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Abstract

In the last two decades several new concepts of photodetectors to improve their performance have been proposed. New strategies are especially addressed to the group of so called high-operating-temperature detectors where – apart from increasing of operating temperature – both the size and power consumption reduction is expected. In this paper a new strategy in the photo-detector design is presented - the barrier detectors: C\textsubscript{n}B\textsubscript{n}; C\textsubscript{n}B\textsubscript{n}N\textsuperscript{+}, C\textsubscript{p}B\textsubscript{n} and unipolar barrier photodiodes. In spite of considering barrier detectors based on A\textsubscript{III}B\textsubscript{V} bulk compounds and type-II superlattices as having theoretically a better performance than those based on HgCdTe, the latter compound is also used to fabricate barrier detectors. Among many new applications of barrier detectors the detection of explosives can be extremely important due to an increased threat of terrorist attacks. This paper presents the status of the barrier detectors and compares the performance of mid-wave HgCdTe barrier detectors and unipolar barrier photodiodes.
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1. Introduction

The standard defining the reduction of size, weight and power consumption of the infrared radiation (IR) detection system (SWaP - size, weight, and power conditions) is a source of the newest trends in IR detectors. Additionally, the requirement of uncooled operation becomes important owing to the fact that cooling of IR detection systems restricts the area of their applications [1]. In this context, developing new types of higher operation temperature (HOT) systems is significant, where the detectivity, the high frequency operation and the multispectral response enforce the development of new strategies. A proper control of the dark current components is a significant element allowing to increase the performance of IR detectors. Potential solutions could be found in the photon detector group. However, up till now the highest performance is reached at the temperatures below 200 K. Among the new technologies of HOT IR detectors the barrier infrared detectors (BIRD) - proposed by White for the very first time in 1983 [2] - should be listed. The barrier implementation allows filtering unwanted dark current components without impeding photo-generated carriers at the same time [3]. Surface currents, Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) generation recombination (GR) currents from the depletion layer, tunneling (band-to-band [BTB] and trap-assisted tunneling [TAT]) currents have been eliminated with a great success by implementation of the barrier to the detector structure [4]. In addition, the barrier incorporation decreases a number of processing steps, making the technology of detectors more efficient. The barrier detectors are currently fabricated from bulk InAs, InAsSb and type-II superlattices (T2SLs); InAs/Ga(In)Sb being considered as a potential competitor of HgCdTe [5–10]. Many mid-wave (MWIR) structures with barriers were proposed and fabricated to include nBn, pBn, pBp-structures, “M”-, “W”-, “N”-layers (based on T2SLs). The complementary barrier infrared detector CBIRD and pBiBn allow to decrease the dark current and increase the quantum efficiency [11–17]. Among many new applications of HOT barrier detectors, the detection of explosives becomes extremely important due to an increased threat of terrorist attacks [18]. This paper presents the status of the barrier detectors.
and compares the performance of MWIR HgCdTe barrier detectors and unipolar barrier photodiodes.

2. Barrier detectors

The very first paper related to unipolar barrier detectors was published by White, who proposed an architecture consisting of a narrow band-gap absorber and a wide gap thin barrier. Since Maimon and Wicks paper was published in 2006, the growing interest in barrier structures has been observed [19]. The concept of a unipolar barrier detector is based on lacking of the valence band offset (VBO) between the barrier and absorber layers allowing an unimpeded flow of minority carriers and blocking of majority carriers at the same time in structures CnBn, where Cn is a cap/contact n-type layer (CL) made of either the same material as the absorber or a completely different material lattice matched to the barrier (B means an n-type wide gap barrier). Among the barrier structures the simplest - in terms of technology - is the nBn detector shown in Fig 1 (a). The essential condition to fabricate the nBn detector is a growth of the wafer with the same type of doping in constituent layers, especially in the barrier and the absorber, in order to restrict the SRH GR mechanism in the depletion region (between barrier and absorber layers) being decreased in comparison with a traditional p-n photodiode. The barrier should be a lattice matched to the absorber region and located close to the contact. This location of the barrier blocks the dark current associated with the majority carriers and the surface current, without impeding the photocurrent, what is shown in Fig 1 (b). The barrier does not block any dark current GR mechanism in the absorber region, while lack of a p-n junction allows to eliminate the influence of SRH GR (especially in AIII BV).

![Fig. 1. A theoretical band-gap diagram of the nBn detector (a) and spatial makeups of the current component contribution in the barrier nBn structure (b).](image)

The capability of a simple barrier detector is presented in Figure 2, where the Arrhenius [\( \log(J_{DARK}) \) versus \( T^{-1} \)] plot of the dark current in a conventional p-n diode and the nBn structure is depicted. The diffusion current normally may be expressed by the relation \( \sim T^3 \exp(-E_{g0}/k_BT) \), where \( E_{g0} \) stands for the band gap extrapolated to the zero temperature \( T \), \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann’s constant. The GR current varies as \( \sim T^{3/2} \exp(-E_{g0}/2k_BT) \) and is considered to be dominated by the generation of electrons and holes by SRH traps in the depletion region [20]. Assuming that the nBn detector is nearly lacking of the depletion region, the GR contribution to the net dark current from the absorber layer is limited. The solid red line (nBn) is an extension of the high temperature diffusion limited region to temperatures below \( T_C \), which is defined as the crossover temperature at which the diffusion and GR currents are equal. In the low-temperature region the nBn detector should exhibit a higher signal-to-noise ratio in comparison with a conventional p-n diode operating at the same temperature, and operate at a higher temperature with the same \( J_{DARK} \).
Apart from $C_{nBn}$ structures, Klipstein and Klem proposed structures with the p-type ($C_p$) contact layer, where -similarly to $C_n$ - $C_p$ is fabricated from either the same material or different but opposite doping than the absorber layer [20, 21]. Incorporation of the p-type contact layer in a $C_pBn$ architecture allows to lower the turn on voltage (bias to where VBO does not influence the minority carrier transport) in comparison with $C_{nBn}$ structures.

Lack of the depletion layer in the barrier-absorber heterojunction creates an opportunity for materials where SRH GR mechanisms efficiently contribute to the net dark current (including mainly $A^{III}B^V$ materials, where $T_{SRH}$ is reported up to 700 ns at the best). MWIR barrier structures are successfully fabricated with $A^{III}B^V$ compounds including: InAs/B-AlAsSb, InAsSb/B-AlAsSb T2SLs InAs/GaSb/B-AlGaSb/T2SLs [22]. Introduction of 6.1 Å $A^{III}B^V$ T2SLs allowed to grow and fabricate an ideal barrier detector, where - thanks to energy band gap engineering (ability to tune the positions of the conduction and valence bands independently) - VBO was nearly leveled. The condition of VBO = 0 eV is not the only requirement which must be met during the detector developing procedure. The barrier structure should be characterized by a large conduction band offset (CBO). T2SLs InAs/GaSb are predicted to be the only material system to compete with HgCdTe, therefore this material plays an important role as a potential material for IR detectors fabrication. Except bulk AlGaSb, barriers could be effectively grown from T2SLs InAs/GaSb depending on both InAs and GaSb layer thickness. In case of T2SLs, a mutual lineup of the energy bands of the constituent layers exhibits unique properties. The top of the GaSb valence band is located above the bottom of the InAs conduction band which locates electrons in InAs and holes in GaSb layers, respectively. Such a spatial separation of electrons and holes theoretically suppresses the Auger GR process. The effective energy band-gap, similarly to HgCdTe, can be tailored within a wide range of energy up to 300 meV. The electron effective mass in InAs/GaSb T2SLs does not depend strongly on the energy band-gap value, whereas this dependence is stronger for HgCdTe, reducing contribution of the tunneling currents in the T2SLs structures [23]. At the current status of the T2SLs InAs/GaSb technology, the recombination by deep SRH centers is found to be dominant, as long as the carrier lifetime is taken into consideration (for $T > 150$ K carrier lifetimes are even lower than 20 ns [24]).

It must be stressed, that the 6.1 Å $A^{III}B^V$ compound family - InAs/Ga(In)Sb/AlSb - allows for many modifications of the detector structure. Aifer et al. proposed a “W”-structure InAs/GaInSb/InAs/AlGaInSb shown in Fig 4 (a), which could be used as either a barrier for
electrons and holes or an absorber layer, thanks to the wavefunction overlapping [12]. Nguyen et al. proposed an “M”-structure GaSb/InAs/GaSb/AlSb presented in Fig. 4 (b) and mainly used as barrier layers [13–15]. Active layers could be grown with “N” structures proposed by Salihoglu et al., presented in Fig. 4 (c) [16]. Klem et al. proposed an InAsPSb/B-AlAsSb architecture (long minority carrier lifetimes in comparison to the T2SLs InAs/GaSb being limited by short SRH lifetimes) for the applications where cut-off wavelengths shorter than 4.2 µm are needed [25].

The growth of a barrier structure exhibiting VBO = 0 eV between the barrier and the absorber for HgCdTe (MWIR HgCdTe exhibits 100−400 meV VBO depending on both absorber/barrier composition and doping) has turned out to be difficult in terms of technology, yet the research on this structures has been developing owing to problems with p-type doping in the MBE technology. The HgCdTe nBn devices operating in MWIR range were presented by Itsuno et al. [26]. The HgCdTe ternary alloy is a close to ideal infrared material system. Its position is conditioned by three key features: the composition-dependent tailorable energy band gap, the large optical coefficients that enable a high quantum efficiency, and the favorable inherent recombination mechanisms leading to a long carrier lifetime and a high operating temperature. In addition, an extremely small change of the lattice constant with the composition makes it possible to grow high quality layered heterostructures. However, the existence of VBO in HgCdTe-based nBn detectors creates several issues limiting their performance. Depending on the wavelength of operation, a relatively high bias, typically greater than the bandgap energy, is required to be applied to the device in order to collect all of the photogenerated carriers. This leads to a strong BTB and TAT tunneling due to a high electric field within the depletion layer. Parameters of the MCT devices are still better than those employing other materials, including A\text{III}B\text{V} based T2SLs InAs/GaSb. In spite of many advantages, HgCdTe is technologically extremely difficult to grow. Hg bonding reduces the strength of a material, resulting in weak mechanical properties and creating difficulties in a material processing. Moreover, a high Hg vapor pressure makes the composition control over a large area difficult. This justifies an effort to find materials alternative to HgCdTe among compounds from the A\text{III}B\text{V} family with a larger covalent bonding participation, which in turn results in a better stability of these compounds in comparison to compounds from the A\text{II}B\text{VI} group.

A further strategy in development of HgCdTe nBn detectors should be focused on decreasing or even removing the VBO in the barrier layer, which will result in a lower operating bias, a lower dark current, and an ability to operate at higher temperatures. Schubert et al. proposed an approach to circumvent VBO by appropriate doping the interface regions [27].

Unipolar barriers can be efficiently implemented into conventional p-n photodiodes [28, 29]. Two possible locations arbitrated on blocking the dark current components can be listed. The locations are shown in Fig. 5: in the p-type layer (out of the depletion layer) (a) and in the n-type layer (in the edge of the depletion layer) (b). In the first case, the surface contribution to the net dark current is blocked, while the diffusion, GR and TAT, and BTB are not restricted. In the second case, the dark current generated in the junction area is effectively blocked, what is shown in Fig. 5 (a) and (b), respectively.
3. Simulation procedure and results

Table 1 shows parameters taken in modeling of MWIR HgCdTe barrier detectors. The doping profiles were simulated by applying the Gaussian tail model. The active layer composition was assumed to be in the MWIR range, \( x = 0.3 \), according to the nBn HgCdTe structure presented by Itsuno et al. [26].

Table 1. Parameters assumed in modeling of MWIR barrier detectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition, ( x )</th>
<th>Geometry, ( d ) [( \mu )m]</th>
<th>Barrier diodes</th>
<th>Gaussian tail, ( d_x ) [( \mu )m]</th>
<th>Composition, ( x )</th>
<th>Geometry, ( d ) [( \mu )m]</th>
<th>Electrical area, ( A ) [( \mu )m²]</th>
<th>Trap energy level, ( E_{trap} ) [eV]</th>
<th>Trap concentration, ( N_{trap} ) [cm⁻³]</th>
<th>Carrier capture cross sections, ( \sigma_n, \sigma_p ) [cm²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>7×10¹⁴, 10¹⁶</td>
<td>2×10¹⁵</td>
<td>10¹⁴</td>
<td>10¹⁶</td>
<td>120×120</td>
<td>( E_g/2 )</td>
<td>10¹³</td>
<td>1.6×10⁻¹⁶; 8.5×10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>7×10¹⁴, 10¹⁶</td>
<td>2×10¹⁵</td>
<td>10¹⁴</td>
<td>10¹⁶</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>( E_g/2 )</td>
<td>10¹³</td>
<td>1.6×10⁻¹⁶; 8.5×10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>7×10¹⁴, 10¹⁶</td>
<td>2×10¹⁵</td>
<td>10¹⁴</td>
<td>10¹⁶</td>
<td>120×120</td>
<td>( E_g/2 )</td>
<td>10¹³</td>
<td>1.6×10⁻¹⁶; 8.5×10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>7×10¹⁴, 10¹⁶</td>
<td>2×10¹⁵</td>
<td>10¹⁴</td>
<td>10¹⁶</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>( E_g/2 )</td>
<td>10¹³</td>
<td>1.6×10⁻¹⁶; 8.5×10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Theoretical modeling of the MWIR HgCdTe barrier detectors has been performed by numerical solving of the Poisson’s equation and the carrier current continuity equations by a commercially available APSYS platform (Crosslight Inc.) with the Newton-Richardson method of nonlinear iterations implemented [30]. The applied model incorporates both electrical and optical properties, including an influence of the radiative (RAD), Auger (AUG), SRH GR, at any location within the device, and BTB as well as TAT tunneling mechanisms at the barrier-absorber heterojunction. We incorporated AUG recombination mechanisms using the theory developed by Casselman et al. [31]. The APSYS numerical platform requires the HgCdTe composition, temperature and doping dependence on the electron affinity, bandgap, intrinsic concentration, mobility and effective masses. The bandgap was obtained from the paper of Hansen et al. [32]. The low-field electron mobility was taken after Scott’s study, where the hole mobility was basically taken as 0.01 of the electron mobility [33]. The intrinsic concentration, composition and temperature dependence was calculated based on the Hansen et al. model [34]. Ohmic contacts are modeled as Dirichlet boundary conditions - electron and hole quasi-Fermi levels are equal and assumed to be at the voltage of the biased electrode, i.e., \( E_{fn} = E_{fp} = V \). For the TAT simulation the Hurkx et al. model was implemented [35].
The calculated energy band diagrams for the nBn and pBn detector structures for a low bias, $V = 100$ mV, are depicted in Fig. 6 (a) and (b), respectively. Unlike the pBn architecture, the nBn type detector should be reversely biased (i.e. a positive voltage should be applied to the absorber contact). A proper type of doping the thin CL doping influences the $\Delta E_v$ allowing a nearly unimpeded flow of holes to the contact, permitting zero bias operation. The barrier heights, $\Delta E_c$, are comparable for both structures having different levels of CL doping: $N_D = 7 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $N_A = 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively. While the same time the barrier in the valence band, $\Delta E_v$, is slightly higher for the nBn ($\Delta E_v = 100$ meV) in comparison with the p-type CL ($\Delta E_v = 82$ meV) which should be evident in the dark current ($J_{\text{DARK}}$) versus voltage characteristics.

Similar considerations were performed for nBn$^+$ and pBn$^+$ structures and energy band diagrams of the detectors at a low bias, $V = 100$ mV, and are depicted in Fig. 7 (a) and (b), respectively. Similarly, the pBn$^+$ architecture enables near zero operation, where $\Delta E_{v1}$ should be $< 55$ meV ($\Delta E_v$ and $\Delta E_v < 3k_BT$) for $T = 200$ K. An extra N$^+$ contact layer in the nBn$^+$ and the pBn$^+$ slightly influences both $\Delta E_{v1}$ ($368 \rightarrow 373$ meV; $363 \rightarrow 370$ meV) and $\Delta E_{v1}$ ($100 \rightarrow 92$ meV; $82 \rightarrow 78$ meV) in comparison with the nBn and pBn architectures.

The barrier influence is clearly evident in Fig. 8 (a) and (b), respectively, where the $J_{\text{DARK}}$ versus voltage characteristics and the temperature are shown for nBn, pBn, nBn$^+$ and pBn$^+$. The turn on voltage is assumed to be $V = 350$ mV for nBn and 200 mV for pBn structures, respectively. In the case of the nBn and pBn structures the simulations were performed for the following contact layer doping: $N_D = 7 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $N_D = N_A = 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$. An extra N$^+$ ($N_D = 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$) contact layer reduces the turn on voltage to 200 mV for the nBn$^+$ and to 50 mV for the pBn$^+$ detector, respectively. In the considered temperature $T = 200$ K and the turn on voltage level, $J_{\text{DARK}}$ is extremely sensitive to bias, while above the turn on voltage $J_{\text{DARK}}$ is less voltage-dependent and the dark current saturation is observed. $\Delta E_v$ for $V = 350$ mV (nBn) is comparable with 55 meV ($3k_BT$), which means that holes are nearly freely transported to the CL giving contribution to the net $J_{\text{DARK}}$. In the simulated voltage range no tunneling contribution occurs, which is mainly caused by the fact that the barrier structure is diffusion and GR limited.
The $J_{\text{DARK}}$ characteristics at each simulated temperature exhibits a current plateau above the turn on voltage level for structures with an extra N$^+$ barrier. This extra N$^+$ layer, creating mainly an extra barrier in the valence band ($\Delta E_v$), effectively limits the hole injection to the absorber layer. It must be stressed that $J_{\text{DARK}}$ slightly increases with the applied voltage due to the fact that in nBn and pBn structures the hole injection is not restricted. It is clearly seen, that introducing the p-type CL to the barrier structure reduces the barrier in the valence band ($\Delta E_v$) allowing an unimpeded transport of holes to the cap layer. Comparing the nBn and pBn structures for the same level but opposite doping $N_D = N_A = 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$, $J_{\text{DARK}}$ for the nBn structure is lower in comparison with the pBn structure. This behaviour should be attributed to $\Delta E_c$ and $\Delta E_v$ dependence on bias (for $V = 200$ mV, $\Delta E_v = 100\rightarrow82$ meV and $\Delta E_c = 368\rightarrow363$ meV [see Fig. 6 (a) and (b)].

Figure 8 (b) presents the dark current characteristics versus the inverse temperature for the considered nBn and pBn structures, simulated for two selected voltages: $V = 200$ and 800 mV. The $J_{\text{DARK}}$ temperature dependence for both analyzed CL doping: $N_D = 7\times10^{14}$ and $10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$, is related to the $\Delta E_v$ and $\Delta E_c$ dependence on $T$ (for nBn: $\Delta E_v = 373\rightarrow387$ meV, $\Delta E_c = 100$ meV; for pBn $\Delta E_c = 368\rightarrow380$ meV, $\Delta E_v = 82\rightarrow94$ meV for $T = 200\rightarrow160$ K). Increasing the CL doping, $N_D = 7\times10^{14}\rightarrow10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$, $J_{\text{DARK}}$ slightly decreases for the nBn structure. The simulation results are compared to the measured ones given by Itsuno et al. ($V = 800$ mV, n-type CL doping $N_D = 7\times10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$) [26]. The proper agreement between simulation and experimental results was reached.

Figure 9. $J_{\text{DARK}}$ versus the reciprocal temperature, $V = 50$ and 600 mV for the nBnN$^+$ and pBnN$^+$ barrier detectors.

Similar considerations for the nBnN$^+$ and pBnN$^+$ structures were presented in Fig. 9. A characteristic two slope behaviour is observed above the turn on voltage level for both analyzed nBnN$^+$ and pBnN$^+$ structures. The estimated $T_C$ for the p-type CL is 205 K, while for the n-type CL is 211 K. Above these temperatures the diffusion contribution plays a dominant role. It is believed, that $\Delta E_{v1}$ (nBnN$^+$ - 92 meV; pBnN$^+$ - 78 meV) plays a dominant role and explains that difference. The experimental data for the diffusion contribution were fitted by $\sim T^3 \exp(-q0.269/k_B T)$, while the GR component could be fit by the relation: $\sim T^{1.5} \exp(-q0.269/2k_B T)$ for the pBnN$^+$ structure, where 0.269 eV corresponds to $E_g$ at $T = 200$ K for $x = 0.3$.

Simulated energy band diagrams for p-n diodes with an extra barrier in the p-type region (a) and the n-type region (b) are presented in Fig. 10. The p-n junction doping and both n-type and p-type contact layers were assumed to be $N_D = N_A = 7\times10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$, while the barrier doping in the p-type region was assumed to be p-type doped, $N_A = 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$ and in the n-type region...
$N_D = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively (the barrier composition $x = 0.64$). The simulations were performed for $T = 200 \text{ K}$ and $V = 200 \text{ mV}$. A barrier in the valence band, $\Delta E_v$, for both structures was estimated to be in the same range, 83–84 meV, while in the conduction band, $\Delta E_c$, is by 26 meV higher for a barrier in the p-type region.

The barrier implementation to a p-n diode lowers $J_{DARK}$ by nearly two orders of magnitude in comparison with the structure with a barrier in the p-type region in the analyzed voltage region. The structure in the n-type region enables to reach even four orders of magnitude lower $J_{DARK}$ in comparison with a standard p-n diode and two orders of magnitude than the structure with a barrier in the p-type region for $V < 200 \text{ mV}$. An applied bias lowers $\Delta E_c$ ($396 \rightarrow 374 \text{ meV}$) and much more effectively - $\Delta E_v$ ($84 \rightarrow 62 \text{ meV}$) in the structure with a barrier in the n-type region contributing to increase of $J_{DARK}$ for a higher voltage. $\Delta E_c$ and $\Delta E_v$ keep a constant value versus voltage for the structure with a barrier in the p-type.

An influence of the barrier composition on $J_{DARK}$ for p-n diodes with extra barriers in both n- and p-type regions is presented in Fig. 12 for $V = 100$ and 400 mV. For p-n diodes with a barrier in the p-type region $J_{DARK}$ saturates for the barrier composition, $x > 0.42$ for both analyzed voltages. For the second analyzed structure with a barrier in the n-type region, $J_{DARK}$ keeps a constant value for $x > 0.56$ ($V = 400 \text{ mV}$), while for $V = 100 \text{ mV}$ the dark current decreases with a higher composition.

![Energy band diagrams for p-n diodes with an extra barrier in the p-type (a) and the n-type region based on HgCdTe (b) for $T = 200 \text{ K}$ and $V = 200 \text{ mV}$.](image1)

![$J_{DARK}$ versus voltage, $T = 200 \text{ K}$ (a) and the reciprocal temperature, $V = 100$ and 400 mV (b) for p-n diodes with barriers in the p-type and n-type regions.](image2)

![$J_{DARK}$ versus the barrier composition (barrier doping, $N_D = N_A = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) for p-n diodes with barriers in the p-type and n-type regions.](image3)
4. Conclusions

Up to now, at this stage of the IR detector development, the HgCdTe privileged position is unquestionable. New types of IR detectors are implemented into HgCdTe technology including barrier structures and unipolar p-n photodiodes. Thanks to barriers, the dark current could be effectively reduced in presented structures. A further work requires an improvement in the HgCdTe technology in terms of limiting VBO in order to allow an unimpeded transport of photogenerated carriers.
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