

Effect of *Artemisia annua* L. essential oil on toxicity, enzyme activities, and energy reserves of cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Malahat Mojarab-Mahboubkar¹, Jalal Jalali Sendi^{1*}, Alireza Aliakbar²

¹Department of Plant Protection, College of Agricultural Sciences, University of Guilan, P.O. Box 416351314, Rasht, Iran

²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Guilan, P.O. Box 416351314, Rasht, Iran

Received: April 19, 2015

Accepted: October 16, 2015

Abstract: The essential oil of *Artemisia annua* L., a weed collected from northern Iran, was studied for its toxicity and physiological aspects on 4th instar larva of the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner in controlled conditions (26±1°C, 65±10% RH and 16 L : 8 D h). The artificial diet was used as a medium for investigating the toxicity and the effect of LC₁₀, LC₃₀, LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ on the feeding efficiency of 4th instar larva. The essential oil in doses of LC₁₀, LC₃₀, LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ were estimated to be 2.01%, 3.86%, 6.07%, and 18.34%, respectively. The activity of α -amylase, protease, lipase, general esterases, and glutathione S-transferase and protein, triglyceride, glucose for treated larva were measured. The results showed that all of these parameters were decreased compared with the control. Hence, *A. annua* essential oil is suggested as a botanical for controlling this important pest of field crops.

Key words: *Artemisia annua*, cotton bollworm, essential oil, *Helicoverpa armigera*

Introduction

The cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a serious polyphagous pest of many economically significant agricultural crops in parts of Asia, Africa, Australia, and Europe (King 1994). The cotton bollworm causes severe damage to a wide range of plant species including various ornamental, medicinal, aromatic, and agricultural crops. Over the last few decades, the use of pesticides has resulted in: toxicity to non-target organisms, development of resistance by pests, resurgence and outbreak of new pests, and harmful effects on the environment affecting the sustainability of ecosystems (Jayasankar and Jesudasan 2005). Therefore, a method of controlling the pest with the use of a botanical insecticide that could affect the population of this pest with minimal effect on the environment, is welcomed by scientists. *Artemisia annua* L. is an aromatic annual herb which is a member of a large plant family Asteracea (Compositae), endemic in the north of Iran, although the plant grows wild in Europe and America and is planted widely in China, Turkey, Vietnam, Afghanistan, and Australia (Bhakuni *et al.* 2001). The species *A. annua* is an important medicinal plant. Several compounds have been isolated from this species including flavonoids, coumarins, steroids, phenolics, purines, lipids, aliphatic compounds, monoterpenoids, triterpenoids, and sesquiterpenoids (Bhakuni *et al.* 2001; Haghghian *et al.* 2008; Brisibe *et al.* 2009). The extracts and essential oils have demonstrated antimicrobial and insecticidal activ-

ity (Deans and Svoboda 1989; Regnault-Roger *et al.* 2004; Pavela 2006; Mahmoodi *et al.* 2014). The plant's essential oils have various insecticidal activity such as contact toxicity (Asawalam *et al.* 2006; Ogendo *et al.* 2008), repellence (Kéita *et al.* 2001; Rozman *et al.* 2007), fumigant toxicity (Lee *et al.* 2003; Rajendran and Muralidharan 2005; Shojaaddini *et al.* 2008), and antifeedant effects (Saxena *et al.* 1992). Several studies have reported insecticidal effects of *A. annua* extract containing growth retardation, antifeedant, and larvicidal effects (Haghghian *et al.* 2008; Shekari *et al.* 2008; Hasheminia *et al.* 2011). Khosravi *et al.* (2010) observed that *A. annua* extract affected the nutritional indices and also showed antifeedant activities on *Glyphodes pyloalis* Walker. Anshul *et al.* (2013, 2014, 2015) showed that methanolic extract of powdered *A. annua* leaves adversely affect *H. armigera*. The extract affected toxicity, inhibition and disruption of the growth, development and histopathological and biological parameters of *H. armigera*. The essential oil of *Artemisia judaica* L. has been demonstrated to possess insecticidal activity and repellence against several insects, such as *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) and *Sitophilus oryzae* L. (Aggarwal *et al.* 2001; Abd-Elhady 2012). Excessive use of chemical insecticides adversely affects the environment and human health. Therefore, based on the literature review, we decided to carry out an experiment on this important pest which takes into account an almost safe method and which takes into account the toxicity and sub-lethal effects on the physiology of the pest. To the best of our

*Corresponding address:

jjalali@guilan.ac.ir

knowledge, so far no steps have been taken concerning the effect of *A. annua* products on *H. armigera*.

Materials and Methods

The larvae of *H. armigera* were collected from the tomato farms in the city of Astaneh-ye Ashrafiyeh (37°15'35"N 49°56'40"E) in northern Iran. The larvae were reared on an artificial diet of powdered cowpea, wheat germ powder, yeast, sorbic acid, ascorbic acid, sunflower oil, formaldehyde, and water (Shorey and Hale 1965). The insects were kept in transparent plastic containers (10 × 5 × 5 cm) at 26±1°C, and a relative humidity (RH) of 65±5%. The photoperiod was 16 L : 8 D h (Hemati *et al.* 2012).

Preparation of the essential oil

Artemisia annua was collected from Ramsar in northern Iran (36°54N, 50°40E). The herb was dried in the shade, and hand-ground to a powder. The dried herb powder (50 g) was briefly mixed with 750 ml of distilled water. After 24 h, the mixture was transferred to the Clevenger-type apparatus according to the method recommended in the British Pharmacopoeia. Distillation lasted about 2 h, and then the essential oil was obtained. This process was repeated several times in order to reach the required amount. The oil phase was isolated from the obtained solution. Sodium sulfate was used for dehydration (Yazdani *et al.* 2014).

Bioassay

Bioassay tests were carried out on one-day-old 4th instar larvae. Prior to the experimental phase, larvae were starved for 4 h. Preparatory tests were initially performed to find the effective dose ranges. Five concentrations of *A. annua* essential oil, i.e. 2.5%, 4%, 6.5%, 9.5%, and 15% were determined to be the effective doses. This experiment was performed in 3 replications with 10 larvae of 4th instars in each replication. These essential oil concentrations were added to the artificial diet, and this mixture was put into the plastic jars before the release of the larvae. After 24 h, the numbers of dead larvae were recorded. An untreated diet was also provided as a control. The values of LC₁₀, LC₃₀, LC₅₀, and LC₉₀ were estimated using Polo-PC software (LeOra 1987).

Preparation of samples for the enzymatic assay

Fourth instar larvae after treatment with *A. annua* at concentrations of LC₃₀ and LC₅₀ were freeze-killed 24 h post treatment. The whole body was homogenized and samples from each treatment were centrifuged for 10 min in 13,000 rpm. The supernatant was transferred to new micro-tubes and stored at -20°C until used.

Assay of α -amylase activity

The procedure of Bernfeld (1955) was used to measure the α -amylase activity. In the procedure, 1% soluble starch was used as the substrate. The reaction was performed

by sodium phosphate buffer at 35°C with 10 μ l of the enzyme, 40 μ l of substrate, and 40 μ l of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 9), for 30 min. To stop the reaction, 100 μ l of dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) was added and heated in boiling water for 10 min. Absorbance was recorded at 540 nm after cooling. One unit of α -amylase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme required to produce 1 mg maltose in 30 min at 35°C. In this test, maltose was used to generate the standard curve.

Assay of lipase activity

The activity of lipase was estimated using the method of Tsujita *et al.* (1989). Ten μ l of homogenate was mixed with 18 μ l of p-nitrophenyl butrate (50 mM) as the substrate, and mixed with 172 μ l of universal buffer (1 M) (pH 7). This mixture was incubated at 37°C. The absorbance was read at 405 nm.

Assay of protease activity

The protease activity of larval guts was determined using azocasein 1% as the substrate (García-Carreño and Haard 1993). Each gut was centrifuged in 10 μ l of distilled water, then 10 μ l of supernatant and 15 μ l of buffer (pH 8) with 50 μ l of substrate were reacted for 3 h at 37°C. Proteolysis was stopped by the addition of 150 μ l of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The solution was transferred to 4°C in a refrigerator for 30 min, and the reaction mixture was centrifuged at 13,000 g for 10 min. One hundred μ l of supernatant was mixed with 100 μ l 1 N NaOH and the absorbance was read at 440 nm.

Estimation of glucose

Whole body of larvae (100 μ l) mixed with 500 μ l 0.3 N perchloric acid and the precipitate was removed by centrifugation (10 min, 12,000 g). The supernatants were used for determination of the glucose concentrations (Siegert 1987).

Estimation of protein

Protein was measured based on Bradford's method (Bradford 1976) and by utilizing a total protein assay kit (Biochem Co., Iran). In this method, proteins made a complex which was purplish-blue with an alkaline copper solution, which with its absorption value at 540 nm had a direct relation to the amount of the whole body protein.

Estimation of triacylglyceride

A diagnostic TAG kit (from Pars Azmoon Co., Tehran, Iran) was used to measure the amount of triacylglyceride in the 4th-instar larvae. The reagent solution contained phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.2), 4-chlorophenol (4 mM), adenosine triphosphate (2 mM), Mg²⁺ (15 mM), glycerokinase (0.4 kU · l⁻¹), peroxidase (2 kU · l⁻¹), lipoprotein lipase (2 kU · l⁻¹), 4-aminoantipyrine (0.5 mM), and glycerol-3-phosphate-oxidase (0.5 kU · l⁻¹). Samples

(10 µl) were incubated with 10 µl of distilled water and 70 µl of reagent for 20 min at 25°C (Fossati and Prencipe 1982). Optical densities (ODs) of samples and reagent as a standard were read at 546 nm.

Assay of general esterase activity

The activity of general esterases was determined according to the Van Asperen (1962) method. As substrates, α -naphthylacetate (α -NA) and β -naphthylacetate (β -NA) (10 mM) were used. Initially one insect was homogenized in 1,000 µl 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7) and Triton X-100 at the ratio of 0.01%, and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min at 48°C. The supernatant was transferred to a new microtube and was diluted with phosphate buffer. Fast Blue RR Salt (1 mM) was added and the absorbance was read at 450 nm.

Assay of glutathione S-transferase activity

For determining glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity, the method of Habig *et al.* (1974) was used. As the substrate, 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) (20 mM) was used. Each larva was homogenized in 20 µl of distilled water and centrifuged at 12,500 g for 10 min at 4°C. Fifteen µl of supernatant was mixed with 135 µl of phosphate buffer (pH 7), 50 µl of CDNB, and 100 µl of GST. The absorbance was read at 340 nm.

Statistical analysis

LC₁₀, LC₃₀, LC₅₀, and LC₉₀ of toxicity bioassay were calculated with Polo-PC software (LeOra 1987). Data from the enzyme activity were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences between the various treatments were determined at 5% by Tukey's multiple range tests using SAS software (SAS 1997).

Results

Bioassay

The LC₁₀, LC₃₀, LC₅₀, and LC₉₀ values of *A. annua*, 24 h after treatments per confident limits, and the slope of line regression, are shown in table 1. They were estimated to be 2.01%, 3.86%, 6.07%, and 18.34%, respectively.

Effect of *Artemisia annua* essential oil on total protein, glucose, and triacylglyceride

The results of total protein, triacylglyceride, and glucose in 4th instar larvae of *H. armigera* after treatment with *A. annua* essential oil are shown in table 2. The amount of the total protein of the larva treated with LC₃₀ (F = 16; df = 4; p = 0.008) and LC₅₀ (F = 33; df = 4; p = 0.0076) of *A. annua* essential oil, showed significant decreases when compared to the control.

The amount of triacylglyceride of the larva treated with LC₃₀ (F = 74.4; df = 4; p = 0.0088) and LC₅₀ (F = 110.5; df = 4; p = 0.0014) of *A. annua* essential oil, showed a significant decrease compared with the control. Also the amount of glucose significantly decreased in comparison with the control, LC₃₀ (F = 10.9; df = 4; p = 0.0069) and LC₅₀ (F = 25.1; df = 4; p = 0.0055).

Effects of *Artemisia annua* essential oil on digestive enzymes

The effects of *A. annua* essential oil on digestive enzymes after the diet treatment with different concentrations (LC₃₀ and LC₅₀) of *A. annua* essential oil, are shown in table 3. The results showed that the activity of α -amylase sharply decreased in larvae treated with both the concentrations of *A. annua*, LC₃₀ (F = 29.17; df = 4; p = 0.0057) and LC₅₀ (F = 110.4; df = 4; p = 0.009). The activity of lipase

Table 1. Toxicity of *Artemisia annua* to 4th instar larva of *Helicoverpa armigera*

Essential oil	N	Slope±SE	χ^2 (df)	LC ₅₀ [mg · g ⁻¹] (95% CL)	LC ₃₀ [mg · g ⁻¹] (95% CL)
<i>A. annua</i>	200	2.67±0.443	2.62(3)	3.86(2.82–4.75)	6.07(4.92–7.41)

N – number of insects used in the bioassay; SE – standard error

The LC₅₀ and LC₃₀ values, confidence limit (CL 95%) and regression slope after 24 h exposure to *A. annua* in larvae of *H. armigera*

Table 2. Effect of *Artemisia annua* essential oil on protein, glucose, and triglyceride of *Helicoverpa armigera* 4th instar larvae

Essential oil	Concentrations [mg · g ⁻¹ diet]	Protein [mg · l ⁻¹]	Glucose [mg · l ⁻¹]	Triglyceride [µmol · l ⁻¹]
<i>A. annua</i>	the control	4.2±0.15 a	2.73±0.3 a	2.03±0.08 a
	LC ₃₀	3.7±0.29 b	1.6±0.15 b	1.26±0.01 b
	LC ₅₀	3.27±0.14 b	1.7±0.27 b	0.94±0.05 b

Within columns, means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly at p < 0.05

Table 3. Activity digestive (α -amylase, lipase, protease) enzymes in 4th instar larva of *Helicoverpa armigera* after treatment with LC₃₀, LC₅₀ concentrations of *Artemisia annua* essential oil

Essential oil	Concentrations [mg · g ⁻¹ diet]	α -Amylase [nmol · min ⁻¹ · mg ⁻¹ protein]	Lipase [μ mol · min ⁻¹ · mg ⁻¹ protein]	Protease [OD · min ⁻¹ · mg ⁻¹ protein]
<i>A. annua</i>	the control	0.049±0.004 a	1.8±0.11 a	0.28±0.012 a
	LC ₃₀	0.019±0.007 b	1.72±0.069 a	0.12±0.06 b
	LC ₅₀	0.014±0.003 b	0.899±0.072 b	0.095±0.001 b

OD – optical density

Means followed by the same letter in a row are not significantly different at $p < 0.05$ using Tukey's multiple range test**Table 4.** Activity detoxifying enzymes: general esterase and glutathione S-transferase (GST), in 4th instar larva of *Helicoverpa armigera* after treatment with LC₃₀, LC₅₀ concentrations of *Artemisia annua* essential oil

Essential oil	Concentrations [mg · g ⁻¹ diet]	GST [μ mol · min ⁻¹ · mg ⁻¹ protein]	Esterase [nmol · min ⁻¹ · mg ⁻¹ protein]	
			α -naphthyl acetate substrate	β -naphthyl acetate substrate
<i>A. annua</i>	the control	0.148±0.007 a	0.152±0.03 a	0.15±0.017 a
	LC ₃₀	0.094±0.009 b	0.064±0.005 b	0.115±0.013 b
	LC ₅₀	0.0116±0.001 b	0.091±0.01 b	0.0715±0.012 b

OD – optical density

Means followed by the same letter in a row are not significantly different at $p < 0.05$ using Tukey's multiple range test

in 4th instar larvae of *H. armigera* treated with LC₃₀ concentrations of *A. annua* essential oil, did not show significant differences compared with the control, but the LC₅₀ concentration ($F = 13.83$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.0057$) of *A. annua* significantly decreased the activity of this enzyme in the larvae of *H. armigera*. The activity of protease significantly decreased in the larvae treated with LC₃₀ ($F = 13.64$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.0024$) and LC₅₀ ($F = 17.68$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.0013$) concentrations of *A. annua*.

Effects of *Artemisia annua* essential oil on detoxifying enzymes

General esterases with substrate α -naphthylacetate and β -naphthylacetate substrates showed a significant decrease compared with the control at LC₃₀ ($F = 17.76$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.013$) and LC₅₀ ($F = 10.45$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.0031$) for α -naphthylacetate and LC₃₀ ($F = 8$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.047$) and LC₅₀ ($F = 34.75$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.0041$) for β -naphthylacetate concentrations of *A. annua* essential oil (Table 4). The activity of GSTs was decreased by both concentrations of the essential oil, and the activity was significant compared with the control, LC₃₀ ($F = 21.13$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.01$) and LC₅₀ ($F = 309.21$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.0001$).

Discussion

In the present study, the effect of *A. annua* essential oil was investigated for its toxicity against larvae of *H. armigera*. The amounts of non-enzymatic compounds were also measured on the larvae fed different concentrations

of *A. annua*. Proteins are the most important biochemical components that are necessary for insect development and growth. The results showed that the amount of all non-enzymatic components decreased in larvae which were fed all the concentrations of *A. annua* essential oil. Etebari *et al.* (2005) showed that insecticide decreased the protein amount of an insect's body. Schmidt *et al.* (1998) observed that treatment of *Spodoptera littoralis* Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and *Agrotis ipsilon* Stoll (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) with azadirachtin, decreased hemolymph proteins. This phenomenon could be due to the break-down of proteins into their respective amino acids, that could help to provide energy for the insect to survive. The amount of both glucose and triacylglyceride was decreased in the treated larvae. This depletion might be due to an energy demand and an increased metabolism as a result of the oil effect (Sancho *et al.* 1998; Olga *et al.* 2006). Also glucose depletion may be due to stress conditions imposed on these insects that need more energy, so the glucose was metabolized to meet the energy expense. Similar results were observed by Khosravi *et al.* (2010) in *G. pyralis* larva treated with *A. annua* extract, and by Seyoum *et al.* (2002) in desert locust.

For many herbivorous insects that feed on plants during their life, α -amylase is the most important digestive enzyme. Our study showed a significant decrease in α -amylase activity in the midgut of treated larvae. Mehrabadi *et al.* (2010) suggested that when the action of the enzyme is inhibited, nutrition of the organism is impaired – causing a shortness in energy. This suggestion is supported by Saleem and Shakoori (1987), Lee *et al.* (1994),

Shekari *et al.* (2008), Khosravi *et al.* (2010), and Valizadeh *et al.* (2013). Proteases are important in digesting food and converting protein into amino acids needed for the body. Lipases are enzymes that hydrolyze the outer links of fat molecules (Yazdani *et al.* 2014). In this study, lipase activity had no significant differences in the treated larvae in comparison with the control, but the LC₅₀ concentration of *A. annua* decreased the activity of this enzyme. The decreased activity of midgut lipase could be due to the disturbance of digestion and absorption of processes (Napoleão *et al.* 2013). Sujatha *et al.* (2010) reported that an extract of *Pedaliium murex* L. increased lipase activity in *S. litura*. Proteases play a critical role in the food digestion of insects (Terra and Ferreira 2005). When using the LC₃₀ and LC₅₀ concentrations of *A. annua* essential oil on 4th instar larvae of *H. armigera*, it was observed that the activity of this enzyme decreased. Reduction in digestive enzymes may be referred to the biochemical inhibition of essential oil extracted from *A. annua* in studies by Senthil-Nathan *et al.* (2004 and 2006), Zibae and Bandani (2010a), and Khosravi and Jalali (2013) who inferred that botanical insecticides may affect the construction of certain types of proteases.

Glutathione S-transferases are a major group of detoxification enzymes found in most organisms. They help to protect cells from oxidative stress and chemical toxicants by aiding the excretion of electrophilic and lipophilic compounds from the cell (Hayes and Pulford 1995). In the present study, the activity of GST in larvae with the studied essential oil, decreased, compared to the control. Our results are different from the results obtained in other studies (Vanhaelen *et al.* 2001; Dugravot *et al.* 2004; Khosravi *et al.* 2010; Zibae and Bandani 2010b). In our study, the general esterase activity decreased in the *H. armigera* larva with the essential oil which we used. These results show that GSTs and esterase do not play a role in the detoxification or in the metabolism of *A. annua* essential oils.

The present investigation indicated that *A. annua* essential oil affected *H. armigera* in a toxic way. In addition, compounds present in this essential oil effect the activity of macromolecules, digestive enzymes, and the detoxifying enzymes in this pest, posing an irreversible effect which may aid in controlling this important pest of crops.

References

- Abd-Elhady H.K. 2012. Insecticidal activity and chemical composition of essential oil from *Artemisia judaica* L. against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Plant Protection Research* 52 (3): 347–352.
- Aggarwal K.K., Tripathi A.K., Prajapati V., Kumar S. 2001. Toxicity of 1,8-cineol towards three species of stored product coleopterans. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 21 (2): 155–160.
- Anshul N., Bhakuni R.S., Gaur R., Singh D. 2013. Isomeric flavonoids of *Artemisia annua* (Asterales: Asteraceae) as insect growth inhibitors against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Florida Entomologist* 96 (3): 897–903.
- Anshul N., Kalra A., Singh D. 2014. Biological effect of sweet wormwood, *Artemisia annua* methanol extracts and essential oil against *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 2 (6): 304–307.
- Anshul N., Srivastava M., Singh D. 2015. Histopathological effects of *Artemisia annua* extract on the midgut of *Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner larvae (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Entomological Research* 39 (1): 5–8.
- Asawalam E.F., Emosairue S.O., Hassanali A. 2006. Bioactivity of *Xylopiia aetiopica* (Dunal) A. Rich essential oil constituents on maize weevil *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 5 (1): 1195–1204.
- Bernfeld P. 1955. Amylases, alpha and beta. *Methods in Enzymology* 1: 149–158.
- Bhakuni R.S., Jain D.C., Sharma R.P., Kumar S. 2001. Secondary metabolites of *Artemisia annua* and their biological activity. *Current Science* 80 (1): 35–48.
- Bradford M.M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry* 72 (1–2): 248–254.
- Brisibe E.A., Umoren U.E., Brisibe F., Magalhães P.M., Ferreira J.F.S., Luthria D., Prior R.L. 2009. Nutritional characterisation and antioxidant capacity of different tissues of *Artemisia annua* L. *Food Chemistry* 115 (4): 1240–1246.
- Deans S.G., Svoboda K.P. 1989. Antimicrobial activity of summer savory (*Satureja hortensis* L.) essential oil and its constituents. *Journal of Horticultural Science* 64 (1): 205–210.
- Dugravot S., Thibout E., Abo-Ghaila A., Huignard J. 2004. How a specialist and a non-specialist insect cope with dimethyl disulfide produced by *Allium porrum*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 113 (3): 173–179.
- Etebari K., Bizhannia A. R., Sorati R., Matindoost L. 2005. Biochemical changes in haemolymph of silkworm larvae due to pyriproxyfen residue. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 88 (1): 14–19.
- Fossati P., Prencipe L. 1982. Serum triglycerides determined colorimetrically with an enzyme that produces hydrogen peroxide. *Clinical Chemistry* 28 (10): 2077–2080.
- García-Carreño F.L., Haard N.F. 1993. Characterization of proteinase classes in langostilla (*Pleuroncodes planipes*) and crayfish (*Pacifastacus astacus*) extracts. *Journal of Food Biochemistry* 17 (2): 97–113.
- Habig W.H., Pabst M.J., Jakoby W.B. 1974. Glutathione S-transferases. The first step in mercapturic acid formation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 249 (22): 7130–7139.
- Haghighian F., Jalali Sendi J., Aliakbar A., Javaherdashti M. 2008. The growth regulatory, deterrent and ovicidal activity of worm wood (*Artemisia annua* L.) on *Tribolium confusum* Duv. and identification of its chemical constituents by GC-MS. *Pestycydy* 12 (1–2): 51–59.
- Hasheminia S.M., Jalali Sendi J., Talebi Jahromi K., Moharrami-pour S. 2011. The effect of *Artemisia annua* L. and *Achillea millefolium* L. crude leaf extracts on the toxicity, development, feeding efficiency and chemical activities of small cabbage *Pieris rapae* L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 99 (3): 244–249.
- Hayes J.D., Pulford D.J. 1995. The glutathione S-transferase supergene family regulation of GST and the contribution of the isoenzymes to cancer chemoprotection and drug re-

- sistance. Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 30 (6): 445–600.
- Hemati S.A., Naseri B., Ganbalani G.N., Dastjerdi H.R., Golizadeh A. 2012. Effect of different host plants on nutritional indices of the pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera*. Journal of Insect Science 12 (55): 1–15.
- Jeyasankar A., Jesudasan R.W.A. 2005. Insecticidal properties of novel botanicals against a few lepidopteran pests. Pestology 29 (10): 42–44.
- Kéita S.M., Vincent C., Schmit J.P., Arnason J.T., Bélanger A. 2001. Efficacy of essential oils of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and *O. gratissimum* L. applied as an insecticidal fumigant and powder to control *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Stored Products Research 37 (4): 339–349.
- Khosravi R., Jalali Sendi J., Ghadamyari M. 2010. Effect of *Artemisia annua* L. on deterrence and nutritional efficiency of lesser mulberry pyralid (*Glyphodes pylolais* Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Journal of Plant Protection Research 50 (4): 423–428.
- Khosravi R., Jalali Sendi J. 2013. Toxicity, development and physiological effect of *Thymus vulgaris* and *Lavandula angustifolia* essential oils on *Xanthogaleruca luteola* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Journal of King Saud University Science 25 (4): 349–355.
- King A.B.S. 1994. *Heliothis/Helicoverpa* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). p. 39–106. In: "Insect Pests of Cotton" (G.A. Matthews, J.P. Tunstall, eds.). CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 593 pp.
- Lee H.R., Kim J.W., Lee I.H. 1994. Studies on the toxicity of insect growth regulators against the fall webworm (*Hyphantria cunea* Drury) and the rice stem borer (*Chilo suppressalis* Walker) II. Comparisons in enzyme activities. Korean Journal of Applied Entomology 33 (2): 88–95.
- Lee S., Peterson C.J., Coats J.R. 2003. Fumigation toxicity of monoterpenoids to several stored product insects. Journal of Stored Products Research 39 (1): 77–85.
- LeOra Software 1987. Polo-PC: A User's Guide to Probit and Logit Analysis. LeOra Software. Berkely, California, USA, 22 pp.
- Mahmoodi L., Valizadegan O., Mahdavi V. 2014. Fumigant toxicity of *Petroselinum crispum* L. (Apiaceae) essential oil on *Trialetrodes vaporariorum* (Westwood) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) adults under greenhouse conditions. Journal of Plant Protection Research 54 (3): 54–59.
- Mehrabadi M., Bandani A.R., Saadati F. 2010. Inhibition of Sunn pest, *Eurygaster integriceps*, α -amylases by α -amylase inhibitors (T- α AI) from triticale. Journal of Insect Science 10 (179): 1–13.
- Napoleão T.H., Belmonte B.D.R., Pontual E.V., de Albuquerque L.P., Sá R.A., Paiva L.M., Coelho L.C.B.B., Paiva P.M.G. 2013. Deleterious effects of *Myracrodruon urundeuva* leaf extract and lectin on the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera, Curculionidae). Journal of Stored Products Research 54: 26–33.
- Ogendo J.O., Kostyukovsky M., Ravid U., Matasyoh J.C., Deng A.L., Omolo E.O., Kariuki S.T., Shaaya E. 2008. Bioactivity of *Ocimum gratissimum* L. oil and two of its constituents against five insect pests attacking stored food products. Journal of Stored Products Research 44 (4): 328–334.
- Olga S., Fevzi U., Ekrem E. 2006. Effects of cypermethrin on total body weight, glycogen, protein, and lipid contents of *Pimpla turionellae* (L.) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). Belgian Journal of Zoology 136 (1): 53–58.
- Pavela R. 2006. Insecticidal activity of essential oils against cabbage aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae*. Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants 9 (2): 99–106.
- Rajendran S., Muralidharan N. 2005. Effectiveness of allyl acetate as a fumigant against five stored grain beetle pests. Pest Management Science 61 (1): 97–101.
- Regnault-Roger C., Ribodeau M., Hamraoui A., Bareau I., Blanchard P., Gil-Munoz M.I., Barberan F.T. 2004. Polyphenolic compounds of Mediterranean Lamiaceae and investigation of orientation effects on *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say). Journal of Stored Products Research 40 (4): 395–408.
- Rozman V., Kalinovic I., Korunic Z. 2007. Toxicity of naturally occurring compounds of Lamiaceae and Lauraceae to three stored product insects. Journal of Stored Products Research 43 (4): 349–355.
- Saleem M.A., Shakoori A.R. 1987. Joint effects of dimilin and Ambush on enzyme activities of *Tribolium castaneum* larvae. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 29 (2): 127–137.
- Sancho E., Ferrando M.D., Fernández C., Andreu E. 1998. Liver energy metabolism of *Anguilla anguilla* after exposure to fenitrothion. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 41 (2): 168–175.
- SAS Institute 1997. SAS/STAT User's Guide for Personal Computers. SAS Publishing, Cary, North Carolina, USA, 18 pp.
- Saxena R.C., Dixit O.P., Harshan V. 1992. Insecticidal action of *Lantana camara* against *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Stored Products Research 28 (4): 279–281.
- Schmidt G.H., Rembold H., Ahmed A.A.I., Breuer M. 1998. Effect of *Melia azedarach* fruit extract on juvenile hormone titer and protein content in the hemolymph of two species of noctuid lepidopteran larvae [Insecta: Lepidoptera: Noctuidae]. Phytoparasitica 26 (4): 283–291.
- Senthil-Nathan S., Chung P.G., Murugan K. 2004. Effect of botanical insecticides and bacterial toxins on the gut enzyme of the rice leaf folder *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*. Phytoparasitica 32 (5): 433–443.
- Senthil-Nathan S., Chung P.G., Murugan K. 2006. Combined effect of biopesticides on the digestive enzymatic profiles of *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenée) (the rice leaf folder) (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 64 (3): 382–389.
- Seyoum E., Bateman R.P., Charnley A.K. 2002. The effect of *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acidum* on haemolymph energy reserves and flight capability in the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*. Journal of Applied Entomology 126 (2–3): 119–124.
- Shekari M., Jalali Sendi J., Etebari K., Zibae A., Shadparvar A. 2008. Effects of *Artemisia annua* L. (Asteraceae) on nutritional physiology and enzyme activities of elm leaf beetle, *Xanthogaleruca luteola* Mull. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 91 (1): 66–74.
- Shojaaddini M., Moharramipour S., Sahaf B.Z. 2008. Fumigant toxicity of essential oil from *Carum copticum* against indian meal moth, *Plodia interpunctella*. Journal of Plant Protection Research 48 (4): 411–419.
- Shorey H.H., Hale R.L. 1965. Mass-rearing of the larvae of nine noctuid species on a simple artificial medium. Journal of Economic Entomology 58 (3): 522–524.

- Siegert K.J. 1987. Carbohydrate metabolism in *Manduca sexta* during late larval development. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 33 (6): 421–427.
- Sujatha S., Joseph B., Sumi P.S. 2010. Medicinal plants and its impact of ecology, nutritional effluents and incentive of digestive enzymes on *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricious). *Asian Journal of Agricultural Research* 4 (4): 204–211.
- Terra W.R., Ferriera C. 2005. Biochemistry of digestion. p. 171–224. In: "Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science" (I. Lawrence Gilbert, K. Iatrou, S. Sarjeet Gill, eds.). Vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 330 pp.
- Tsujita T., Ninomiya H., Okuda H. 1989. *P*-nitrophenyl butyrate hydrolyzing activity of hormone-sensitive lipase from bovine adipose tissue. *Journal of Lipid Research* 30 (7): 997–1004.
- Valizadeh B., Zibae A., Jalali Sendi J. 2013. Inhibition of digestive α -amylases from *Chilo suppressalis* Walker (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) by a proteinaceous extract of *Citrullus colocynthis* L. (Cucurbitaceae). *Journal of Plant Protection Research* 53 (3): 195–202.
- Van Asperen K. 1962. A study of housefly esterases by mean of sensitive colorimetric method. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 8 (4): 401–416.
- Vanhaelen N., Haubruge E., Lognay G., Francis F. 2001. Hoverfly glutathione S-transferases and effect of Brassicaceae secondary metabolites. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 71 (3): 170–177.
- Yazdani E., Jalali Sendi J., Hajizadeh J. 2014. Effect of *Thymus vulgaris* L. and *Origanum vulgare* L. essential oils on toxicity, food consumption, and biochemical properties of lesser mulberry pyralid *Glyphodes pyloalis* Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Journal of Plant Protection Research* 54 (1): 53–61.
- Zibae A., Bandani A.R. 2010a. Effects of *Artemisia annua* L. (Asteraceae) on the digestive enzymatic profiles and the cellular immune reactions of the Sunn pest, *Eurygaster integriceps* (Heteroptera: Scutellaridae), against *Beauvaria bassiana*. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 100 (2): 185–196.
- Zibae A., Bandani A.R. 2010b. A study on the toxicity of a medicinal plant, *Artemisia annua* L. (Asteraceae) extracts to the sunn pest, *Eurygaster integriceps* Puton (Hemiptera: Scutellaridae). *Journal of Plant Protection Research* 50 (1): 79–85.