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Abstract

The Helmholtz coil constant \( k_h \) is a crucial standard in magnetic moment measurement devices for permanent magnet materials. To overcome the problem of low accuracy of the direct-current (DC) calibration method, this study used a constant sinusoidal current in the Helmholtz coil and measured the induced voltage of the detection coil with known coil turns and coil area. Subsequently, the \( k_h \) was calculated. The noise signal deduction rate in the induction voltage of the detection coil was greater than 99%, its influence on the induction voltage is less than 0.005%, and the repeatability of the calibration results is 0.003% (1σ). The results reveal that the alternating current (AC) method and orthogonal calculation (OC) can accurately measure the valid values of the voltage signal under the influence of the spatial stray field during the calibration of \( k_h \).
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1. Introduction

The Helmholtz coil is a key device for the magnetic moment metrology of permanent magnet materials [1–4]. It is a pair of circular coils connected in series in the same direction to generate a uniform magnetic field of low intensity and large range [5–10]. Generally, the ratio of magnetic flux to \( k_h \) determines the basic standard of magnetic moment measurement of permanent-magnet materials.

The theoretical formula for \( k_h \) is the induced current flowing through the coil divided by the magnetic field strength at the centre of the coil. The induced current can be measured precisely. Therefore, we must use a magnetic field sensor to accurately measure the magnetic field strength at the centre of the coil to calculate \( k_h \) [11]. However, the conventional method of \( k_h \) calibration involves passing a constant DC current through a Helmholtz coil, measuring the magnetic field at the centre of the coil with a magnetometer, and calculating the coil constant from the measured values of the magnetic field and current [12]. However, the disadvantage of such methods is that the
magnetic field generated by the Helmholtz coil is approximately 10–100 Gs at the allowed current. The geomagnetic field, stray field, and zero point of the measuring instrument have a significant impact on the measurement results [13–17], and the uncertainty of the coil constant calibration results is approximately 0.3% [18]. Therefore, instead of directly measuring the magnetic-field strength at the centre of the coil, the coil constant can be calculated indirectly by measuring the induced voltage at the centre of the coil.

Orthogonal computation has been used to model low-dimensional dynamic applications in engineering and science [19–23]. It is accomplished by establishing the corresponding basis functions via orthogonal decomposition of the experiments or data of the physical system, followed by analysing the effects of the basic functions on the physical system and making the corresponding compensations to improve the entire physical model.

In this study, we propose a calibration method for the $k_h$ test and measurement system based on the AC method and develop the corresponding calibration method. The calibration of $k_h$ is achieved by passing an AC through the Helmholtz coil and measuring the AC coil constant at a certain frequency. The valid values of the voltage signal are accurately measured under the interference of external environments, such as the spatial stray field. Also the error factors affecting the experimental results are analysed.

2. Calibration methods and principles of calibration stand

2.1. Calibration method

The calibration method involves placing a detection coil with a known number of turns and area in the centre of the Helmholtz coil and then passing a constant sinusoidal current through the Helmholtz coil to calculate the Helmholtz coil constant by measuring the induced voltage, sinusoidal current, and frequency. The calculation formulas are expressed as follows:

\[ U_{\text{rms}} = 2\pi f \cdot NS \cdot \mu_0 \cdot k_h \cdot I_{\text{rms}}, \]  
\[ k_h = \frac{U_{\text{rms}}}{2\pi f \cdot NS \cdot \mu_0 \cdot I_{\text{rms}}}, \]

where $U_{\text{rms}}$ denotes the valid value of the induced voltage of the detection coil, $f$ denotes the signal source frequency, $N$ indicates the number of detection coil turns, $S$ symbolizes the area of the detection coil, $\mu_0$ symbolizes the vacuum magnetic permeability, and $I_{\text{rms}}$ indicates the valid value of the excitation current.

The direct measurement of the valid value of the induced voltage causes a large error in the calibration results because the induced voltage of the detection coil contains both useful and stray field signals. Therefore, the stray signals in the induced voltage should be removed by using the quadrature principle to calculate the active and reactive powers of the induced voltage; thus, the valid value of the induced voltage can be accurately determined. The calculation formulas are expressed as follows:

\[ P = U_{\text{rms}} \cdot I_{\text{rms}} \cos \varphi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i \cdot I_i, \]  
\[ Q = U_{\text{rms}} \cdot I_{\text{rms}} \sin \varphi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i \cdot I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \]
where $P$ denotes active power, $Q$ symbolizes the reactive power, $\varphi$ indicates the phase angle, $U_i$ indicates the voltage at $i$ point, $I_i$ indicates the current at $i$ point, $I_{i+n/4}$ is the current at the $i + n/4$ point. Active power was calculated for each point on the real curve using a digital power meter. However, reactive power cannot be calculated in this manner because the instrument is limited in its scope. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the cycle points are enhanced as the frequency decreases, collected at multiples of five. Thus, the sampling points in a cycle cannot be exactly divided into four, and the shift cannot be accurately controlled at $90^\circ$. Thus, $P$ and $Q$ cannot be calculated using the orthogonal principle.

A novel method to solve this problem is to translate the collected current points into two different phases. Considering a 50 Hz, 50.505 kSa/s sampling rate as an example, the measurement principle is as follows:
1. Move 100 points of the collected current data points and multiply them point-by-point with the voltage points to obtain $P'$;
2. Move 300 points of the collected current data points and multiply them point-by-point with the voltage points again to obtain $Q'$. In other words, there is a phase difference of 200 points between them (the phase difference can be set arbitrarily). The calculation formulas are expressed as follows:

\[ P' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} U_i I_{i+100} = UI \cos \varphi, \]
\[ Q' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} U_i I_{i+300} = UI \cos (\varphi + \varphi_{200}). \]

According to the trigonometric sum and difference formula, (7) is expanded and expressed as:

\[ Q' = UI \cos(\varphi + \varphi_{200}) = UI \cos \varphi \cos \varphi_{200} - UI \sin \varphi \sin \varphi_{200}. \]
\[ \frac{Q' - \cos \varphi_{200} P'}{\sin \varphi_{200}} = -U I \sin \varphi, \quad (9) \]

where \( \sin \varphi_{200} \) and \( \cos \varphi_{200} \) are constants. Next both sides of (6) and (9), respectively are squared, and then the sum added to obtain (10).

\[ P^2 + \left( \frac{Q' - \cos \varphi_{200} P'}{\sin \varphi_{200}} \right)^2 = U^2 I^2. \quad (10) \]

In addition, the discrete method of the current calculation formula can be expressed as follows.

\[ I = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} I_i^2}. \quad (11) \]

From (10) and (11), the valid value of the induced voltage can be accurately obtained to cancel the external interfering signals to the maximum extent possible. This indicates that accurate measurement of low-voltage signals can be achieved using the OC. In other words, the induction voltage obtained from the measurement is consistent with the frequency of the excitation current signal, whereas interference signals, such as external radio signals of different frequencies and industrial frequency power signals are filtered out. Table 1 lists the induction voltage measurement results after adopting the orthogonal principle at different phase angles. The deviation of the calculation results at different phase angles was \( \pm 0.001\% \), which illustrates the accuracy and effectiveness of the algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial angle (°)</th>
<th>Phase difference (°)</th>
<th>Voltage measurement results (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>0.150135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>35.64</td>
<td>0.150137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>0.150137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>59.40</td>
<td>0.150137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>71.28</td>
<td>0.150138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>83.16</td>
<td>0.150138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>0.150137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>0.150137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.28</td>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>0.150137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.16</td>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>0.150137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2. Principles of calibration stand

The \( k_h \) calibration stand, as illustrated in Fig. 2, consists of a Helmholtz coil, arbitrary waveform generator, power amplifier, and digital power meter. The power amplifier amplifies this signal to a suitable intensity to provide Helmholtz coil AC excitation. Under the excitation of an alternating magnetic field, the detection coil placed in the central uniform area of the Helmholtz coil generates an induced voltage signal which is sent to the voltage sensor end of the digital power meter for accurate voltage measurement.
The arbitrary waveform generator used is an Agilent 33500B, which provides a signal source for a high-stability power amplifier with a total harmonic distortion of 0.04%. The power meter used is an LMG610 which measures the induced voltage of a small coil and the excitation current with high accuracy. The LMG610 power meter has a \( U_{\text{sensor}} \) mode which can directly measure the induced voltage of the small coil. The \( U_{\text{sensor}} \) input voltage range is 0–4 V, and the maximum allowable error is \( \pm (0.01\% \text{ reading} + 0.02\% \text{ full scale}) \). The high-stability power amplifier used is an AE Techron 7548P, which provides a stable current for calibrating the Helmholtz coil. The maximum output power is 3300 W RMS, and the output frequency is DC – 200 kHz, with a DC drift of \( \pm 200 \mu \text{V} \). Prior to the measurement, these devices were calibrated and their uncertainty was analysed to meet the requirements of measurement accuracy.

As illustrated in Figs. 3a and 3b, the design of the Helmholtz coils follows the criteria of identical shape, coaxial placement, equal number of turns, equal resistance, same magnitude and direction of the load current, coil spacing equal to the radius, and parallelism between the two coils.

When calibrating the Helmholtz coil using an induction coil, it is necessary to not only ensure that they are parallel to each other through mechanical design, but also to find the position of the maximum induced voltage signal through fine adjustment of the induction coil. This is necessary to ensure that the induction coil and the Helmholtz coil are parallel to each other and achieve the...
lowest calibration uncertainty. As shown in Fig. 4, we have designed an angle adjustment function for the induction coil which is mounted on a fixed shaft. The left side of the fixed shaft is spherical and connected to the fixed bracket and the clamping cover, respectively. During calibration, the fixed shaft is finely rotated around its centre point, and when the position of the maximum induced voltage signal of the induction coil is found, the clamping cover screw can be tightened. At this point, the induction coil and the Helmholtz coil are parallel to each other. Fig. 5 shows a physical image of the Helmholtz coil.

![Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of gimbal-adjusted spatial positioning of the induction coil.](image)

![Fig. 5. Physical image of the Helmholtz coil.](image)

3. Helmholtz coil calibration test

3.1. Elimination of voltage background noise signal with the orthogonal calculation method

Figure 6 illustrates the elimination of the background noise signal of the induction voltage in the detection coil after using the quadrature calculation method at different frequencies.

Based on the measurement results, except for the induction voltage noise signal in the detection coil at 40 Hz, which reaches 3.3 mV, the induction voltage noise signal in the detection coil at other frequencies is approximately 1.8 mV. This is because during the experiment with a 40 Hz excitation current, short-term interference signals suddenly occurred around it, such as nearby
instruments suddenly starting up or phones ringing. These signals vary in duration, amplitude, and occurrence time, and are not specific to the 40 Hz frequency, and may also occur at other frequencies in the same experiment. Upon using the OC method, the background noise signal was reduced to approximately 0.008 mV and the deduction rate of the background noise reached 99.6%. At different frequencies, the background noise of the induction voltage of the detection coil obtained using the OC method is significantly reduced compared to the untreated background noise; in other words, most of the useless interference signals are effectively deducted, and the influence of the background noise on the voltage measurement is reduced to within 0.005% following the use of the OC method.

Table 2 lists the elimination of the background signal of the induction voltage of the detection coil using the quadrature principle at the same frequency. The background noise of the induction voltage signal of the detection coil was measured six times at 60 Hz, and the background noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency / Hz</th>
<th>Background noise / mV</th>
<th>Orthogonal calculation background noise / mV</th>
<th>Background noise deduction rate</th>
<th>Calibration Voltage / mV</th>
<th>Effect of background noise on voltage measurement after orthogonal computation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>99.78%</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>0.0023%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>99.77%</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>0.0023%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>99.83%</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>0.0017%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>99.83%</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>0.0017%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>99.77%</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>0.0023%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>99.77%</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>0.0023%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was measured after using the OC method. It is evident that the background noise of the induction voltage obtained after the detection coil at 60 Hz reaches 1.76 mV on average. On average, the background noise of the induction voltage obtained after the OC is reduced to 0.0037 mV, and the background noise deduction rate of the induction voltage exceeds 99.7%. The influence of the background noise on the induced voltage after using the OC is reduced to less than 0.003%. The measurement results show that by using the OC method, the background noise can be reduced to an extremely low level, and the measurement repeatability remains almost consistent.

3.2. Variation of the Helmholtz coil constant with frequency

Table 3 illustrates the relationship between the variation in $k_h$ and frequency where the vertical coordinate data are normalized. As shown in the table, $k_h$ increases rapidly with frequency when the frequency is higher than 1000 Hz, whereas within 1000 Hz, the change in $k_h$ with increasing frequency is less than 0.1%, and in the range of 5–100 Hz, the change is less than ±0.02%. This range of variation is equivalent to the voltage measurement accuracy of a digital power meter. Hence, by reducing the signal frequency of the sinusoidal AC to below 100 Hz during the calibration of $k_h$, the coil constant is considered not to change with the increase in frequency.

Table 3. Trends of Helmholtz coil constants with frequency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency / Hz</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normalization</td>
<td>1.00002</td>
<td>1.00002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.99992</td>
<td>0.99985</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency / Hz</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalization</td>
<td>0.99978</td>
<td>0.99976</td>
<td>0.99982</td>
<td>0.99999</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0019</td>
<td>1.0028</td>
<td>1.00595</td>
<td>1.00721</td>
<td>1.0149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Repeatability of Helmholtz coil constant measurements

Figure 7 presents the results of the $k_h$ repeatability measurements after using the OC method. Ten measurements were performed on the Helmholtz coil using the same probe coil, after which the probe coil was removed from the calibrated Helmholtz coil and returned. The results of the
ten measurements reveal that the average value of the induced voltage of the probe coil is 0.16 V, and the measurement repeatability of $k_h$ is 0.003% (expressed as the standard deviation).

4. Uncertainty analysis of Helmholtz coil constant AC method calibration

4.1. Uncertainty introduced by measurement repeatability ($u_A$)

As a Class A uncertainty, $u_A$ covers random differences in different testers, concave coil placement, etc. As previously mentioned, the $k_h$ measurement repeatability was 0.003% (16), and the distribution law was normal distribution.

4.2. Uncertainty introduced by the influence of the instrumentation used for calibration on the measurement results ($u_B$)

As a type B uncertainty, $u_B$ covers the influence of the uncertainty introduced by instrumental measurement and the distribution law was normal distribution. The power meter was set to the $U_{\text{sensor}}$ mode with a frequency of 60 Hz, and then the 0.17 V point was calibrated. Following the calibration, the absolute value of the average voltage measurement error was 0.02% and the calibration uncertainty was 0.02%. The input impedance of the power meter was 100 kΩ, and the resistance of the detection coil was 350 Ω; thus, the voltage division effect of the detection coil resistance is approximately 0.35%. The frequency of the port input signal was measured using a power meter with an accuracy of ±50 ppm, which is a normal distribution. The power meter was set to the current mode with a frequency of 60 Hz, and then the 0.4 A point was calibrated. Following the calibration, the absolute value of the average current measurement error was 0.025% and the calibration uncertainty was 0.01%.

As mentioned above, the measurement uncertainty caused by the background noise is 0.005%, and within the range of 5–100 Hz, the coil constant is stable within ±0.02%, which is equivalent to the voltage measurement accuracy of the power meter. Ambient temperature also affected the winding area of the Helmholtz coil. The expansion coefficient of copper wire is $16.56 \times 10^{-6}\,\text{C}$, and the expansion coefficient of Plexiglass is $60 \times 10^{-6}\,\text{C}$. Owing to the uncertainty of temperature measurement, which is $0.3\,\text{C}$, the change in Helmholtz coil diameter is 0.002%.

4.3. Synthetic uncertainty analysis

The uncertainty analysis of the Helmholtz coil constant calibration according to the JJF 1059-2012 Measurement uncertainty assessment and representation is listed in Table 4 and the aforementioned uncertainty components are summarized in the table. The table covers the uncertainty sources, index of uncertainty sources, input uncertainty values, distribution type, divisor, sensitivity factor, standard uncertainty ($σ$), and effective degrees of freedom ($ν_{\text{eff}}$). The relative extended uncertainty of the Helmholtz coil constant calibration was calculated to be 1% ($k = 2$) using (12).

$$
\left[ \frac{u_x(y)}{y} \right]^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ p_i \frac{u(x_i)}{x_i} \right]^2,
$$

where $y$ denotes the output estimate, $u_x(y)$ indicates the synthetic standard uncertainty of $y$, $p$ denotes the probability, $x_i$ indicates the input estimate, and $u(x_i)$ represents the synthetic standard uncertainty of $x$. 
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Table 4. Summary of $k_h$ calibration uncertainty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertainty sources</th>
<th>Value ±(%)</th>
<th>Divisor</th>
<th>$u_1$ ±(%)</th>
<th>$v_{eff}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement accuracy on the $U_{sensor}$ side of the digital power meter</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detecting the effect of voltage division of coil resistance</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency measurement accuracy of digital power meters</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{rms}$ measurement accuracy of digital power meters</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of background noise</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency of measurement results at different frequencies</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field stability of Helmholtz coils</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of Helmholtz coil uniformity zone on calibration</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of the perpendicularity of the coil plane to the Helmholtz coil axis</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of ambient temperature on calibration</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement repeatability</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Synthetic Uncertainty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Uncertainty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$k = 2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

Considering the key role of the Helmholtz coil in permanent magnetic moment measurement and the defects of DC method calibration, this paper proposes an AC calibration method for the Helmholtz coil constant, designs, and establishes a AC method calibration stand and calibration scheme for the Helmholtz coil. Moreover, a traceability system is established, the sources of uncertainty of the calibration system are analysed, and the relative extended uncertainty of the calibration results is evaluated.

1. Using the orthogonal calculation principle to calibrate $k_h$ at different signal frequencies, the deduction rate of the external noise signal reached 99.6%, and its impact on the induction voltage of the detection coil was reduced to within 0.005%. The calibration $k_h$ at a fixed frequency of 60 Hz, the deduction rate of the background noise signal surpassed 99.7%, and its impact on the induction voltage of the detection coil was reduced to 0.003%.
2. When the signal frequency was between 5 and 100 Hz, the calibration result of $k_h$ in the AC state did not vary by more than 0.02%.
3. Upon using the AC method and orthogonal principle to calibrate $k_h$, the repeatability of the calibration results was 0.003% ($1\delta$), and the relative extended uncertainty was 1% ($k = 2$).
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