The corrosion features of 20# carbon steel, the base material of sour water stripper, in NH₄Cl solution were examined in this research. The anatomical samples of the corroded parts were characterized by an X-ray diffractometer and other analytical tools. It was found to be a localized perforation caused by pitting, and the corrosion product was FeCl₂. 20# steel was subjected to electrochemical testing using Tafel polarization and Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. The studies showed that the 20# steel’s cathodic depolarization reaction rate speeds as density rises, and that the concentration polarization gets more marked as temperature rises. The corrosion rate of 20# steel is the fastest when temperature hits its highest point of 80°C, measuring 4.7142 mm/a. When density rose from 5 to 20%, corrosion rate grew from 1.6378 to 7.2430 mm/a.
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1. Introduction

Crude oil has tended to get heavier and lesser quality over the past few years, with higher levels of corrosives including sulfur, nitrogen, and chlorine. The operating circumstances of the equipment are drastically altered by changes in the characteristics of crude oil, leading to frequent corrosion phenomena that have a negative impact on the equipment’s ability to function normally [1,2]. As a result, corrosion-related safety incidents are increasing in frequency in the refining sector, making their resolution a pressing issue for refineries. Different types of corrosion will develop in different areas of the refinery depending on how materials interact with the environment. The impact of corrosive chemicals on matrix materials has been a topic of discussion among academics for a while [3]. Carbon steel is more affordable than other types of steel, and it is now the most often used metal for pipelines and refinery equipment [4,5]. Low carbon steel has a weak corrosion resistance, which is unfortunate [6,7]. Low carbon steel often corrodes more quickly in the presence of NH₄Cl.

NH₃ and HCl components in the gas phase will crystallize to create NH₄Cl particles, which will then deposit on the inner surface of the pipeline or other machinery [8-11]. Strongly hydroscopic and easily absorbed by water, the deposited NH₄Cl quickly creates a highly concentrated corrosive solution that eats away at the device’s oxide film and causes electrochemical corrosion [12,13]. The corrosion failure issue brought on by NH₄Cl deposition is severe, and it is thought to be a significant factor in the corrosion failure of pipelines and equipment made of low carbon steel [14]. It also has a detrimental impact on the functionality of refinery equipment [15]. For many years, NH₄Cl-induced corrosion has harmed the machinery and pipelines of several refining units, including crude oil distillation units, hydrogenation treatment systems [1], and catalytic cracking units [16]. This has led to a number of unintended risks and losses. As a result, in-depth study on ammonium chloride corrosion must be done, and suitable steps must be taken to lessen the effect of NH₄Cl on the apparatus [17].

Many academics have been researching the NH₄Cl corrosion of low carbon steel refining units at the moment. In addition to discovering NH₄Cl-related corrosion in the distillation column, Kimmel et al. [18] also discovered NH₄Cl-related corrosion in ammonia synthesis equipment operating at high temperatures and high pressures. After researching the corrosion failure of the hydrogenated high-pressure air cooler system, Liu et al. [19] discovered that ammonium chloride deposition was the root cause of the corrosion failure. The corrosion failure of ammonium chloride in the hydrocracking unit was investigated...
by Zhu et al. [1]. Many academics have used simulation tools like Aspen and CFD to research the temperature at which ammonium chloride crystallizes and the location at which its depositions in order to analyze the corrosion of ammonium chloride.

It is referred to as “acidic water” when effluent from the refinery that contains ammonia, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen chloride is created. Each component of the refinery will generate a sizable amount of acidic water during the refining process. In order to post-treat the sewage and lower the concentration of contaminants, the sewage stripping procedure is necessary [20]. The sour water stripper has developed into one of the crucial pieces of equipment for eliminating HCl, NH₃, CO₂, and other corrosive gases in the refinery as it serves as the main component of the sewage stripping process [21]. Where these contaminants come into touch with the substrate material of the stripper, such as top trays, pipes, and other components, corrosion invariably takes place. Low carbon steel is typically used as the matrix material for the machinery and pipelines of the top system of the sour water stripper.

The majority of relevant research, however, focuses on hydrocracking units and columns for the distillation of crude oil; ammonium chloride corrosion of low-carbon steel pipes in acid water stripping units is rarely studied. The high corrosion rate continues to be a major threat to the stripping unit’s normal operation and process safety, and the NH₄Cl corrosion problem has not been satisfactorily resolved in the acidic water stripping unit. Therefore, in order to imitate the actual production environment for the corrosion of the top of the sour water stripper, a specific temperature and concentration of NH₄Cl solution are used in this paper. Tafel polarization and EIS were used to examine the electrochemical corrosion characteristics of the matrix material, 20# carbon steel. The NH₄Cl solution’s concentration and temperature were the variables put to the test.

The DH7000C electrochemical workstation and a three-electrode system were used to conduct the electrochemical testing. Saturated calomel electrode (SCE) served as the reference electrode, while platinum electrode served as the auxiliary electrode and 20# carbon steel served as the working electrode, with an exposed surface of roughly 0.2826 cm². Fig. 1 depicts a schematic of a three-electrode system. The chemical breakdown of 20# steel is displayed in Table 1, and its density is 7.85 g/cm³.

Tafel polarization was measured after open circuit voltage had stabilized. The scanning rate was 0.333 mV/s, and the

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental preparation and measuring instruments

The chemical composition of the metal material at the top of the stripper was examined in accordance with GB/T 40802-2021 to ascertain its dependability. The sample with a size of 12 mm × 12 mm × 12 mm was intercepted at the corrosion site of the sour water stripper. Grinding and polishing step by step with water sandpaper to remove burrs. After that, the sample was degreased in an organic solvent, and a certain concentration of acid solution was used to immerse the sample to remove the corrosion products. After washing, dehydration and hot air drying, it is stored in the dryer for use. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and X-ray energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) observations of the surface topography and elemental composition of corroded specimens. The sample’s component composition was examined using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD) model D/max2500.
potential ranged from −0.25 to 0.25 V. After tests, the Tafel extrapolation method was used to determine the corrosion rate. EIS was lastly polled. The fluctuation was 5 mV, and the periodicity varied from $10^5$ to $10^{-2}$ Hz.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Chemical composition of material

In Table 2, the elemental makeup of 20# carbon steel at the corrosion site is displayed. The findings demonstrate that the material’s constituents fall within the acceptable content range. As a result, the sour water stripper does not have a manufacturing flaw, and the corrosive medium is to blame for the device’s degradation.

3.2. Surface topography and element components

Fig. 2 displays the surface topography and element distribution of the scale in the sour water stripper. SEM picture of the sample is presented in Fig. 2(a). On the surface of 20# carbon steel, there are several pitting pits of all sizes, and even two pitting pits have grown larger, with the larger ones forming roughly 14 μm voids, as can be seen from the SEM topography. The non-uniform portion of the corrosion region is represented by spectrum area 1. It demonstrates that the 20# carbon steel’s surface has rusted. The sample’s EDS spectrum appears in Fig. 2(b). The findings indicate that the scale contains the elements O, C, Ni, Fe, Cr, Cl and Al, with O being the most abundant. This demonstrates that the oxidation reaction occurs when the corrosion areas of the gadget are exposed to air during maintenance.

3.3. Compound composition

Scaling is present on the corrosion pipeline at the top of the sour water stripper, and the scale is black-brown in color. In Fig. 3, the XRD pattern is displayed. The outcome demonstrates that the sample’s primary components are Fe3O4, FeCl2, NH4Cl and Fe2O3. The fact that the Fe3O4 is black and matches the color of the pipe scale proves that the diffraction peak is calibrated properly. The reaction between a metal wall and HCl gas in a humid environment produces FeCl2, HCl and NH3 in gas crystallize, producing NH4Cl as a result. During maintenance, the oxidation process of the pipeline with air produces iron oxides. The compound’s composition agrees with the element distribution from earlier.

![Fig. 2. (a) SEM morphologies of steel, (b) EDS spectrum of elemental composition](attachment:image.png)
3.4. Tafel polarization curves

3.4.1. Impact of temperature

In order to study the electrochemical decline law of 20# steel at various temperatures, the solution concentration was held to 5%. The Tafel polarization curve of 20# steel at various temperatures is depicted in Fig. 4(a). Etch rates were computed using data from the Tafel polarization curve. As illustrated in Fig. 4(b), the curves of corrosive current density ($I_{corr}$), potential ($E_{corr}$) and rate with temperature is obtained.

As can be seen from Fig. 4(a), the 20# steel Tafel polarization curve is identical between 20°C and 80°C. The electrochemical corrosion of 20# steel involves oxygen depolarization corrosion. The cathode process is regulated by oxygen diffusion, while the anode process is governed by the active dissolution of metal. In other words, cathode concentration polarization governs the rate of corrosion. The corrosion rate increases by 4.1885 mm/a and $I_{corr}$ increases by 360.63 A/cm$^2$ when the temperature rises to 80°C from 20°C, according to the findings of Fig. 4(b). $E_{corr}$ also falls by 3.11 mV. This is because ammonium chloride is a strong electrolyte, its aqueous solution is weakly acidic. Increasing the temperature will shift the ionization equilibrium of the solution and produce a large amount of hydrogen ions, which will react with the active metals in 20# carbon steel. The protective coating on the metal surface gradually dissolves as the temperature rises. When the oxide coating loses its barrier function, the metal electrode reaction and oxygen diffusion process speed up. As a result, material erosion rate improves.

3.4.2. Impact of NH$_4$Cl density

By regulating the temperature to the actual temperature of the device at 40°C, the electrochemical corrosion actions of 20# steel in various densities were examined. The Tafel polarization curve of 20# steel at various densities appears in Fig. 5(a). The corrosion rate was calculated using the Tafel polarization curve data. It can produce the curves of $I_{corr}$, $E_{corr}$ and corrosion rate with density, as illustrated in Fig. 5(b).

The Tafel polarization curves of various densities are essentially the same, as seen in Fig. 5(a). It suggests that varying densities of 20# steel have a comparable corrosion mechanism. $I_{corr}$ increases by 459.33 μA/cm$^2$, $E_{corr}$ lowers by 2.92 mV, and the corrosion rate rises by 5.6052 mm/a as the concentration rises from 5% to 20%, as seen in Fig. 5(b). The oxidation reaction of the anode metal Fe and the reduction reaction of the cathode H$^+$ are recognized to be the electrochemical corrosion reactions in the three-electrode system. The concentration of hydrogen ions grows together with the NH$_4$Cl concentration, which raises the potential of the hydrogen electrode. The depolarization reaction of the cathode in the solution is accelerated when the hydrogen overpotential is constant due to an increase in driving force. As a result, corrosion occurs faster.

The solution is acidic because NH$_4$Cl is a strong acid and a weak alkali salt. The acidity and corrosivity increase in direct proportion to the amount of NH$_4$Cl present. The concentration of Cl$^-$ rose along with the concentration of NH$_4$Cl as enrichment and adsorption took place on the surface of 20# carbon steel.
led to the breakdown of the passivation film on the surface and a reduction in the passivation film’s ability to protect the surface. Additionally, as the pH falls, it furthers local acidity, creating a blocking action that makes it simple to dissolve locally and produce numerous pitting pits.

3.5. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

3.5.1. Effect of temperature

Impedance spectrum is typically an imperfect semi-circular arc. The sample’s resistance to corrosion improves with increasing diameter. To investigate the electrochemical behavior of the material contact, an EIS test was run on 20# steel. The Nyquist curve and related parameter change diagram of 20# steel at various temperatures and 5% solution concentrations are displayed in Fig. 6.

In Fig. 6(a), there are two semi-circular arcs with various amplitudes for each Nyquist curve. The radius of the first capacitance arc steadily reduces as temperature rises to 80°C from 20°C, and the radius of the second semicircle becomes more pronounced. This suggests a change in the interfacial mechanism between the pole and the electrolyte. This is due to the charge transfer mechanism being crucial at low temperatures, where the concentration polarization effect may be disregarded. The concentration polarization effect is increasingly prominent as the temperature rises. As the temperature grows, Fig. 6(b) shows that the solution internal resistance ($R_s$) between the reference and working electrodes drops, the charge transfer impedance ($R_{ct}$) lowers as well, and the double layer capacitance ($C_d$) steadily rises. The diffusion coefficient and the activity of the reactive ions in the solution will both increase as the temperature rises, speeding ion migration, encouraging ions to flow through the electric field, and degrading the working electrode’s surface as a result. As the temperature rises, the working electrode’s anticrosion property steadily deteriorates and the rate of corrosion accelerates. The test outcomes are in agreement with the Tafel polarization under the previously mentioned circumstances.

3.5.2. Effect of NH$_4$Cl concentration

Studying the AC impedance spectra and related parameters of 20# steel in various concentrations was done at a temperature controlled to 40°C. The results appear in Fig. 7. Each Nyquist
The curve in Fig. 7(a) depicts a clear capacitive reactance arc, and as the solution concentration rises from 5% to 20%, the radius of the arc gradually gets smaller. As concentration rises, $R_s$ and $R_{ct}$ constantly drop, as can be observed in Fig. 7(b). This demonstrates how the rate of corrosion of 20# steel is sped up. The converse is true for the change law of $C_d$, which has a positive correlation with concentration. This is due to the fact that metal corrosion gets worse as Cl$^-$ concentration rises. The presence of Cl$^-$ will prevent the formation of protective film and weaken the force between metal and corrosion products. The electrode/solution boundary layer thins as a result of the destruction of the passivation film of 20# steel and its film created with water, increasing the metal electrode’s interface capacitance. Therefore, the metal’s electrochemical reaction is made easier by a higher solution density. The working electrode’s surface response process is accelerated, which speeds up the material’s rate of corrosion.

4. Conclusions

This study used electrochemical methods to analyze the erosion behavior of 20 # carbon steel in NH$_4$Cl solution using chemical composition analysis, EDS, SEM, and XRD detection technology. The findings allow for the following inferences:

1. According to the SEM findings, the acid water stripping device causes corrosion and surface destruction to the matrix material’s 20# carbon steel during use. On the metal’s surface, there are local perforations as well as pitting pits.

2. According to EDS and XRD data, the corrosion site contains O, C, Ni, Fe, Cr, Cl, and Al, with O having a greater concentration. FeCl$_2$ was the device’s corrosion byproduct, and the oxidation reaction occurred while it was being maintained.

3. Tafel polarization and EIS data demonstrate that temperature and solution concentration have the greatest impact on the corrosion rate of 20# carbon steel in an ammonium chloride environment. To prevent salt deposition and lessen the corrosion of ammonium chloride at the top of the sour water stripper, the temperature and solution concentration at the device’s operation site can be maintained at a low level.

4. The system’s reactive ions were more active, the passivation film was more active and easier to erode, and the corrosion rate increased by 4.1885 mm/a when the temperature of the ammonium chloride solution rose from 20°C to 80°C.

5. The amount of Cl$^-$ in the solution increased as the ammonium chloride concentration in the solution rose from 5% to 20%. Chloride ions were enriched and adsorbed on the surface of 20# carbon steel, resulting in the breakdown of the passivation film on the metal surface, and the corrosion rate of 20# carbon steel increased by 5.6052 mm/a. As far as the research scope of this paper is concerned, the concentration of ammonium chloride has a greater influence on the corrosion rate of 20# carbon steel than the solution temperature.
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