k(k, k + N) D_\varepsilon(a) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It is known (see e.g. [15]), that for any matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ we have

$$\lambda(X) \leq \|X\|$$

and if additionally X is non-singular, then

$$\mu^{-1}(X) = \lambda(X^{-1}).$$

This implies that

$$a \geq \mu(A(k)).$$

The last inequality yields to the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\mu^{-1}(A(k)) + \varepsilon} + \delta_k(k, k + N)D_\varepsilon(a) \\
 &= \frac{\mu(A(k))}{1 + \varepsilon\mu(A(k))} + \delta_k(k, k + N)D_\varepsilon(a) \\
 &= \mu(A(k)) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon\mu(A(k))} + \frac{\delta_k(k, k + N)D_\varepsilon(a)}{\mu(A(k))} \right) \\
 &\geq \mu(A(k)) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon a} + \frac{\delta_k(k, k + N)D_\varepsilon(a)}{a} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this estimate in (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{N} \ln \|\Phi_A(k, k + N)\|^{-1} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{N} \ln D_\varepsilon^{-1}(a) + \ln \left(\mu(A(k)) \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon a} + \frac{\delta_k(k, k + N)D_\varepsilon(a)}{a} \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \ln D_\varepsilon^{-1}(a) + \ln(\mu(A(k))) + \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon a} + \frac{\delta_k(k, k + N)D_\varepsilon(a)}{a} \right). \quad (12)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence A has a weak variation, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_k(k, k + N) = 0 \quad (13)$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore passing to the lower limit when k tends to infinity in (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \|\Phi_A(k, k + N)\|^{-1} &\geq \frac{1}{N} \ln D_\varepsilon^{-1}(a) \\
 &+ \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ln(\mu(A(k))) + \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon a} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit when N tends to infinity in the last inequality and using (5) we get

$$\omega(A) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ln(\mu(A(k))) + \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon a} \right).$$

Due to the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain the inequality

$$\omega(A) \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ln(\mu(A(k))). \quad (14)$$

Now we will prove the opposite inequality. Let us fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider again equation (1) in the form (9). Using (10) we have

$$A^{m-n}(k) = \Phi_A(m, n) + \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} A^{m-j-1}(k)Q(j)\Phi_A(j, n)$$

$$\Phi_A(m, n) \left(I_d + \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \Phi_A^{-1}(m, n)A^{m-j-1}(k)Q(j)\Phi_A(j, n) \right).$$

Therefore

$$\|A^{m-n}(k)\| \leq \|\Phi_A(m, n)\| \left(1 + a^{2(n-m)}\delta_k(m, n) \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \|A^{m-j-1}(k)\| \right)$$

and

$$\|\Phi_A(m, n)\|^{-1} \leq \|A^{m-n}(k)\|^{-1} \left(1 + a^{2(n-m)}\delta_k(m, n) \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \|A^{-1}(k)\|^{j+1-m} \right)$$

$$\leq \|A^{m-n}(k)\|^{-1} \left(1 + a^{2(n-m)}\delta_k(m, n) \frac{a^{n+1} - a^{m+1}}{a^{m+1} - a^m} \right).$$

It is known (see e.g. [15]), that for any non-singular matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ we have

$$\|X^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq \mu(X),$$

therefore the last inequality implies that

$$\|\Phi_A(m, n)\|^{-1} \leq \mu^{n-m}(A(k)) \left(1 + a^{2(n-m)}\delta_k(m, n) \frac{a^{n+1} - a^{m+1}}{a^{m+1} - a^m} \right)$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq m$. Taking $m = k$ and $n = k + N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N > 0$ we obtain

$$\|\Phi_A(k, k + N)\|^{-1} \leq \mu^N(A(k)) \left(1 + a^{2N}\delta_k(k, k + N) \frac{a^{N+1} - a}{a - 1} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N} \ln \|\Phi_A(k, k + N)\|^{-1} \leq \ln(\mu(A(k))) + \ln \left(1 + a^{2N}\delta_k(k, k + N) \frac{a^{N+1} - a}{a - 1} \right)$$

Passing to the lower limit when k tends infinity and taking into account (13) we obtain

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \|\Phi_A(k, k + N)\|^{-1} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ln(\mu(A(k)))$$

Finally, passing to the limit when N tends to infinity in the last inequality and using (5) we get

$$\omega(A) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ln(\mu(A(k))). \quad (15)$$

From (14) and (15) we obtain the conclusion of the theorem. \square

Considering the last theorem and Theorem 1 we express the following result.

Theorem 6 *System (1) with a weak variation is uniformly asymptotically unstable if and only if*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln \mu(A(n)) > 0.$$

Now we will illustrate the obtained result on an example of the analysis of uniform exponential instability.

Example 1 Consider two dimensional system (1) with

$$A(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & p_n \\ 1 & a \end{bmatrix},$$

where the bounded sequence $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers has a weak variation. Under this assumption about $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence $(A(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and has a weak variation. Suppose also that

$$\frac{a}{3} > \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n. \quad (16)$$

Since $\det A(n) = \frac{1}{3}a - p_n$, then (16) implies that matrices $A(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are invertible and the sequence $(A^{-1}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Moreover

$$\mu(A(n)) = \frac{1}{2}a - \sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{2} - \frac{1}{6}\right)^2 + p_n} + \frac{1}{6}$$

and by Theorem 5

$$\omega(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln \lambda(A(n)) = \ln \left(\frac{1}{2}a - \sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{2} - \frac{1}{6}\right)^2 + \underline{p}} + \frac{1}{6} \right),$$

where

$$\underline{p} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n.$$

From Theorem 6 it follows that the considered system is uniformly asymptotically unstable if and only if

$$a < -\frac{3}{2}\underline{p} + 1.$$

3. Conclusion

In this note, we showed that the lower Bohl exponent of discrete systems with a weak variation is equal to the lower limit of the logarithms of the minimal absolute value of the eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix. From this theorem it follows a necessary and sufficient condition for uniform exponential instability given in terms of eigenvalues of coefficient matrices. The obtained results are illustrated on a numerical example.

References

- [1] R.P. AGARWAL: *Difference Equations and Inequalities. Theory, Methods, and Applications*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [2] P.T. ANH, A. BABIARZ, A. CZORNIK and T.S. DOAN: Proportional local assignability of the dichotomy spectrum of one-sided discrete time-varying linear systems. *SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization*, accepted for publication.
- [3] B. AULBACH and S. SIEGMUND: The dichotomy spectrum for noninvertible systems of linear difference equations. *Journal of Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, **7**(6),(2001), 895–913.
- [4] A. BABIARZ, I. BANSCHIKOVA, A. CZORNIK, E. MAKAROV, M. NIEZABITOWSKI and S. POPOVA: Necessary and sufficient conditions for assignability of the Lyapunov spectrum of discrete linear time-varying systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **63**(11), (2018), 3825–3837. DOI: [10.1109/TAC.2018.2823086](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2018.2823086).
- [5] A. BABIARZ, E. BARABANOV, A. CZORNIK, A. KONYUKH, M. NIEZABITOWSKI and A. VAIDZELEVICH: Relations between Bohl and general exponents. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, **37**(10), (2017), 5319–5335. DOI: [10.3934/dcds.2017231](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2017231).
- [6] E.A. BARABANOV and A.V. KONYUKH: Bohl exponents of linear differential systems, *Memoirs on Differential equations and Mathematical Physics*, **24**, (2001), 151–158.
- [7] P. BOHL: Uber Differentialgleichungen. *Journal fur Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, **1914**(144), (1914), 284–313. DOI: [10.1515/crll.1914.144.284](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1914.144.284).
- [8] D. CABADA, K. GARCIA, C. GUEVARA and H. LEIVA: Controllability of time varying semilinear non-instantaneous impulsive systems with delay, and

- nonlocal conditions. *Archives of Control Sciences*, **32**(2), (2022), 335–357. DOI: [10.24425/acs.2022.141715](https://doi.org/10.24425/acs.2022.141715).
- [9] A. CZORNIK: *Perturbation Theory for Lyapunov Exponents of Discrete Linear Systems*. Wydawnictwa AGH, Kraków 2012.
- [10] A. CZORNIK and M. NIEZABITOWSKI: Alternative formulae for lower general exponent of discrete linear time-varying systems. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, **352**(1), (2015), 399–419. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfranklin.2014.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2014.11.003).
- [11] J. DALECKII and M.G. KREIN: *Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1974. DOI: [10.1090/mmono/043](https://doi.org/10.1090/mmono/043).
- [12] C. DESOER: Slowly varying discrete system $x_{i+1}=a_ix_i$. *Electronics Letters*, **6**(11), (1970), 339–340. DOI: [10.1002/rnc.2835](https://doi.org/10.1002/rnc.2835).
- [13] M.I. GIL: *Difference Equations in Normed Spaces. Stability and Oscillations*. North-Holland, Mathematics Studies, **206** Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007.
- [14] D. HINRICHSSEN and A.J. PRICHARD: *Mathematical Systems Theory I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [15] R. HORN and C. JOHNSON: *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 1988. DOI: [10.1017/CBO9780511810817](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511810817).
- [16] N.A. IZOBOV: *Lyapunov Exponents and Stability*. Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cambridge, 2012.
- [17] EL M. MAGRI, C. AMISSI, L. AFIFI and M. LHOUS: On the minimum energy compensation for linear time-varying disturbed systems. *Archives of Control Sciences*, **32**(4), (2022), 733–754. DOI: [10.24425/acs.2022.143669](https://doi.org/10.24425/acs.2022.143669).
- [18] V.M. MILLIONSCHIKOV: On the spectral theory of nonautonomous linear systems of differential equations. *Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva*, **18** (1968), 147–186, (in Russian).
- [19] V.M. MILLIONSHCHIKOV: The instability of the singular exponents and the non-symmetry of the relation of reducibility of linear systems of differential equations. *Differentsialnye Uravneniya*, **5**(4), (1969), 749–750 (in Russian). DOI: [10.1007/s10958-015-2551-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-015-2551-x).
- [20] M.RACHIK, M.LHOUS and A.TRIDANE: On the asymptotic stability of non-linear discrete systems. *Archives of Control Sciences*, **10**(3-4), (2000), 125–140.