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## Abstract

Building heating is an indispensable part of people's winter life in cold regions, but energy conservation and emission reduction should also be taken into account during the heating process. This paper provides a concise overview of the heating system based on air-source heat pump radiant floor and its control strategy. It also optimizes a control system based on thermal comfort and energy efficiency ratio, and analyzes a room in Xining City, Qinghai Province, to test the heating system performance under two control strategies. The final results show that under the traditional control strategy, the cumulative working time of the heating system within a day was 15 hours, the average indoor temperature was 17.36°C, the temperature standard deviation was 2.08°C, and the average power consumption was 189.6 kWh. Under the improved control strategy, the cumulative working time of the heating system within a day was reduced to 10 hours, the average indoor temperature was 18.56°C, the temperature standard deviation was 0.92°C, and the average power consumption was 132.5 kWh.
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1. **Introduction**

With the swift progress of the economy and the enhancement of individuals' quality of life, building heating has become an indispensable part of life in cold regions during winter [1]. However, the traditional heating method mainly relies on fossil fuels such as coal and fuel oil, which has a significant negative impact on the environment [2]. The substantial carbon dioxide emissions not only exacerbate global warming but also directly threaten human health. Therefore, optimizing the heating systems in buildings located in cold regions to reduce energy consumption and environmental pollution has become one of the urgent challenges in today's society [3]. Moreover, with the increasing awareness of energy saving and environmental protection, precise control of heating systems and maximizing energy utilization can be achieved through the introduction of intelligent control systems and the utilization of renewable energy sources. Katić et al. [4] introduced a machine learning approach to develop predictive models for managing an individualized heating system. The experiments revealed that the participants experienced consistent comfort levels during the trial, and they expressed contentment with the automated regulation. Gupta et al. [5] proposed a heating controller based on deep reinforcement learning, aiming to enhance occupants' thermal comfort and minimize energy costs in smart buildings. Additionally, Kaminska [6] examined the influence of occupant behaviour on...
energy usage in existing public buildings in Poland and conducted an experimental evaluation. They found that occupants perceived a broad range of temperature settings as thermally comfortable and a 1°C reduction in the temperature set point could result in energy savings of about 5%. This paper briefly introduced a heating system based on an air-source heat pump radiant floor and its control strategy. It adopted thermal comfort and energy efficiency ratio as the basis for optimizing the control. Furthermore, a case study of a room in Xining City, Qinghai Province, was carried out to test the heating performance of the heating system under two control strategies.

2. Heating system optimization based on energy-saving control

2.1. Heating system based on air-source heat pump floor radiation

A building heating system is a facility and equipment used to provide heat to a building during the cold season. It mainly comprises components such as heating equipment, heat transfer medium, heating pipe network, and a control system [7]. The heating equipment serves as the core component responsible for supplying heat, while the heat transfer medium facilitates the effective transfer of heat energy from the heating equipment to all areas of the building through circulating flow. The heating pipe network forms the network system for transmitting and distributing the heat medium within the building heating system. Finally, the control system assumes a crucial role within the heating system, which provides effective temperature control of indoor temperature by controlling the heating equipment, heat transfer medium, and heating pipe network. This control system plays a pivotal role in realizing the overall heating system’s control strategy.

The heating equipment heat source can be categorized into three types: solar heat source, air heat source and geothermal heat source. Among them, the solar heat source is relatively more energy-saving and environmentally friendly; however, its dependency on sunlight makes it less stable for heating. Alt-
The structure of the radiant floor is presented in Fig. 1, showcasing a hierarchical composition comprising multiple layers positioned in a top-to-bottom sequence. The first layer is the floor layer, which serves as a decorative structural layer and can be made of materials such as wood or tiles, possessing heat transfer properties. Moreover, the materials have a certain level of heat resistance [13] and do not release harmful substances to the human body when subjected to heat. The second layer is the buried pipe layer, primarily consisting of concrete made from crushed stone. This layer serves as the bed for laying the plastic pipes used for heat transfer. Typically, these plastic pipes are positioned at the lowermost part of the buried pipe layer to optimize the upward conduction of heat. Next, there is the benzene board insulation layer, which provides excellent heat insulation properties, preventing the downward transfer of heat. The final layer is the floor slab layer, acting as the supporting surface of the room.

2.2. Optimization of control strategies for heating systems

To optimize the energy-saving effect of the heating system during operation, in addition to adjusting the structural composition of the heating system [14], energy conservation and emission reduction can also be achieved through the optimization of the system control strategy. The traditional control strategy for an air-source heat pump radiant floor heating system is relatively straightforward in principle. It treats the evaporator, compressor, condenser, throttle valve [15] and water pump as components of a unified air-source heat pump. The control strategy involves maintaining the heat pump workload by monitoring the difference between the return water temperature of the heat pump and the desired temperature. Specifically, when the return water temperature surpasses the maximum set value, measures are taken to decrease the heat pump workload such as reducing the operation of the water pump or compressor and closing off the throttle valve. On the other hand, when the temperature of the returning water drops below the predetermined minimum threshold [16], the heat pump’s workload is increased by elevating the workload of the water pump or compressor and opening the throttle valve.

The traditional control strategy, though relatively simple in principle and implementation, may not be suitable for effectively controlling the radiant floor heating system owing to the thermal inertia exhibited by both the floor and the building envelope. The real-time change in indoor temperature cannot be accurately reflected by the variation in return water temperature. Consequently, there may be situations where the indoor temperature is already adequate, yet heat transfer persists due to a lack of corresponding adjustment in the return water temperature. This situation can lead to both heat wastage and discomfort for occupants as indoor temperatures continue to rise [17]. When controlling and regulating the heating system, it is essential to take into account not just the energy-saving effectiveness but also the comfort of the inhabitants. Therefore, in this paper, the control strategy is optimized from two perspectives: the energy efficiency of the heating system and thermal comfort. The optimized control strategy is depicted in Fig. 2.

According to this:
1. Various sensors installed in the room are utilized to collect information about the room, including room temperature, relative humidity and the number of people in the room.
2. When no one is indoors, the heat provided by the air conditioner is equivalent to waste [18], so first determine whether the heating system is on according to whether there is someone indoors (if there is someone indoors, turn on the system and go to the next step; if there is no one indoors, turn off the system and go back to step 1).
3. The indoor environmental data collected by the sensors are utilized to calculate the indoor thermal comfort [19]. The corresponding formula is:

\[
PMV = (0.303e^{-0.036M} + 0.028)(M - W) - 3.05 \times 10^{-3}(5733 - 6.99(M - W) - P_a) + 0.42(M - W) - 5815 - 1.7 \times 10^{-5}M(5867 - P_a) - 0.0014M(34 - t_a) - R - C
\]

\[
R = \varepsilon f_c h_{eff} \sigma (T_{cl} - \bar{T}_r)
\]

\[
C = f_c h_{cl} (t_{cl} - t_a)
\]

where: \(PMV\) represents the average thermal comfort, \(M\) represents the heat power emitted at the surface by the body due to metabolism, \(W\) represents the heat power emitted at the surface by the body due to work, \(P_a\) represents the partial pressure of steam in the air, which is associated with the relative humidity, \(t_a\) is the average temperature of the air, \(R\) represents the quantity of radiant heat transfer between individual and their surroundings, \(C\) represents the quantity of convective heat transfer between the individual and their surroundings, \(\varepsilon\) is the human body surface heat transfer rate, \(f_c\) denotes the clothing coefficient of the human body, \(f_{eff}\) is the correction coefficient of the body movement, \(\sigma\) represents the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \(T_{cl}\) is the absolute temperature on the body surface, \(\bar{T}_r\) is the average absolute temper
comfort is less than 0.3, the in-door thermal comfort is greater than 0.3 is judged. If it is greater than 0.3, it means that the indoor temperature is too hot for the body to feel uncomfortable, then stop the heat pump and return to step 1; otherwise, go to the next step.

5. Whether the indoor thermal comfort is less than –0.3 is judged. If it is less than –0.3, it means that the indoor temperature is low and makes people feel uncomfortable, then turn on the heat pump and go back to step 1; on the contrary, go to the next step.

6. When the thermal comfort is between –0.3 and 0.3, the indoor temperature is in line with the human comfort feeling. At this time, it is necessary to make the heating system operate with the minimum waste of energy. This paper adopts COP to measure the energy efficiency ratio of the heating system, which is the ratio of the output heat to the input energy. The larger the value, the less the energy is wasted. The specific formula is:

\[ \text{COP} = \frac{Q_h}{P} \]  
\[ Q_h = \frac{c_p \rho G (t_{out} - t_{in})}{3600} \]

where: \( P \) is the power of the input energy, \( Q_h \) is the output heat of the air source heat pump, \( c_p \) is the specific heat capacity of the water being circulated by the pump between the condenser and the radiant floor, \( \rho \) is the density of the circulating water, \( G \) is the volumetric flow rate of the circulating water, \( t_{out} \) stands for the temperature of the outgoing water from the condenser flowing to the radiant floor, and \( t_{in} \) is the temperature of the incoming water from the radiant floor flowing back to the condenser. The heating system operates at the maximum COP as its goal. Finally, it returns to step 1.

3. Example analysis

3.1. Objects of analysis

The room tested is located in Xining City, which is the capital city of Qinghai Province. The climate of Xining City is influenced by the Tibetan Plateau and the Asian monsoon, showing a typical plateau climate. The local summer is relatively short and mild, while the winter is long, from November to March. Winter temperatures are low, usually around 0°C during the day and dropping below –10°C at night, often accompanied by snowfall. Due to the influence of the Tibetan Plateau, Xining City has a large daily difference in temperature and a significant difference between daytime and nighttime temperatures, and can be considered a cold region.

The basic structure of the room used for the test is illustrated in Fig. 3, which is divided into a large and a small area. The large area is 6.0 × 3.5 m, and the small area is 2.0 × 1.5 m. The wall-to-window ratio is 0.3. Walls have a heat transfer coefficient of 0.45 W/(m² K). The roof has a heat transfer coefficient of 0.25 W/(m² K).

3.2. Equipment parameters

Air-source heat pump related parameters: the rated input power of the compressor was equal to 2.5 kW, with a displacement of 46.5 cm³ and a refrigerant dosage of 2 kg. The evaporator was of the finned type, and its copper tube had an outer diameter of 9.52 mm, a thickness of 0.5 mm, and a length of 5 m. The rated input power for the fan was 90 W. The expansion valve was an externally balanced valve. The condenser was a pipe heat exchanger, and its outer seamless tube had a diameter of 35 mm and a thickness of 1.5 mm. The inner tube was made of smooth copper and has an outer diameter of 31 mm, a thickness of 0.8 mm, and a length of 4.2 m.

Water pump-related parameters: the water tank was of the pressure-resistant type with a capacity of 0.2 m³; the water pump was centrifugal with a rated power of 320 W, a maximum flow rate of 9 m³/h, and a head of 5 m.

Radiant floor: the floor slab layer is 120 mm thick and has a thermal conductivity of 1.75 W/(m·K); the benzene board insulation layer is 13 mm thick and has a thermal conductivity of 0.05 W/(m·K); the buried pipe layer is 28 mm thick and has a thermal conductivity of 0.94 W/(m·K); the floor layer is 18 mm thick and has a thermal conductivity of 0.94 W/(m·K); the buried pipe layer contains heat-conducting water pipes composed of crosslinked polyethylene material, with a water pipe diameter measuring 20 mm.

3.3. Test methods

Firstly, temperature and humidity sensors were installed on the walls around the room at intervals of 0.6 m both vertically and horizontally, totalling 45 sensors, and their data were intercommunicated with the data of the heat pump host. The traditional control strategy was also tested in this test to further validate the enhanced control strategy optimization capabilities.

The traditional control strategy is as follows. The outlet water temperature \( t_{out} \) of the air-source heat pump was set to 50°C. The inlet water temperature \( t_{in} \) was set to 45°C. When \( t_{in} \) was higher than 45°C, the heat pump host was turned off gradually, and when \( t_{in} \) was lower than 45°C, the heat pump host was turned on gradually.

The improved strategy was as described above. The air-source heat pump was regulated according to the indoor PMV as well as the heating system COP. The heat pump outlet temperature \( t_{out} \) was also set to 50°C.
In conducting the test, the heating system under each control strategy was operated alternately for a time limit of one day (implement a control strategy for a consecutive day, followed by a pause in operation for the next day; then, adopt an alternative control strategy for another consecutive day and again pause operations on a subsequent day). Temperature sensors set up inside and outside of the room were used to collect the average temperature changes indoors and outdoors. The settings of indoor environmental parameters are presented in Table 1. The energy consumption of the heating system during one day was recorded. The experiment was conducted for a total of 12 days, and the results for each day were averaged.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters, hours</th>
<th>8:00–20:00</th>
<th>20:00–8:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor temperature, ºC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity, %</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of people indoors (n)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Indoor environmental parameters during testing.

It can be seen from Table 2 and Fig. 4 that both control strategies resulted in intermittent heating, indicating energy-saving characteristics for both approaches. However, the improved control strategy led to a reduced cumulative running time for the heat pump compared to the traditional control strategy.

Figure 5 displays the changes in indoor temperature as well as outdoor temperature in one day under both control strategies. Table 3 presents the statistics of indoor temperature changes under the two control strategies. It is evident from Table 3 and Fig. 5 that, regardless of the control strategies employed, the heating system effectively sustained an indoor temperature considerably above that of the outdoor environment. However, when comparing the indoor temperature under the two strategies, it was observed that while the traditional control strategy resulted in significant fluctuations in indoor temperature, the improved strategy maintained a relatively stable temperature around 18ºC, with only slight fluctuations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Traditional control strategy</th>
<th>Improved control strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average indoor temperature, ºC</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>18.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest indoor temperature, ºC</td>
<td>21.03</td>
<td>20.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor lowest temperature, ºC</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>17.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation of indoor temperature, ºC</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Statistics of indoor temperatures under the two control strategies.

The average power consumption of the system in a day under the two control strategies is shown in Fig. 6. The heating system consumed an average of 189.6 kWh per day with the traditional strategy, whereas the improved strategy resulted in an average power consumption of 132.5 kWh for the heating system. It was intuitively seen from Fig. 6 that the heating system under the improved control strategy consumed less power.

3.4. Test results

The test recorded the heat pump working status of the heating system every one hour. In the recorded data, a value of "1" indicated that the heat pump was running, while a value of "0" signified that the heat pump was stopped. Figure 4 illustrates the changes in the heat pump operating state in one day under the two control strategies. Table 2 shows the accumulated working time of the heating system within one day under the two control strategies.

Table 2. The accumulated working time of the heating system within one day under the two control strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Traditional control strategy</th>
<th>Improved control strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated working time within one day, h</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Heat pump operating state of the heating system in a day under two control strategies.

Fig. 5. Variation of indoor temperature as well as outdoor temperature in a day under two control strategies.
The reasons for the above results were analyzed. The traditional strategy gradually adjusted the heat pump host based on variations in the temperature of the returning water. Although there might be shutdown conditions resulting in less than 24 hours of operation time, the heat pump host was regulated step by step while the heat pump continued to run during this process. In contrast, the improved control strategy determined when to switch on/off the heat pump host based on the comfort level, and even within the range of human comfort, it operated with the maximum COP as a goal.

Fig. 6. Average power consumption of the heating system in a day under two control strategies.

Using the comfort level as a regulatory basis considered the thermal inertia of the envelope, which reduced the operating time and naturally resulted in less power consumption.

4. Conclusion

This paper briefly introduced the heating system that utilizes air-source heat pump radiant floor technology and its control strategy and used thermal comfort as well as energy efficiency ratio as the basis to optimize the control. Then, a case study was carried out with a room in Xining City, Qinghai Province, to evaluate the heating efficiency of the heating system under the two control strategies. The results obtained are as follows:

1. The heat pump of the system had intermittent heating under both control strategies, and the cumulative running time of the heat pump under the improved control strategy was less than that under the traditional strategy.
2. The indoor temperature was maintained at a level higher than the outdoor temperature by both control strategies employed for the heating system, but the heating system under the improved strategy provided a more stable indoor temperature.
3. The average power consumption of the heating system in a day was 189.6 kWh under the traditional strategy and 132.5 kWh under the improved strategy.

In conclusion, when using an air-source heat pump radiant floor heating system in cold regions, intermittent heating can be employed with the duration adjusted based on thermal comfort guidelines to achieve energy savings and emission reductions. The future research direction of this study is to further optimize the control strategy of the heating system for better regulation.
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