www.journals.pan.pl

JOURNAL OF PLANT PROTECTION RESEARCH

**Vol. 54, No. 4 (2014)** DOI: 10.2478/jppr-2014-0051

# **Bacillus-based biological control of cotton seedling disease complex**

Mohammad Ahmed Khiyami<sup>1</sup>, Moawad Ragab Omar<sup>2</sup>, Kamel Ahmed Abd-Elsalam<sup>2\*</sup>, Aly Abd El-Hady Aly<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology (KACST), 6086, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia <sup>2</sup>Plant Pathology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), 12619, Giza, Egypt

Received: June 27, 2014 Accepted: October 19, 2014

**Abstract:** To formulate an efficient and eco-friendly strategy for the management of cotton seedling disease complex, pot experiments were conducted and the efficiency of eight *Bacillus* strains against seven fungi involved in the disease were determined. A greenhouse evaluation of the interaction between fungal isolates and *Bacillus* strains was carried out. The evaluation revealed a very highly significant *Bacillus* strains x fungal isolates interaction for all the following parameters: preemergence damping-off, postemergence damping-off, survival, plant height, and dry weight. This interaction implies that a single strain of the *Bacillus* sp. can be highly effective against a fungal isolate, but may have only minimal effects on other fungal isolates. The results of the present study demonstrated that *Bacillus circulans* and *B. coagulans* were the most effective strains in controlling cotton seedling disease. Therefore, strains of *Bacillus* strains effective against as many fungal isolates as possible. The testing will improve the chance of identifying *Bacillus* strains effective against several fungal isolates.

Key words: anatagonastic Bacillus spp., cotton damping-off complex

# Introduction

Cotton seedling disease complex causes serious annual economic losses in many cotton-producing countries. Rhizoctonia solani, Pythium spp., and Fusarium spp. are considered the major fungi involved in the disease (Watkins 1981). Sclerotium rolfsii and M. phaseolina may also be involved in the disease although they are less important. The use of antagonistic bacteria showed promising results in controlling soil-borne fungi (Ramarathnam et al. 2011; Samavat et al. 2011; Zaim et al. 2013). Pseudomonas spp., and Bacillus spp. are the most common antagonistic bacteria that have been used to control cotton diseases seedling damping-off (Ramarathnam et al. 2011; El-Hassan et al. 2013; Mansoori et al. 2013; Sallam et al. 2013; Samavat et al. 2014). Bacillus spp. are aerobic or sometimes facultative bacteria and catalase positive. Endospore formation, universally found in this group, is thought to be a strategy for survival in soil, wherein these bacteria predominate and the endospores make them resistant to unfavorable environmental conditions (Landa et al. 1997). As attractive biocontrol agents and good plant-growth-promoting bacteria, Bacillus spp. offer several advantages over fluorescent Pseudomonas and other Gram-negative bacteria. These advantages include longer shelf life, their ability to form endospores, and the broad spectrum activity of their antibiotics (Chen et al. 2009; Samavat et al. 2014).

Because of their disease control properties, Bacillus spp., particularly B. subtilis and its closely related species, have been widely studied (Huang et al. 2012). B. subtilis A13 is the best known example of a biocontrol bacterial strain belonging to the genus Bacillus. This strain was isolated more than 25 years ago in Australia. The strain was selected based on its in vitro inhibitory activity against nine pathogens and was subsequently shown to promote growth of cereals, carrots, and bedding plants when it was applied as seed treatment (Broadbent et al. 1971; Merriman et al. 1975). Studies done by Hagedorn et al. (1989) found that cotton seedlings were initialy colonised by bacteria of many different genera. Populations quickly reached 108 CFU/g of root tissue. As the season progressed, the bacteria populations declined while root mass increased and the roots became more woodlike in consistency. There was no correlation between fungal repressive activity of rhizobacteria and the plant growth stage from which the isolates were obtained.

*B. subtilis* GB03, sold today in the United States as Kodiak for control of damping-off of cotton, is a cotton adapted variant of *B. subtilis* A13 (Mahaffee and Bockman 1993). Pleban *et al.* (1995) reported that endophytic *Bacillius* spp. can survive inside cotton plants and are efficient biocontrol agents against *R. solani* and *S. rolfsii* under greenhouse conditions. These endophytes reduced the disease index by more than 50%. Some *B. subtilis* 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding address:

kamelabdelsalam@gmail.com

strains that show antifungal activity may act by inducing tolerance in the host plant when tested against *Pythium aphanidermatum* and *Phytophthora nicotiana* in soilless tomato and cucumber culture systems (Grosch *et al.* 1999). The activity of *B. coagulans* as a biological control agent against fungal plant pathogens *Trichothecium roseum, R. solani, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Fusarium oxysporum, F. solani,* and *F. culmorum* was studied by Czaczyk *et al.* (2002). The effect of 20 isolates of *Bacillus* spp., obtained from livestock manure composts and cotton-waste composts for *in vitro* antagonism against *F. oxysporum, Phytophtora capsici, R. solani* AG-4, and *S. sclerotiorum* was studied by Kim *et al.* (2008).

Inoculation with both Bacillus pumilus and Pseudomonas alcaligenes, caused a greater increase in the plant growth of lentil, the number of pods, nodulation, and root colonisation by rhizobacteria, and also caused reduction of Fusarium wilting to a greater degree than did individual inoculation (Mohd et al. 2010). When using Bacillus spp. and other bacteria, for their efficacy against M. phaseolina on soybean plants, all tested strains significantly decreased damping-off, and decreased rotted and wilted plants while increasing healthy plants (El-Barougy et al. 2009). A wide range of plant pathogens including Erwinia carotovora, Fusarium sp., F. oxysporum, Macrophomina phaseolina, Phytophthora, Pythium sp., and R. solani were controlled by the Bacillus spp. (Jiang et al. 2006). The broad-spectrum antagonistic activities of Bacillus are executed by secretion of a number of metabolites including antibiotics, the volatile compound HCN, siderophores, enzymes chitinase and 1,3-glucanase (Ongena et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2009; Arrebola et al. 2010). The main objective of the present study was to evaluate eight Bacillus strains, isolated from rhizosphere of cotton seedlings, as to their efficiency in controlling soil-borne fungi commonly involved in cotton seedling disease complex under Egyptian conditions.

# Materials and Methods

## **Bacterial strains**

Soil samples from rhizosphere of cotton (*Gossypium bar-badense* L.) seedlings were collected from different governorates (Gharbiya, Dakahliya, Giza, Assuit, Beheira, Minia and Sharkiya). Twenty grams of soil adhering to roots of each sample were suspended in 80 ml of sterile distilled water, shaken for 30 min on a shaker, and then serially diluted in sterile, distilled water. One ml from 10<sup>-4</sup>, 10<sup>-5</sup>, and 10<sup>-6</sup> dilutions were taken form each sample and spread on nutrient glucose agar. The plates were incubated at 25°C for 3 days when the developing colonies were isolated in pure cultures. Selected cultures were identified (Table 1) according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Krieg and Holt 1984).

#### **Fungal isolates**

The seven pathogenic isolates of *R. solani, M. phaseolina, S. rolfsii, Pythium* sp., *F. oxysporum, F. solani,* and *F. moniliforme* used in this study, were originally isolated from the roots of cotton seedlings infected with damping-off disease. Isolation, purification, and identification of these fungi were carried out at the Cotton Pathology section of the Plant Pathology Research Institute, the Agricultural Research Centre, Giza, Egypt.

#### Preparation of fungal inoculum

Substrates for the growth of fungi were prepared in 500-ml glass bottles, each bottle contained 50 g of sorghum grains and 50 ml of water. Contents of bottles were autoclaved for 30 min. Fungal inoculum, taken from a oneweek-old culture on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA), was introduced into bottles and allowed to colonise sorghum for two weeks. The fungus-sorghum mixture (inoculum) was stored in plastic bags at 5°C until use. This study was carried out by using autoclaved clay loam soil. Batches of soil were infested separately with inoculum of each fungus at rates of 1g/kg soil for R. solani, and 5 g/kg soil for S. rolfsii, and Pythium sp. The rates of F. oxysporum, F. solani, F. moniliforme, and M. phaseolina were 50 g/kg soil. Infested soils were dispensed in 15-cm-diameter clay pots. The soil was also infested with a mixture of fungi at the same previously mentioned rates.

#### **Preparation of bioformulations**

Strains were grown in nutrient glucose broth at 30°C for 72 h on a shaker. The growth was adjusted turbidimetrically to  $10^{8}$  CFU/ml using spectrophotometer 2000 RSP 220 v. 50 Hz. Bacterial cultures were formulated in powder form by mixing 400 ml of cell suspension with 1 kg talc as a carrier, which was previously autoclaved for 30 min

Table 1. Identification of bacterial isolates used in the present study, and their geographic origins

Isolate no.	Identification	Geographic origin
1	Bacillus coagulans	Gharbiya
2	Bacillus globisporus	Dakahliya
3	Bacillus pumilus	Giza
4	Bacillus subtilis	Assiut
5	Bacillus circulans	Beheira
6	Bacillus cereus	Giza
7	Bacillus coagulans	Minia
8	Bacillus cereus	Sharkiya



for 2 successive days. Ten grams of carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) were added to 1 kg of the carrier and mixed thoroughly. The pH of all materials was adjusted to 7.0 by adding calcium carbonate. The bacterial population was assessed as  $2 \times 10^8$  CFU/g talc (Gordon-lennox *et al.* 1987).

#### Greenhouse pot experiment

Slightly moist seeds of cultivar Giza 89 were treated with the powdered inoculum of each Bacillus strain at a rate of 10 g/kg seeds. The treated seeds were then thoroughly shaken in plastic bags before being planted in soil previously infested with the tested fungi. The seeds were planted at a rate of 10 seeds/pot. The pots were randomly distributed on a greenhouse bench. The temperature regime ranged from 19±4 to 30±4°C. The experiment inculded three control treatments: Monceren-treated seeds in infested soil, untreated seeds in infested soil, and untreated seeds in autoclaved soil. The percentages of preemergence and postemergence damping-off were recorded 15 days from sowing while the percentage of surviving seedling, dry weight (mg/plant), and plant height (cm/ /plant) were recorded 45 days from sowing. All tests were repeated once with almost the same results.

## Statistical analysis of the data

The experimental design in the present study was a Randomized Complete Block with five replications. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the data was performed with the MSTAT-C Statistical Package. Least Significant Difference (LSD) was used to compare treatment means within each fungus ( $\alpha = 0.05$  or  $\alpha = 0.01$ ). Percentage data were transformed into arc sine angles or  $\sqrt{x}$  before carrying out ANOVA to produce the approximate constant variance.

## Results

Fungi, treatments, and fungi × treatment interactions were significant sources of variation in most of the tested parameters (Table 2). Relative contributions of fungi, treatments, and their interactions to variation in the tested parameters are shown in figure 1.

Due to the significant treatment × fungus interaction (Table 2), a LSD was used to compare between treatments within each fungus (Table 3). These comparisons showed that all the tested fungi and their mixtures were pathogenic in the preemergence stage treatments 1, 3, 5, and 8 were highly effective in controlling preemergence damping-off caused by R. solani as they decreased infection by 88.9, 83.3, 94.4, and 94.4%, respectively. Strains 5 and 8 were more efficient than the fungicide Monceren in controlling R. solani at the preemergence stage. Strain 4 completely suppressed M. phasolina, while strain 8 showed complete failure in controlling M. phasolina. The other strains showed variable effects. Strains 4 and 7 were effective in controlling S. rolfsii while strain 6 was completely ineffective. Strain 6 was effective against F. oxysporum, but strain 6 increased infection by F. solani. Strain 2 increased infection by F. moniliforme, while strains 7 and 2 decreased infection by the mixture. Strain 2 was the most effective strain against the mixture followed by strain no. 7 (efficiency was 82.35% and 76.47%, respectively). Strains 3 and 7 were effective in controlling Pythium sp., while strains 1, 6, and 8 were ineffective. Strains 3 and 7 were as effective as the fungicide.

Data in table 4 indicated that all the tested fungi and their mixtures were pathogenic in the postemmergence stage. Treatments 1, 6, 7 and 8 were more efficient than the fungicide (treatment 9) in controlling *M. phasolina* in the postemergence stage as they decreased postemergence by 77.7, 88.9, 55.9, and 55.9%, respectively, while the efficiency of the fungicide (no. 9) was 40.0%.

All the eight strains were highly effective in controlling postemergence damping-off caused by *S. rolfsii* and the efficiency of the treatments was higher than the efficiency of the fungicide. Strain no. 3 completely suppressed *S. rolfsii*. Data shown in table 4 indicate that all treatments were effective in decreasing the postemergence damping-off caused by *Pythium* sp. and *F. oxysporum*. Strain no. 1 was as effective as the fungicide in controlling *Pythium* sp. At the same time, strain no. 5 was more efficient than the fungicide in controlling both fungi. Strains 5, 6, 7, and 8 were highly effective in controlling postemergence damping-off caused by *F. solani* since



Fig. 1. Relative contribution of treatments, fungi, and their interaction to variation in cotton seedling disease variables (cv. Giza 89) under greenhouse conditions. The relative contribution was calculated as the percentage of the sum squares of the explained (model) variation

Table 2. Analysis of variance of the effect of treatments, fungi, and their interaction on cotton seedling disease variables (cv. Giza 89) under greenhouse conditions

Source	df	MS	F value	P value
Preemergence damping-off				
Replication <sup>a</sup>	3	1.266	1.2330	0.2981
Fungi (F)	7	13.995	13.6360	0.0000
Treatment (T)	10	18.139	17.6737	0.0000
F×T	70	8.295	8.0817	0.0000
Error	261	1.026	-	-
Postemergence damping-off				
Replication	3	1.637	0.0276	
Fungi (F)	7	345.799	5.8225	0.0000
Treatment (T)	10	3,684.831	62.0443	0.0000
F×T	70	298.800	5.0311	0.0000
Error	261	59.390	-	-
Survival				
Replication	3	18.22	0.4775	
Fungi (F)	7	88.126	2.3095	0.0267
Treatment (T)	10	4,980.373	130.5194	0.0000
F×T	70	343.642	9.0057	0.0000
Error	261	38.158	-	-
Plant height				
Replication	3	2.499	0.2824	
Fungi (F)	7	672.393	75.9810	0.0000
Treatment (T)	10	533.089	60.2396	0.0000
F×T	70	26.861	3.0353	0.0000
Error	261	8.849	-	-
Dry weight				
Replication	3	494.082	0.9198	
Fungi (F)	7	684.694	1.3258	0.2382
Treatment (T)	10	19,668.387	37.8088	0.0000
$F \times T$	70	650.269	1.2500	0.0109
Error	261	520.206	-	-

a replication is random, while each of the treatments and fungi are fixed

df - degrees of freedom; MS - mean square

these strains decreased infection by 92.6, 77.8, 96.3, and 88.9 while efficiency of the fungicide was 77.8.

On the other hand, strains 1, 2, 3, 4, and 8 were highly effective in controlling postemergence damping-off caused by *F. moniliforme*, and these strains were more effective than the fungicide. Strains 2 and 7 were effective against the mixture as they decreased infection by 79.51% and 79.51%. Strains 3 and 4 followed in effectiveness. At the same time, strains 2 and 7 were as effective as the fungicide (no. 9) in controlling postemergence damping-off caused by the mixture.

Data shown in table 5 indicates that all bacterial strains increased the survival number when compared with treatment no. 10 (infested soil). Strains 1, 3, 5, and 8 were highly effective in increasing survival in the case of *R. solani* where the survival numbers were 67.5, 67.5, 80.0, and 85.0, respectively. Strain no. 8 was equivalent (as high as 85.0) to the fungicide in its effect on the percentage of survival. On the contrary, the bacterial strains 1, 4, 6, and 7 were more effective in increasing survival than the fungicide when used for controlling *M. phaseolina*. Thus, the percentages of survival of strains 1, 4, 6, and 7 were 75.0, 77.5, 850, and 75.0, respectively while that of treatment no. 9 (the fungicide) was 67.5.

All the eight strains were more effective than the fungicide in increasing the survival when used to control *S. rolfsii*, *F. oxysporum*, and *F. moniliforme*. Regarding *F. solani*, treatments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 were less effective than the fungicide in increasing survival. On the other hand, strain no. 4 was more effective than Monceren in increasing survival (to 80.0) while Monceren increased it to 77.5. As to the fungal mixture, treatments 2 and 7 were highly effective in increasing survival. Their percentages were 87.5 and 85.0 while that of the fungicide was 75.0.

Data in tables 6 and 7 show that all strains caused highly significant increases in plant height and dry weight with all the fungi and the mixture, when compared with the control (infested soil). For example, when strain no. 1 was used for controlling *R. solani*, plant height increased to 35.90 cm, whereas plant height increased to 12.72 cm when infested soil (no. 10) was used. Strain no. 1 increased dry weight to 209.0 mg while with the use of infested soil, the dry weight was 69.8 mg. Strain no. 4 was effective in improving plant height and dry weight when used for controlling *M. phasolina* and *S. rolfsii*. With the use of strain 4, plant height was 210.83 mg and 204.20 mg. On the other hand, strain no. 4 was effective in increasing plant

IJ	17.5	24.16	30.0	33.21	20.0	26.19	5.0	9.22	5.0	9.22	15.0	22.50	20.0	76.19	20.0
9	30.0	33.05	5.0	2.22	17.5	24.53	22.5	28.22	12.0	20.47	2.5	4.61	30.0	33.05	20.0
7	25.0	29.89	20.0	26.56	15.0	19.92	15.0	16.45	10.0	18.44	7.5	13.83	42.5	40.61	5.0
8	12.5	20.47	20.0	22.72	10.0	15.86	20.0	26.56	15.0	22.13	17.5	21.58	10.0	15.86	15.0
6	7.5	13.83	27.5	31.02	27.5	31.55	7.5	13.83	45.0	41.99	15.0	22.50	27.5	31.39	5.0
10	50.0	45.00	45.0	42.12	60.0	50.83	57.5	49.39	47.5	43.55	67.5	55.29	50.0	45.00	50.0
11	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0
										:					
treatments tr	om 1 to 8 sł	hown in table	e 1, treatmen	nt 9 is Moncero	en, treatmen	t 10 is ınteste	d soil, and t	reatment 11	is autoclaved	l soil					
percentage d	ata were tre	ansformed in	to arc sine a	ngles before c	arrying out	ANOVA to a	pproximate	lv produce co	mstant varia	nce					
2				D	c			- I -							

LSD (transformed data) for treatment × fungi interaction = 10.73 ( $\alpha$  = 0.05) or 14.14 ( $\alpha$  = 0.01)

26.56 26.56 9.22 9.22 9.22 45.00 0.00



								Fui	ıgi							
Treatment <sup>a</sup>		R. solani	M	. phaseolina		S. rolfsii	Pi	tthium sp.	Ε. (	mnrodsyxc		F. solani	F.	moniliforme		mixed
	ч%	transformed	%	transformed	%	transformed	%	transformed	%	transformed	%	transformed	%	transformed	%	transformed
1	67.5	55.44	75.0	60.12	65.0	53.78	57.5	49.39	75.0	60.12	60.0	50.93	70.0	56.95	65.0	53.78
2	45.0	42.12	42.5	40.67	55.0	47.89	70.0	56.95	52.5	46.44	72.5	58.61	62.5	52.28	87.5	69.53
Э	67.5	55.44	62.5	52.28	80.0	63.44	77.5	61.78	45.0	42.12	45.0	42.12	70.0	56.79	52.5	46.44
4	42.5	40.67	77.5	62.15	82.5	65.47	65.0	53.78	65.0	53.78	80.0	63.81	70.0	57.11	72.5	58.45
ß	80.0	63.81	47.5	43.56	55.0	47.89	85.0	67.50	85.0	67.50	75.0	60.12	70.0	56.95	55.0	47.89
9	60.0	50.83	85.0	67.50	45.0	42.12	47.5	43.55	80.0	63.81	67.5	55.29	55.0	47.89	42.5	40.67
7	42.5	40.67	75.0	60.12	72.5	58.45	77.5	62.30	70.0	56.79	70.0	56.95	50.0	45.00	85.0	67.50
8	85.0	63.81	47.5	43.56	57.5	49.33	45.0	42.12	70.0	57.11	57.5	49.33	77.5	60.12	60.0	50.83
6	85.0	67.5	67.5	55.44	37.5	37.73	85.0	67.50	35.0	36.22	77.5	61.78	45.0	42.12	75.0	60.12
10	5.0	9.22	22.5	27.86	5.0	9.22	5.0	9.22	27.5	31.55	22.5	28.22	27.5	31.55	7.5	11.25
11	92.5	76.17	90.06	71.56	90.06	71.56	95.0	80.78	90.0	71.56	95.0	62.89	92.5	76.17	90.06	74.14
LSD for treat Table 6. Effe	nent × fur ct of treat	ngi interaction = ments, fungi, an	8.60 ( $\alpha$ = of the ir in	= 0.05) or 11.33 ( $\alpha$ nteraction on pla	: = 0.01) nt heigh	t (cm/plant) of c	otton see	dlings (cv. Giza	89) unde	r greenhouse c	ondition	S				
									Funei							
Treatmo	enta	R. solani		M. phaseolina	1	S. rolfsii		Pythium sp.	ρ	F. oxysporum		F. solani	F	. moniliforme		mixed
1		35.90		30.59		27.71		21.85		31.00		23.23		23.85		26.15
2		32.54		35.03		24.78		23.90		24.03		25.15		20.73		27.63
£		29.30		32.80		22.83		21.20		25.85		24.58		23.18		30.75
4		32.67		36.08		28.82		24.95		21.90		24.88		21.83		27.90
5		34.89		30.69		26.34		21.70		30.90		22.34		20.00		28.93
9		35.01		36.53		23.69		23.68		28.48		20.25		21.98		23.85
7		30.74		32.79		26.46		21.48		25.95		21.73		25.85		28.90
8		32.93		35.31		24.84		21.66		25.25		21.33		20.95		31.20
6		29.72		29.81		25.57		22.08		29.25		22.00		20.70		23.60
10		12.72		21.10		14.17		9.90		18.23		15.78		13.13		9.35
11		22.86		23.13		20.98		18.73		21 01		18.05		18.05		20.38

<sup>a</sup> treatments from 1 to 8 are the bacterial strains shown in table 1, treatment 9 is Monceren (fungicides), treatment 10 is infested soil, and treatment 11 is autoclaved soil LSD for treatment × fungi interaction = 4.14 ( $\alpha$  = 0.05) or 5.46 ( $\alpha$  = 0.01)

Bacillus-based biological control of cotton seedling disease complex

PAN



Table 7. Effect of treatments, fungi, and their interaction on dry weight (mg/plant) of cotton seedlings (cv. Giza 89) under greenhouse conditions

Treatmonta		Fungi								
freatment.	R. solani	M. phaseolina	S. rolfsii	Pythium sp.	F. oxysporum	F. solani	F. moniliforme	mixed		
1	209.00	186.20	193.93	202.96	185.03	192.73	222.58	201.80		
2	192.28	196.43	196.35	211.13	193.80	187.13	197.32	187.80		
3	195.25	204.38	202.88	204.30	211.95	203.28	216.38	210.85		
4	217.38	210.83	204.20	198.23	205.45	210.68	203.80	196.25		
5	182.58	178.63	198.00	196.85	193.00	197.40	195.38	189.00		
6	206.30	203.68	210.88	209.75	213.65	207.33	212.50	207.38		
7	211.30	197.10	208.68	198.33	204.70	212.83	203.05	193.98		
8	196.88	187.13	213.25	201.83	197.18	196.53	193.23	185.68		
9	184.80	196.88	197.85	192.48	193.68	183.90	186.23	198.35		
10	69.80	143.93	100.63	100.25	160.55	159.03	153.28	92.98		
11	167.38	167.10	175.43	175.63	169.33	169.90	169.88	169.88		

<sup>a</sup> treatments from 1 to 8 are the bacterial strains shown in table 1, treatment 9 is Monceren, treatment 10 is infested soil, and treatment 11 is autoclaved soil

LSD for treatment × fungi interaction = 31.76 ( $\alpha$  = 0.05) or 41.85 Ongena ( $\alpha$  = 0.01)

height when used to control Pythium sp. while it was not effective in improving dry weight. Strains 2 and 6 were effective in increasing dry weight and plant height in the case of Pythium sp. The results of the mixture showed that strains 3, 5, 7, and 8 were effective in increasing plant height to 30.75, 28.93, 28.90, and 31.20 cm, respectively, while the infested soil (no. 10) was 9.35 cm. Strains 1, 3, and 6 were also useful in increasing dry weight. In general, all treatments were more effective than the fungicide in increasing both plant height and dry weight.

## Discussion

The genus *Bacillus* is one of the the most important biocontrol agents used against insect plant pathogens. Bacillus has been shown to be effective and was commercially applied against plant pathogenic fungi (Jiang et al. 2006; Jian 2008). For instance, B. pumilus decreased disease incidence caused by M. phaseolina in chickpea plants (Akhtar and Siddiqui 2008).

In the current investigation, eight different strains of Bacillus spp. were evaluted as biocontrol against seven fungi involved in seedling disease complex of cotton and their mixture. These results are in agreement with the early reports that this group of bacteria are responsible for the biocontrol activity in rhizosphere of cotton seedlings (Aly et al. 1996; Wan et al. 2012). The results showed that strains 1, 3, 5, and 8 were effective in controlling *R*. solani in the preemergence stage, while strains 4, 5, and 8 were effective in the postemergence stage. These finding indicated that strains 5 and 8 were able to maintain their efficiency in the preemergence and postemergence stages. This phenomena was also observed by Asaka and Shoda (1996) and could be due to the presence of certain fungistatic metabolites secreted by these bacterial strains in preemergence and postemergence stages. For example, B. subtilis strain NCD-2 produced fengycin lipopeptide, and the fengycin played a primary role in inhibiting the

growth of R. solani in vitro, in addition to suppressing cotton seedling damping-off disease in vivo (Guo et al. 2013).

Results also showed that the bacterial strains may change their efficiency when used for controlling different fungi. For example, strain 8 showed complete failure in controlling M. phasolina and S. rolfsii while the same strain was effective in controlling R. solani. This result implies that the bacterial strain may succeed in controlling some fungi, while it may fail to suppress other fungi (Harris et al. 1994). The high significance (p = 0.0000) of the interaction of Bacillus isolates × M. phaseolina isolates suggests that a single isolate of the antagonist can be highly effective against an isolate of M. phaseolina, but may have minimal effects on the other isolates of M. phaseolina (Omar et al. 2013). There were significant increases in survival and reduced preemergence damping-off showed by B. coagulans and B. cereus (Amal-Asran 2001).

The results of the present study showed that some bacteria strains may induced or increase the emergence of damping-off in cotton seedling. For example, strain 2 and strain 3 increase the premergence damping-off disease against F. moniliforme and F. solani, respectively. The afomentioned results indicated that these bacterial strains may be deleterious to plants, by producing toxic metabolites without parasitising plant tissues (Schippers et al. 1987).

The results of our studies also showed that Bacillus strains sometimes promote plant height and dry weight of cotton seedlings. These effects may be due to the fact that Bacillus spp. such as B. subtilis is known to produce growth-promoting factors (Swain and Ray 2009; López--Valdez et al. 2011).

Bacillus strains protect the roots from pathogen invasion and promote plant growth by solubilising soil phosphorus and producing hydrolytic enzymes, antibiotics, and plant growth hormones (Raza et al. 2009; Ling et al. 2010; Abdel-Fattah et al. 2011).

The introduction of *P. auerofaciens* 30–84 as a biocontrol agent against *R. solani* can result in an increased cotton yield, decreased chemical fungicide application, and protection of the agricultural environment and biological resources (Samavat *et al.* 2014). The results of the present study demonstrated that *B. circulans* and *B. coagulans* were the most effective strains in controlling cotton seed-ling disease.

## Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Agricultural Research Centre, Plant Pathology Research Institute, Giza, Egypt.

# References

- Abdel-Fattah G.M., El-Haddad S.A., Hafez E.E., Rashad Y.M. 2011. Induction of defense responses in common bean plants by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Microbiol. Res. 166 (4): 268–281.
- Akhtar S.M., Siddiqui Z.A. 2008. Glomus intraradices, Pseudomonas alcaligenes and Bacillus pumilus, effective agents for the control of root-rot disease complex of chickpea Cicer arietinum L. J. Gen. Plant Pathol. 74 (1): 53–60.
- Aly A.A., Tawfik A.E., Aida H.A. 1996. Effect of rhizobacteria on susceptibility of cotton seedlings to *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 21: 241–249.
- Amal-Asran A. 2001. Studies on the cotton rhizosphere microorganisms and their role in biocontrol of root infecting fungi. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Agriculture Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, 155 pp.
- Arrebola E., Jacobs R., Korsten L. 2010. Iturin A is the principal inhibitor in the biocontrol activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* PPCB004 against postharvest fungal pathogens. J. Appl. Microbiol. 108 (2): 386–395.
- Asaka O., Shoda M. 1996. Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* damping-off of tomato with *Bacillus subtilis* RB14. J. Appl. Microbiol. 62 (11): 4081–4085.
- Broadbent P., Baker K.F., Waterworth Y. 1971. Bacteria and actinomycetes antagonistic to fungal root pathogens in Australian soils. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 24 (5): 925–944.
- Chen X.H., Koumoutsi A., Scholz R., Borriss R. 2009. More than anticipated-production of antibiotics and other secondary metabolites by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 16: 14–24.
- Czaczyk K., Trojanowska K., Stachowiak B. 2002. Inhibition of ergosterol biosynthesis in fungal plant pathogens by *Bacillus* sp. Polish J. Environ. Stud. 11 (5): 593–597.
- El-Barougy E., Nemat M., Azza-Turky A., Hoda-Hamed A. 2009. Antagonistic activity of selected strains of *Rhizobacteria* against *Macrophomina phaseolina* of soybean plants. American-Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci. 5 (3): 337–347.
- El-Hassan S.A., Gowen S.R., Pembroke B. 2013. Use of *Trichoderma hamatum* for biocontrol of lentil vascular wilt disease: efficacy, mechanisms of interaction and future prospects. J. Plant Prot. Res. 53 (1): 12–26.
- Gordon-lennox G., Walther D., Gindrat D. 1987. Use of antagonistic for seed dressing: effectiveness and mode of action against pathogens of damping-off. Bulletin European and

Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) 17: 631–637.

- Grosch R., Junge H., Krebs B., Bochow H. 1999. Use of *Bacillus subtilis* as a biocontrol agent. III. Influence of *Bacillus subtilis* on fungal root diseases and on yield in soilless culture. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 106 (6): 568–580.
- Guo Q., Dong W., Li S., Lu X., Wang P., Zhang X., Wang Y., Ma P. 2013. Fengycin produced by *Bacillus subtilis* NCD-2 plays a major role in biocontrol of cotton seedling damping-off disease. Microbiol. Res. 169 (7–8): 533–540.
- Hagedorn C.W., Gould D., Bardinelli T.R. 1989. Rhizobacteria of cotton and their repression of seedling disease pathogen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55 (11): 2793–2797.
- Harris A.R., Schisler D.A., Correll R.L., Ryder M.H. 1994. Soil bacteria selected for suppression of *Rhizoctonia solani*, and growth promotion in bedding plants. Soil Biol. Biochem. 26 (9): 1249–1255.
- Huang X., Zhang N., Yong X., Yang X.Y., Shen Q.R. 2012. Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* damping-off disease in cucumber with *Bacillus pumilus* SQR-N43. Microbiol. Res. 167 (3): 135–43.
- Jian G.L., Jiang Z.Q., Xu L.P., Sun F.F., Guo J.H. 2008. Characterization of chitinase secreted by *Bacillus cereus* strain CH2 and evaluation of its efficacy against Verticillium wilt of eggplant. BioControl 53 (6): 931–944.
- Jiang Z.Q., Guo Y.H., Li S.M. 2006. Evaluation of biocontrol efficiency of different *Bacillus* preparations and field application methods against Phytophthora blight of bell pepper. BioControl 36 (2): 216–223.
- Kim W.G., Weon H.Y., Lee S.Y. 2008. In vitro antagonistic effects of Bacilli isolates against four soilborne plant pathogenic fungi. Plant Pathol. J. 24 (1): 52–57.
- Krieg N.R., Holt J.G. 1984. Bergey's Manual of Systemic Bacteriology. Williams and Wikins, Baltimore, USA, 722 pp.
- Landa B.B., Herves A., Bettiol W., Jimenez-Diaz R.M. 1997. Antagonistic activity of bacteria from chickpea rhizosphere against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris*. Phytoparasitica 25 (4): 305–318.
- Ling N., Huang Q., Guo S., Shen Q. 2010. Paenibacillus polymyxa SQR-21 systemically affects root exudates of watermelon to decrease the conidial germination of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum. Plant Soil 341 (1–2): 485–493.
- López-Valdez F., Fernández-Luqueno F., Ceballos-Ramírez J.M., Marsch R., Olalde-Portugal V., Dendooven L. 2011. A strain of *Bacillus subtilis* stimulates sunflower growth (*Helianthus annuus* L.) temporarily. Sci. Hortic. 128 (4): 499–505.
- Mahaffee W.F., Backman P.A. 1993. Effects of seed factors on spermosphere and rhizosphere colonization of cotton by *Bacillus subtilis* GB03. Phytopathology 83: 1120–1125.
- Mansoori M., Heydari A., Hassanzadeh N., Rezaee S., Naraghi L. 2013. Evaluation of *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* bacterial antagonists for biological control of cotton Verticillium wilt disease. J. Plant Prot. Res. 35 (2): 154–157.
- Merriman P.R., Price R.D., Baker K.F., Kollmorgen J.F., Piggott T., Ridge E.H. 1975. Effect of *Bacillus* and *Streptomyces* spp. Applied to seed. p. 130–133. In: "Biology and Control of Soil-Borne Plant Pathogens" (G.W. Bruehl, ed.). The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN, USA, 216 pp.
- Mohd S.A., Uzma S., Zaki A.S. 2010. Biocontrol of Fusarium wilt by *Bacillus pumilus, Pseudomonas alcaligenes,* and *Rhizobium* sp. on lentil. Turk. J. Biol. 34: 1–7.

- Omar M.R., Gomaa E.Z., Aly A.A., El-Samawaty A.M.A. 2013. Differential antagonism of *Bacillus* spp. against isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Rom. Biotechnol. Lett. 18 (5): 8703–8714.
- Ongena M., Jourdan E., Adam A., Paquot M., Brans A., Joris B., Arpigny J.L., Thonart P. 2007. Surfactin and fengycin lipopeptides of *Bacillus subtilis* as elicitors of induced systemic resistance in plants. Environ. Microbiol. 9 (4): 1084–1090.
- Pleban S., Ingel F., Chet I. 1995. Control of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* in the greenhouse using endophytic *Bacillus* spp. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 101 (6): 665–672.
- Ramarathnam R., Dilantha Fernando W.G., de Kievit T. 2011. The role of antibiosis and induced systemic resistance, mediated by strains of *Pseudomonas chlororaphis, Bacillus cereus* and *B. amyloliquefaciens*, in controlling blackleg disease of canola. BioControl 56 (2): 225–235.
- Raza W., Yang X.M., Wu H.S., Wang Y., Xu Y.C., Shen Q.R. 2009. Isolation and characterisation of fusaricidin-type compound-producing strain of *Paenibacillus polymyxa* SQR-21 active against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *nevium*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 125 (3): 471–483.
- Sallam N.A., Riad S.N., Mohamed M.S., El-salam A.S. 2013. Formulations of *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for biocontrol of cantaloupe root rot caused by *Fusarium solani*. J. Plant Prot. Res. 53 (3): 295–300.

- Samavat S., Besharati H., Behboudi K. 2011. Interactions of Rhizobia cultural filtrates with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on bean damping-off control. J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 13 (6): 965–976.
- Samavat S., Heydari A., Zamanizadeh H. R., Rezaee S., Aliabadi A.A. 2014. A comparison between *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* (chlororaphis) and *P. fluorescens* in biological control of cotton seedling damping-off disease. J. Plant Prot. Res. 54 (2): 115–121.
- Schippers B., Bakker A.W., Pahm B. 1987. Interactions of deleterious and benificial rhizosphere microoganisims and the effect of cropping pretices. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 25: 339–358.
- Swain M.R., Ray R.C. 2009. Biocontrol and other beneficial activities of *Bacillus subtilis* isolated from cow dung microflora. Microbiol. Res. 164 (2): 121–130.
- Wan P., Huang Y., Tabashnik B.E., Huang M., Wu K. 2012. The halo effect: suppression of pink bollworm on non-Bt cotton by Bt cotton in China. PLoS One 7: e42004. DOI:10.1371/ journal.pone.0042004.
- Watkins G.M. 1981. Compendium of Cotton Disease. American Phytopathological Society, APS Press, St. Paul, USA, 87 pp.
- Zaim S., Belabid L., Bellahcene M. 2013. Biocontrol of chickpea Fusarium wilt by *Bacillus* spp. *rhizobacteria*. J. Plant Prot. Res. 53 (2): 177–183.